



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 56120

Title: Novel risk prediction rule for advanced neoplasia at first screening colonoscopy for average-risk individuals

Reviewer's code: 03210228

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Lebanon

Manuscript submission date: 2020-04-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-04-20 03:59

Reviewer performed review: 2020-05-09 09:04

Review time: 19 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a decent manuscript talking about a very important topic. With the rapid increasing of CRC incidence all around the world, the important of CRC screening is unquestionable. There is an urgent need of risk stratification tools to optimize the use of limited medical resources. The authors put forward a practicable tool which was established based on a prospective dataset. The prospective cohort is average-risk, excluding several major bias like former colonoscopy and family history of CRC, proving the research process credible and convincing. Through extensive review of published articles, the current risk tool earned its status within this area, with only a few shortcomings. There is an error in the second paragraph from bottom, and there is a missing "a" before "few".