



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

**Manuscript NO:** 57103

**Title:** The effect of the Borrmann types combined with vessel invasion status on the prognosis of advanced gastric cancer

**Reviewer's code:** 02491619

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor, Lecturer, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** South Korea

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-06-15

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-06-15 13:34

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-07-11 02:08

**Review time:** 25 Days and 12 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](https://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors have analyzed a total of 2604 advanced gastric cancer patients with complete clinical, pathological and surgical data, including sex, age, Borrmann classification, vessel invasion status, tumor location, tumor size, histological type, depth of invasion, number of lymph node metastases, surgical methods, treatment with combined resection and 5-year survival rate. The authors conclude that the Borrmann classification, vascular invasion status, tumor size, pT stage, pN stage, and degree of radical surgery all independently affected prognosis in this study. According to the results, patients with Borrmann III + LBVI (+) have similar 5-year survival rates to those with Borrmann IV + LBVI (-) and patients with Borrmann IV + LBVI (+). The author recommend that clinicians should carry out a comprehensive multidisciplinary, multimodal and individualized treatment plan when they encounter Borrmann III + LBVI (+) patients, regardless of the pT stage and tumor location, to obtain better survival results. Comments 1: The abstract is too simple and needs to be expanded; Comments 2: In page 4, materials and methods has a large sample size, but the method process description is too simple and needs to be described in detail, please insert the period when the study was carried out; Comments 3: The DISCUSSION could also be shortened and focused more.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

**Manuscript NO:** 57103

**Title:** The effect of the Borrmann types combined with vessel invasion status on the prognosis of advanced gastric cancer

**Reviewer's code:** 02857948

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** FEBS, MD, MRCP

**Professional title:** Doctor, Professor, Research Scientist

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Greece

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-06-15

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-06-28 08:47

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-07-11 02:09

**Review time:** 12 Days and 17 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](https://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear authors, thank you for submitting your paper to the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology. Your study is a well-written, good structured recommendation for the prognosis of advanced gastric cancer. The recommendations are good for clinical use. Thank you for a useful and important synopsis of this important topic.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

**Manuscript NO:** 57103

**Title:** The effect of the Borrmann types combined with vessel invasion status on the prognosis of advanced gastric cancer

**Reviewer's code:** 02543557

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** FIAC, MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor, Research Scientist

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** United States

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-06-15

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-06-28 08:47

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-07-11 02:11

**Review time:** 12 Days and 17 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

In this retrospective study, the Authors investigate the clinicopathological characteristics and long-term survival status of 2604 patients who were diagnosed with advanced gastric adenocarcinoma. The study is set up correctly. The material studied allows to draw the conclusions. The paper is written well, the Introduction give a good overview about the study background and the authors raised clearly the hypothesis of the study. The description of material studied is accurate. The aim of the study is fulfilled. The material studied is large enough and allows to draw the conclusions. The Results are presented clearly and have been discussed well.