

Editorial Office,
Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

29 December 2020

Dear Professor Ma,

On behalf of my co-authors, we thank you very much for giving us an opportunity to revise our manuscript, we appreciate editors and reviewers very much for their positive and constructive comments and suggestions on our manuscript entitled “Malignant pheochromocytoma with cerebral and skull metastasis: A case report and literature review”. (Manuscript NO.: 58217, Case Report). We have studied reviewer’s comments carefully and have made revision in the paper. We have tried our best to revise our manuscript according to the comments. Attached please find the revised version, which we would like to submit for your kind consideration.

We would like to express our great appreciation to you and reviewers for comments on our paper. Looking forward to hearing from you.

Thank you and best regards.

Yours sincerely,

Weiqiang Chen

Corresponding author

Department of Neurosurgery

First Affiliated Hospital of Shantou University Medical College

No.57 Changping Road

Shantou, Guangdong, China 515041

E-mail: wqchen2@163.com

List of Responses

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "Malignant pheochromocytoma with cerebral and skull metastasis: A case report and literature review". (Manuscript NO.: 58217, Case Report) Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer's comments are as flowing:

Responds to the reviewer's comments:

Reviewer #1:

1. The possible mechanism background of pheochromocytomas needs to be supplemented in the introduction section.

Response: Special thanks to you for your good comment. The possible mechanism background of pheochromocytomas has been added in the introduction part.

2. The author needs to add the Institutional Review Board (IRB) number to the draft.

Response : The Institutional Review Board (IRB) number has been added in the introduction part of the article.

3. The patient has received surgical treatment, whether he has also received tumor treatment such as chemotherapy, targeted therapy, etc. These need to be clearly written in the draft.

Response : The patient did not receive chemotherapy, radiotherapy and targeted therapy. These has been added in the OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP part of the article.

4. If the authors add more figure or concept diagram, it will make more interest of this case report.

Response: Thank you for your advice. Actually we did ask for approval from this patient for using other figures which may enrich this case. However, the patient did not allow to use other figures.

5. The author needs to greatly improve the quality of tissue section photos, which is currently unclear in Fig 2 C to F.

Response: We have prepared the original figure documents which are clear.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript. These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper. We appreciate for Editors/Reviewers' warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval.

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Yours sincerely,

Weiqliang Chen

Corresponding author

Department of Neurosurgery

First Affiliated Hospital of Shantou University Medical College

No.57 Changping Road

Shantou, Guangdong, China 515041

E-mail: wqchen2@163.com

