

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 57587

Title: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography guided interventions in the management of pancreatic cancer

Reviewer's code: 01430761

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-06-16 23:11

Reviewer performed review: 2020-06-17 00:46

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a comprehensive review of ERCP for pancreatic cancer. ERCP-GUIDED DIAGNOSTIC INTERVENTIONS 1. Approach to distal biliary stricture including pancreatic cancer is well summarized in the recent international consensus (J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2020;35:967-979.). Please cite this consensus. 2. Intrinsic (biliary tract cancer) and extrinsic (pancreatic cancer) stricture need different approach (Cancer Med. 2017;6:582-590.). Please give some comments. 3. For diagnosis of early pancreatic cancer, ERP might be better than EUS such as SPACE technique (Diagnostics (Basel). 2019;9:30.). ERCP-guided preoperative biliary drainage (PBD) for resectable pancreatic cancers 4. Stent selection (SEMS vs. PS) should be discussed. ERCP-guided biliary drainage in neoadjuvant treatment of pancreatic cancer 5. Again, stent selection (CSEMS vs. USEMS) should be discussed (Gastrointest Endosc. 2019 Oct;90(4):602-612.). ERCP-guided intraductal radiofrequency ablation 6. ERCP-RFA included mostly patients with biliary tract cancer. RFA for pancreatic cancer is often performed at laparotomy, or recently EUS-guided. Role of ERCP In Gastric Outlet Obstruction 7. Combined GOO and MBO is well reviewed in Dig Endosc. 2017;29:16-25. Please cite this paper. Furthermore, ERCP-stenting has poor clinical outcomes in those patients (Surg Endosc. 2013;27:1243-8.), and EUS-BD can be a treatment option (Dig Dis Sci. 2014;59:1931-8.). 8. EUS-GJ has been increasingly reported. Please add some comments. 9. For duodenal stenting, a meta-analysis comparing covered vs. uncovered stent was published recently (Dig Endosc. 2017 ;29:259-271.). Table 4. Ref 96 and 98 appeared to be a same study (preliminary data and final data).

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 57587

Title: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography guided interventions in the management of pancreatic cancer

Reviewer's code: 05106340

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-06-22 01:47

Reviewer performed review: 2020-07-01 04:13

Review time: 9 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall the topic of the review is comprehensive and relevant. Please check whether the included literatures in TABLEs are up to date.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 57587

Title: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography guided interventions in the management of pancreatic cancer

Reviewer's code: 00224495

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-06-19 18:41

Reviewer performed review: 2020-07-01 23:09

Review time: 12 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article is a review article on ERCP-guided interventions in the management of pancreatic cancer. However, Almost all of the topics on the management of pancreatic cancer mentioned by the authors are no different from those on the management of malignant biliary stricture by cholangiocarcinoma. - The table 2, 3, and 4 do not show the results of studies confined to pancreatic cancer, but rather show the overall results of malignant biliary strictures, mainly including those of bile duct cancer. Therefore, these tables do not fit the purpose of this paper. - The authors described ERCP-guided intraductal radiofrequency ablation(RFA). However, the authors did not just describe ERCP-guided intraductal RFA, but mostly the thermal ablative technique of RFA used to treat solid pancreatic tumor mass. Furthermore, most of the references they quoted were not about ERCP-guided intraductal RFA, but about the thermal ablative technique of RFA used to treat solid pancreatic tumor mass. - The authors described role of ERCP in gastric outlet obstruction. However, stent placement for gastric outlet obstruction is not the role of ERCP, but the role of GI endoscopic intervention.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 57587

Title: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography guided interventions in the management of pancreatic cancer

Reviewer's code: 03757038

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-06-16 22:00

Reviewer performed review: 2020-07-08 16:53

Review time: 21 Days and 18 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article reviews the ERCP-guided interventions for the management of pancreatic cancer. The topic of this article is very interesting to gastroenterologists because it focus on not only diagnosis, but also therapeutic roles of ERCP for pancreatic cancer patients. The manuscript is well-written and comprehensive. I have one major comment. The authers described on endoscopic stenting for gastric outlet obstruction (GOO) during the course of pancreatic cancer. I think endoscopic enteral stenting for GOO should not be included in ERCP-guided intervention because it is a procedure that allows stent insertion using a forward-viewing endoscope instead of the duodenoscope.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 57587

Title: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography guided interventions in the management of pancreatic cancer

Reviewer's code: 03757038

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-16

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang (Part-Time Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-09-01 02:23

Reviewer performed review: 2020-09-01 02:25

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Congrats! The paper is well written. I have no more concerns.