

Dear Editor and Reviewers:

Thank you very much for your comments of our manuscript entitled “Different outcomes of one disease: three localized primary gastric amyloidosis” (NO.: 57038). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our manuscript, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. Revised portion are marked in blue in the manuscript. The main corrections in the manuscript and the responds to the reviewer’s comments are in below:

**Responds to the reviewer’s comments:**

**Reviewer #1:**

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: the authors performed a case series study about 3 cases presentation with primary gastric amyloidosis with different outcomes. the manuscript needs good English editing by native English speaker. 1- the title has to identify it is a case series study. 2- comments of previous revision is seen in the manuscript. that is not professional. please delete it. 3- references are written in a bad way. reconsider rewrite it in a uniform style.

**Response:**

We already sent our manuscript to AJE company to revised English again, and we will upload editing certificate.

1. We already changed the title as new version “**Three localized primary gastric amyloidoses: A case series study**” as requested
2. Comments of previous revision was deleted
3. We already changed references as uniform style, which was followed the principle of WJCC. However, due to Ref. 10, 13, 18, 21 and 22 were published around 1980’s, Therefore, we can’t get DOI number.

**Reviewer #2**

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific comments to Authors: In this manuscript, the authors aimed to report three cases of localized gastric amyloidosis mimicking gastric mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma. They concluded that early detection, diagnosis and treatment of this rare disease resulted with good prognosis. Since localized gastric amyloidosis is a very rare metabolic disease, the cases are interesting and the manuscript has been considered as well-written.

**Response:** We are appreciated with reviewer's comments and recognition, and it was a great encouragement to us. We already sent our manuscript to AJE company to revised English again, and we will upload editing certificate.

**Reviewer #3:**

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Rejection

Specific Comments to Authors: 1. The title does not reflect the topic appropriately, it says 'three outcomes of one disease', the discussion, however, revolves more around the difficulties in diagnosing the condition on endoscopic examination. 2. Primary gastric amyloidosis is a known entity.

**Response:** Thank you very much for your comments and advice.

1. Firstly, we already modified our title to make it reflect the topic appropriately.
2. Despite primary gastric amyloidosis is a known disease, it's still a very rare case in the clinical work. In our endoscopy center, we perform 51,000 endoscopic examination every year, but just only three cases of primary gastric amyloidosis were detected of past ten years. In this manuscript, because of different time of detection, the patients

had different outcomes, we would like to showed that early detection, diagnosis and treatment of this rare disease result in an excellent prognosis. In this study, the difficulty in making an accurate diagnosis and differential diagnosis is highlighted, we hope that provide more clinical experience for the diagnosis and treatment of localized primary gastric amyloidosis.

Additionally, we already added “Author contribution”, uploaded approved grant application form, provided figures by using PowerPoint, and re-wrote the “Case Presentation” section, and add “FINAL DIAGNOSIS”, “TREATMENT”, and “OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP” in the new version.

Thank you very much for Editors/Reviewers’ very useful advice, and we hope that all correction will be satisfied with you and this manuscript will be accepted as publication in the WJCC.

Best wishes

Your sincerely

Prof. Biguang Tuo (tuobiguang@aliyun.com), for all authors

**Response to reviewer:**

Thank you very much for your specific comments to authors “the manuscript is organized, well written and the cases are interesting”. We are appreciated with your good suggestion and recognition. As you indicated in “Language quality” section “B: Minor language polishing”. We already asked AJE company for re-editing our manuscript. I already submitted the new English certificate.