ANSWERING REVIEWERS AND EDITORS

Reviewer #1:

1. The language lacks sufficient readability, and some sentences are not fluent or even difficult to understand

Authors response: Thank you for the comments. We have revised all sections thoroughly for clarity. These changes are shown in the supplementary document which is the MARKED copy with all changes tracked and highlighted.

2. Some references and discussions are difficult to correspond to, and it is difficult to match expressions and citations. Some statement needs to be indicate references, but they are not listed. for example, page 17,line 7,Eliezer et al

Authors response: Thank you for the comments. We have accurately placed all text and corresponding references (including updated references) in the revised version. This has been modified throughout the main text and these changes are shown in the MARKED copy of the manuscript submitted as supplementary document.

3. Only a few of the first patients were related to the Huanan market in Wuhan China. Therefore, the correlation between the market and the disease is not conclusive, and it is more inclined to guess

   Authors response: Thank you for the comments. We agree with the reviewer that recent studies have shown no clear proof of Wuhan wet market as the primary source region. This sentence has been modified to agree with the reviewer and current literature.

4. The related symptoms of the liver mainly stem from secondary damage during treatment, and there is no clear proof that the virus damages the liver and causes relative clinical manifestations. Is it accurate to state directly in Core tip that acute hepatitis is a rare symptom? The results of pathological studies have been elaborated by Yao. It is recommended to add some documents to this part and modify the wording.[Yao XH, Li TY, He ZC, et al. A pathological report of

three COVID-19 cases by minimally invasive autopsies]. Chin J Pathol. 2020 Mar 15;49(0):E009.]

Authors response: Thank you for the comment. We agree with the reviewer that liver dysfunction is probably a part of the multisystem involvement and not direct viral effect. This has been corrected as suggested. Also, we have included the pathology study as advised by the reviewer in the discussion. The Core Tip section has also been modified for clarity as suggested.

Editorial Office's comments

1. However, the language should be revised, some references should be checked, and some related articles should be cited in the manuscript

   Authors response: As suggested the language and sentence structure clarity has been fully revised as per Journal standards. These changes are shown in the revised MARKED version submitted as supplementary document.

2. The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

   Authors response: Thank you. The Power Point with editable text has been uploaded with the revised version of the manuscript.