



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 54373

Title: Gastroparesis: new insights into an old disease

Reviewer's code: 01422848

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Sweden

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2020-01-23

Reviewer chosen by: Ruo-Yu Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-02-20 09:46

Reviewer performed review: 2020-02-20 13:00

Review time: 3 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	RE-REVIEW	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision		<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision		Peer-reviewer's expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection		<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
				<input type="checkbox"/> General
				<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
				Conflicts-of-Interest:
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
				<input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very interesting Review about an important issue. However, one substantial thing is missing. You have described in the method section the search strategy in 2 databases. This is fine, but not enough. I recommend you to add the PRISMA flow chart which must be used and followed when performing a systematic review. Further, some sort of quality assessment of the elected papers must be performed. How many of the authors performed the search and went through the articles. Please improve the method section so it fully follows the PRISMA recommendations. Minor Changes 1. On page 6, physiology is described. Interstitial cells of Cajal is mentioned and fibroblast-like cells. During the last years, a lot of knowledge about telocytes has been described. Please enter a section about telocytes and the effects of them. Not only use the term ICC when so much is now well-known about telocytes.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No