

Authors must resolve all issues in the manuscript that are raised in the peer-review report(s) and make point-by-point responses to the issues raised in the peer-review report(s), which are listed below:

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors:

- 1 the title reflect the main subject
- 2 the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript
- 3 the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript
- 4 Background is adequate
- 5 Methods of experiments is sufficient
- 6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved
- 7 Discussion is adequate
- 8 Illustrations of figures, diagrams are good quality
- 9 Biostatistics. meet the requirements
- 10 Units: use of SI units
- 11 References: appropriate
- 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. is very good
- 13 Research methods and reporting. clear
- 14 Ethics statements. ok

Re: we thank you the reviewer's comments, and thoroughly checked the manuscript, revised several typos, and highlighted the revision with red font. According the suggestions from editor, we provide the editable figure in PPT format.