

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format.

Title: Surgical outcomes of bladder augmentation: a comparison of three different augmentation procedures

Author: Xiao-Gang Sun, Ruo-Yi Wang, Jia-Long Xu, Dian-Guo Li, Wei-Xiu Chen, Jin-Liang Li, Jian Wang, Ai-Wu Li

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript ID: 54961

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of the editor and reviewers.

The first review's composition comment on the manuscript and my answer

Very interesting study of surgical outcomes of bladder augmentation. The manuscript is well written, the results are interesting. I recommend to accept it for publication after a minor editing.

Answer: Thanks for your comment, and the manuscript has been improved.

The second review's composition comment on the manuscript and my answer

The methods are very clearly described, and reasonable. Results are interesting and well discussed. References are updated. Please make a short discussion about the limit of the study. Tables requires editing. Minor language polishing should be corrected.

Answer: The limit of the study has been discussed. The tables have been checked and updated. Language was polished.

The third review's composition comment on the manuscript and my answer

Very interesting study. Only the tables should be edited. I have no specific

comments.

Answer: The tables have been checked and updated.