

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 58963

Title: Endoscopic pancreaticobiliary drainage with overlength stents to prevent delayed perforation after endoscopic papillectomy: A pilot study

Reviewer's code: 03714001

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-08-20

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-20 10:37

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-25 02:13

Review time: 4 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Wu L et al. investigated the usefulness of overlength stents for preventing delayed perforation after EP. The concept of this study seems to be interesting. However, several major concerns were raised. 1. First of all, the indication of EP should be mentioned. Some cases showed non-neoplastic lesions in the final pathological diagnosis. Preoperative biopsy also showed non-neoplastic lesions. Moreover, inclusion criteria included “the lesions of duodenal major papilla, regardless of benign or malignant”. Malignant lesions were the indication of EP in your institution? 2. Please provide the endoscopic images of exposure or injury of muscularis propria in all 5 cases. And, please provide the images without exposure or injury of muscularis propria (other 10 cases?). The definitions of exposure or injury of muscularis propria seems to be vague. 3. Please provide the diagnostic tools of perforation. In all cases, were CT scan performed? 4. Please provide the product name of ENBD tube.