

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 58802

**Title:** Primary breast cancer patient with poliomyelitis: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 00646357

**Position:** Editor-in-Chief

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Chairman, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Egypt

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-08-07

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-08-07 20:05

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-08-08 05:49

**Review time:** 9 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

-Add previous studiesi discuss the same issue if found -Add more on the basic of this disease in the introduction -Discus role of imaging in cancer breast using these ref  
-Abdel Razek A, Zaki M, Bayoumi D, Taman S, AbdelWahab K, Alghandour R. Diffusion tensor imaging parameters in differentiation recurrent breast cancer from post-operative changes in patients with breast-conserving surgery. Eur J Radiol 2019;111:76-80. -Razek AA, Lattif MA, Denewer A, Farouk O, Nada N. Assessment of axillary lymph nodes in patients with breast cancer with diffusion-weighted MR imaging in combination with routine and dynamic contrast MR imaging. Breast Cancer 2016;23:525-32. -Abdel Razek AA, Gaballa G, Denewer A, Tawakol I. Diffusion weighted MR imaging of the breast. Acad Radiol 2010;17:382-6. -English language correction through the manuscript -Update of references

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 58802

**Title:** Primary breast cancer patient with poliomyelitis: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 02672167

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Brazil

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-08-07

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-08-10 12:32

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-08-10 14:35

**Review time:** 2 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://** www.wjgnet.com

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors present a case report about a breast-cancer patient previously diagnosed with polio. The case is described with a certain amount of detail, and the treatments seem to be standard. I shall congratulate the authors for that. However, I would like to suggest some changes to the authors, to improve the article: 1 - General: Please re-do an "medical English" review (even though you show an English certificate, it seems like no Medical English have seen the text body. I, who am not a native English speaker, realized some possible opportunities for you to improve the text. 2 - abstract: a) Please specify the type of mastectomy performed (e.g., radical, modified radical, etc.); b) Write the name of the chemotherapy drugs (do not abbreviate), and reinforce that there was no radiation therapy, only hormone therapy; c) Please insert some information about any sequelae that the patient had after the Surgery (if you do not room for this, put on 'Case Presentation' Section); d) The conclusion of the abstract is too extensive - summarize a little and/or put this information in the case description. 3 - introduction: a reference in the breast cancer quote (penultimate sentence) is lacking - please insert one 4 - case presentation: a) in the description of the case, it is necessary to inform whether the patient can write, and whether she is left or right-handed (this information can be important in the discussion of the case regarding quality of life), and also if the patient was able to use crutches to get around, before diagnosis of cancer (or if she depended only on a wheelchair) b) Was hormone therapy prescribed for only 1 year? Explain. c) Was she formally evaluated for radiotherapy? Justify. 5 - discussion / conclusion: I think that the authors should insert a paragraph with a discussion about the extension/radicality of the treatments in face of the possibility of increasing sequelae. Here go some points to discuss: a) Even if the patient had not desired to preserve the breast, she would have had conservative surgery (less extensive) plus radiotherapy - either whole-breast, or partial radiotherapy; b) Even if she had a positive lymph node



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

she could have just removed the sentinel lymph node, without subsequent lymphadenectomy (to minimize the risk of lymphedema); c) Could she be given ONLY hormonal therapy instead of multimodal treatment ?

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 58802

**Title:** Primary breast cancer patient with poliomyelitis: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 00646357

**Position:** Editor-in-Chief

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Chairman, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Egypt

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-08-07

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-08-27 05:54

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-08-27 06:41

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

accept