

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 54702

Title: HYDATIDOSIS AND THE DUODENUM: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

Reviewer's code: 00074960

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Argentina

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2020-02-13

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-02-19 10:58

Reviewer performed review: 2020-02-23 15:04

Review time: 4 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This systematic review fails to define a therapeutic diagnostic behavior. The failure is due to the little and heterogeneous information of the papers consulted. The paper is of interest since the presented pathology is infrequent, it represents a diagnostic and therapeutic challenge and the personal opinion of the authors in this sense would improve the quality of their work.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 54702

Title: HYDATIDOSIS AND THE DUODENUM: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

Reviewer's code: 00188507

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2020-02-13

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-03-14 09:01

Reviewer performed review: 2020-03-14 09:05

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors summarized 14 cases of hydatidosis related duodenal injury. Actually, the focus of this summary is too specific to be considered in this journal and should be submitted to the journal related to the zoonosis.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 54702

Title: HYDATIDOSIS AND THE DUODENUM: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

Reviewer's code: 00188507

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2020-02-13

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Qiao Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-04-19 23:27

Reviewer performed review: 2020-04-19 23:29

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors summarized 14 cases of hydatidosis related duodenal injury. Actually, the focus of this summary is too specific to be considered in this journal and should be submitted to the journal related to the zoonosis.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 54702

Title: HYDATIDOSIS AND THE DUODENUM: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

Reviewer's code: 00074960

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Argentina

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2020-02-13

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Qiao Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-04-21 21:25

Reviewer performed review: 2020-04-21 21:31

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I agree with the new comments.