

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 57608

**Title:** Older Age, Longer Procedures and Tandem Endoscopic-Ultrasound as Risk Factors for Post-ERCP Bacteremia

**Reviewer's code:** 05190195

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Author's Country/Territory:** Israel

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-06-18

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-06-23 08:43

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-06-24 12:28

**Review time:** 1 Day and 3 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear authors In this paper the authors demonstrated in a large retrospective cohort how some factors influence the outcome of ercp, and in particular the incidence of bacteremia and therefore of sepsis. In particular, advanced age, the tandem use of eus and ercp and the long duration of ercp are related to an increased incidence of bacteriemia. First of all although the clinical impact of these results is significant, in the literature there are many studies that analyze these points and therefore the results that emerged are not so original. Secondly, this study highlights how the attitude towards the use of antibiotics is still very heterogeneous and sometimes based to operator's discretion. I would have some major comments: 1. were the tests performed by the same operator? with what experience? have different operators had different outcomes? 2. co-morbidities were not considered in patient selection. It has been shown that these, particularly cirrhosis, have a role in 3. post procedural infections and can therefore influence the outcome. I suggest to take them into consideration and investigate how they affect the incidence of post procedural bacteremia 3. The prophylactic use of pancreatic stents and nasobiliary tubes has not been documented. It has been shown that both positively influence the incidence of post-ercp infectious complications. If data are available, they should be evaluated. 4. The same for laboratory tests: pre-procedural leukocytosis and albumin levels seem to be correlated with the outcome 5. On the basis of these comments references list should be updated Finally I would have one minor comment on the flow-chart: 1. in the last line the sum of the patients (65 and 33) is not 84 as is in the previous line. Please correct and modify if needed.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 57608

**Title:** Older Age, Longer Procedures and Tandem Endoscopic-Ultrasound as Risk Factors for Post-ERCP Bacteremia

**Reviewer's code:** 02536337

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Israel

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-06-18

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-06-26 03:03

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-07-01 13:51

**Review time:** 5 Days and 10 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Minor comments: 1. The patients with acute cholangitis before ERCP should be excluded. 2. Blood routine and biochemistry should be evaluated.