

1 **Response to editors**

2

3 Dear Editors and Reviewers:

4

5 Thank you for your letter and for the editors and reviewers' comments
6 concerning our manuscript entitled "Cutaneous metastases of pancreatic
7 carcinoma to the labia majora: A case report and review of the literature" (NO:
8 58329). We found these comments valuable and helpful in revising and
9 improving our paper, as well as guiding the significance of our case. We have
10 studied the comments carefully and have made suitable corrections in
11 response. The main corrections in the paper and our responses to the editors'
12 comments are as follows.

13

14 *Science editor*

15 1. *Comment*

16 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case report of the cutaneous
17 metastases of pancreatic carcinoma on the labia majora. The topic is within
18 the scope of the WJGO. (1) Classification: Grade C; (2) Summary of the
19 Peer-Review Report: The case has novelty value and is well presented.
20 However, the last sentence of the conclusion should be deleted; and (3)
21 Format: There is 1 table and 5 figures. A total of 30 references are cited,
22 including 1 reference published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations.

23 *Response*

24 Particular thanks for your positive comments. According to your advice, the
25 last sentence of the conclusion – "We hope that our case may be helpful for
26 increasing effective diagnoses of metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma in
27 order to increase survival rates." – was deleted.

28 2. *Comment*

29 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. A language editing certificate
30 issued by Charlesworth Author Services was provided.

31 *Response*

32 We have had our paper re-edited, according to your suggestion.

33 3. *Comment*

34 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the CARE Checklist-2016
35 and details of written informed consent from the patient. The
36 Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement are
37 lacking. No academic misconduct was found in the CrossCheck detection and
38 Bing search.

39 *Response*

40 We apologize for the oversight in failing to upload the Conflict-of-Interest
41 Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement. We have now uploaded
42 these items.

43 4. *Comment*

44 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The topic has
45 not previously been published in the WJGO.

46 *Response*

47 Thank you for your recognition of our case report.

48 5. *Comment*

49 Issues raised: PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please
50 provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list
51 and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout.

52 *Response*

53 Unfortunately, the DOI numbers of some of the references that we consulted
54 were not available on PubMed or the relevant journal websites; these
55 references are marked in red in the revised paper and we provide the journal
56 publishers with a printed copy of the first page of the first article .

57 6. *Comment*

58 Re-Review: Required.

59 *Response*

60 We have reviewed the paper, taking your comments into account, and have
61 had the manuscript professionally re-edited by a suitably qualified native
62 English speaker.

63 7. *Comment*

64 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

65 *Response*

66 We have improved the paper, taking your comments into account, and have
67 made appropriate corrections according to your comments.

68

69 *Editorial office director*

70 *Comment*

71 I have checked the comments written by the science editor.

72 *Response*

73 We have corrected the paper, in response to the science editor's requests.

74 Thank you for your careful work.

75

76 *Company editor-in-chief:*

77 *Comment*

78 I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript and
79 the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing
80 requirements, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted with major
81 revisions. I have sent the manuscript to the authors for revision according to
82 the Peer-Review Report and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.
83 Before final acceptance, the authors need to correct the issues raised by the
84 editor to meet the publishing requirements.

85 *Response*

86 We thank you for your good advice. We have read the Peer-Review Report
87 and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision very carefully. We have revised the
88 paper, taking the opportunity to correct a number of errors, and have had the
89 manuscript re-edited.

90

91 We appreciate the Editors' careful work earnestly. Once again, thank you for
92 your comments and suggestions.