

## Response to reviewers:

Dear reviewers thank you for your peer reviews. We have responded to each query as follows:

### 1. Reviewer: General information of the manuscript

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO.: 58730

Column: Case Report

Title: Ewing Sarcoma of the Jejunum: A Case Report and Literature Review

Authors: Kamlesh Singh Shadhu, Dadhija Ramlagun-Mungur and Xiaochun Ping

Corresponding author: Xiaochun Ping, MBBS, MD, PhD, Doctor, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist, Teacher, General Surgery, Gastrointestinal surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Guangzhou road, 300, Gulou District, Nanjing 210029, Jiangsu, China. pingxiaochun@jsph.org.cn

Date of signature: (Month/Day/Year)

Reviewer code: 02845335

First decision: 2020-09-17 16:34

Response: done

### 2. Reviewer: Style and format

Issues raised 1: File format. The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with 1.5 line-spacing. We only accept the manuscript in MS Word format, and the manuscript in any other format will be rejected.

Issues raised 2: Length. Manuscripts can be any length. There are no restrictions on word count, number of figures, or amount of supporting information.

Issues raised 3: Page. Continuous page numbers, starting from the Title Page, must be included in the manuscript file. Page numbers should be located at the bottom right corner of the page. Line numbers are not permitted.

Response : done

### 3. Reviewer: Abbreviations

Issues raised 1: Abbreviations must be defined upon first appearance in the Abstract, Key words, Core tip, Main Text, Article Highlights, Figure Legends, and Tables. Do not use non-standard abbreviations, unless they appear at least two times in the text preceding the first usage/definition.

Response: done

### 4. Reviewer: Ethics

Issues raised 1: Institutional review board approval form or document. Issues raised 2: Institutional animal care and use committee approval form or document. Issues raised 3: Signed informed consent form(s) or document(s)-Clinical study. Issues raised 4: Signed informed consent form(s) or document(s)-Case report.

Response: done

### 5. Reviewer: Language editing certificate

Issues raised 1: The English language of the manuscript was rated by the peer reviewers as at least Grade B, which itself does not meet the BPG publishing requirements (i.e. Grade A). Before final acceptance, the authors must provide an English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company. Obtaining this service is the responsibility of the authors. Please visit the following website for the professional English language editing companies we recommend: <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>. Submissions that do not meet the BPG publication criterion for language standards may be rejected.

Response: done

6. Reviewer: CrossCheck

Issues raised 1: The highest single-source similarity index was no more than 6% in the CrossCheck report. The authors need to rephrase any repeated portion of text. If authors do not address the issue of similarity in the CrossCheck report, we will not consider final acceptance of the manuscript.

Response: done.

7. Reviewer: Title

Issues raised 1: Please delete any article (The, A, or An) at the beginning of the title.

Issues raised 2: Please spell out any abbreviation in the title.

Issues raised 3: Please add the core key word in the title. Abbreviations are not permitted.

Issues raised 4: Please shorten the title to no more than 12 words.

Response: done

8. Reviewer: Running title

Issues raised 1: Please shorten the running title to no more than 6 words. Abbreviations are permitted.

Response: done

9. Reviewer: Author list

Issues raised 1: Authors should be listed in accordance with the authorship requirements listed in the Guidelines for Authors. Designation of co-first authors and co-corresponding authors is not permitted.

Example 1: Jason Lamontagne, Laura F Steel, Paul V Harper Jr, Bo Yuan, Wei-Hong Tang

Issues raised 2: All authors must provide an ORCID ID number. Please register online at <https://orcid.org/>. Before the final acceptance of the manuscript, each author must register an ORCID number or the authors without an ORCID number must be removed from the author list.

Response: Done

#### 10. Reviewer: Author names and affiliations

Issues raised 1: Each author on the list must have an affiliation. Authors are requested to adjust the order of authors and affiliations. Authors who have the same affiliation should be listed together. When one author has several affiliations, each affiliation will be listed separately. The writing format is: name (in bold font), department, institution, city, zip code, province (state), country.

Response done

#### 11. Reviewer: BPG copyright license agreement

Issues raised 1: Please verify whether the number of author names in the manuscript is the same as that of authors who signed the copyright form.

Issues raised 2: Please verify whether the order of author names is the same as that of authors who signed the copyright form.

Response: done

#### 12. Reviewer: Author contributions

Issues raised 1: The contributions of each author must be listed according to the order of authorship. The 'Author contributions' passage describes the specific contribution(s) made by each author. The authors' names will be listed in the following format: full family (sur)name followed by abbreviated first and middles names; for example, Bryan L Copple should be revised as Copple BL.

Response: done

13. Reviewer: Supported by

Issues raised 1: Please specify the full name of each funding source and the corresponding grant number. Insert a semicolon (;) between funds, and end with a period (.).

Example:

Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. 31501861 and No. 31672286; and Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province, China, No. ZR2015CM013.

Issues raised 2: Please verify whether the supporting documents are consistent with the type and number of funds listed in the manuscript. If not, delete those without supporting documents.

Response: done

14. Reviewer: Corresponding author

Issues raised 1: Please change the corresponding author's institution name and address. The institution name must be consistent with the first listed institution name. The 'Corresponding author' passage provides the corresponding author's full first and family (sur)names, abbreviated title (e.g., MD, PhD), affiliated institute's name and complete postal address (including zip code) and e-mail (written in all lowercase).

Response: done

15. Reviewer: Issues raised 1: Please ensure the presence of a citation that includes all authors, title, journal name, year, and the phrase 'In press'. The order of authors' names should be consistent with the order of authorship, with the full last name placed in front of the abbreviated first and middle names.

Response: done

16. Reviewer: Core tip

Issues raised 1: The Core tip is a short paragraph that is independent of the content of the abstract. The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments.

Issues raised 2: Please ensure the Audio Core tip file has been provided in mp3, wav, or other audio format.

Response: done

#### 17. Reviewer: Acknowledgements

Issues raised 1: The Acknowledgements section should not include funding source, language editing companies, and other biomedical institutions providing paid services. Please delete the contents that are not suitable for the Acknowledgements section.

Response: done

#### 18. Reviewer: References

The authors are responsible for accuracy and completeness of their references and for correct in-text citation.

Response: done

#### 19. Reviewer: Figures and Tables

The figure legends, figures, tables, and table notes should be on separate pages at the end of the manuscript. The authors should create vector graphics and images using Microsoft PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

Response: done

#### 20. Reviewer: Answering reviewers

Issues raised 1: Please carefully check the comments in the Peer-review report and ensure that a point-to-point response to the questions posed by

each peer reviewer is provided. The Answering reviewers document must be provided before the manuscript can be finally accepted.

Response: done

We thank you for your time for the peer review comments. Thank you very much.

Kind regards,

Dr. Xiaochun Ping.