

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format.

**Title:** Surgical outcomes of bladder augmentation: a comparison of three different augmentation procedures

**Author:** Xiao-Gang Sun, Ruo-Yi Wang, Jia-Long Xu, Dian-Guo Li, Wei-Xiu Chen, Jin-Liang Li, Jian Wang, Ai-Wu Li

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript ID:** 54961

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of the editor and reviewers.

**The first review's composition comment on the manuscript and my answer**

Very interesting study of surgical outcomes of bladder augmentation. The manuscript is well written, the results are interesting. I recommend to accept it for publication after a minor editing.

Answer: Thanks for your comment, and the manuscript has been improved.

**The second review's composition comment on the manuscript and my answer**

The methods are very clearly described, and reasonable. Results are interesting and well discussed. References are updated. Please make a short discussion about the limit of the study. Tables requires editing. Minor language polishing should be corrected.

Answer: The limit of the study has been discussed. The tables have been checked and updated. Language was polished.

**The third review's composition comment on the manuscript and my answer**

Very interesting study. Only the tables should be edited. I have no specific

comments.

Answer: The tables have been checked and updated.