

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 57761

Title: 7-LATE COMPLICATIONS OF PANCREAS TRANSPLANT

Reviewer's code: 02592138

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: England

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-06-23 09:34

Reviewer performed review: 2020-06-24 15:26

Review time: 1 Day and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good review of complicated topic. The authors comprehensively outline some of the topical aspects of pancreas transplantation. Highlighting the main aspects of the poor long term outcome. The article reads well as a book chapter rather than a review however and I miss the outline of recent studies and controversies in the field. For example the introduction jumps into a definition of loss of graft function and I would welcome a rounded introduction of pancreas transplantation in a short paragraph. The authors would have done well to focus on some of the specific areas of controversy e.g. does the protocol for immunosuppression influence the outcome of pancreas transplant? Does unit volume have a role? Does enteric vs bladder drain matter in terms of long term outcomes? I enjoyed the section on pseudoaneurysms, this is an important area, a major cause for morbidity and mortality. Further discussion around the role for stenting electively, role of perfusion fluid analysis, extended treatment courses etc. would have been welcomed. The end of the article could have done with a more succinct closure statement. Overall I enjoyed reading this, and felt the manuscript was comprehensive, but not topical, or highlighting areas of controversies of where the field could go in the future.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 57761

Title: 7-LATE COMPLICATIONS OF PANCREAS TRANSPLANT

Reviewer's code: 00844053

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACS, MD

Professional title: Chief Doctor , Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2020-06-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-06-23 12:27

Reviewer performed review: 2020-06-25 16:31

Review time: 2 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Ibanez JM et al. described late complications of pancreas transplantation. They analyzed the OPTN/UNOS data. I have some suggestions: Do the authors have data from Spain or Europe and compare both data? Could the authors include their own data and see if there is a difference or it is the same with the OPTN/UNOS data. Any recommendations with regard to chronic rejection and/or prevent allograft loss? The authors should review the following paper regarding long term outcome of pancreas allograft and the use of m-Tor to prevent graft loss: Ciancio G, Sageshima J, Chen L, et al. Advantage of rapamycin over mycophenolate mofetil when used with tacrolimus for simultaneous pancreas kidney transplants: randomized single-center trial at 10 years. *Am J Transplant* 12:3363-3376, 2012. It will be appropriate to include a section of vascular complications after pancreas transplantation.