

Response to the Comments

Dear editor and reviewer,

Thanks for the comments, your precious advice elevated the quality of our work.

1. how the gene sequencing was performed and whether it was on tissue obtained from the main tumour, and the actual result of gene sequence.

Thanks for the kind advice, we have generally described the gene sequence course in the part of MULTIDISCIPLINARY EXPERT CONSULTATION, marked in red. The results of each exon were also stated behind. Additionally, we added figure 4, which directly demonstrated the result of gene sequencing, to better support the diagnosis.

2. The abstract contains a number of weaknesses. First the background needs to be reworded to be a lot more focussed on what is currently known about malignant SFT of the omentum (ie provide the reader with the context). There is too much information provided about the case in the abstract when this could be summarized into two short sentences. One sentence about the patient followed by one sentence about how the diagnosis was confirmed following the surgery to remove the tumour and its satellite nodules. The conclusions in the abstract are speculative and not supported by either the information from the case nor the information summarised for the other reported cases of malignant SFT of the omentum.

Thanks for the correction. I have re-written the abstract and marked in red. In background, I focused on the knowledge of malignant SFT. The case presentation was reworded in a shorter paragraph. The part of conclusion emphasized the application of gene sequence in the diagnosis of SFT.

3. The discussion needs to be a bit more focused on how your case compares and contrasts with the other reported cases.

Thanks for the comment. I added several contents to the discussion, firstly, the uniqueness of our cases was discussed. Secondly, the necessity in the diagnosis and the potential usage in the treatment of gene sequence were mentioned. Lastly, the contents about the documenting of SFT that you suggested in the comment were added.

4. The authors need to provide the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement.

Thanks. All co-authors have agreed and signed the disclosure form, separately. I will upload them to the submitting system.

5. I found no "Author contribution" section. Please provide the author contributions; Author contribution was provided.

6. I found the authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s).

I have removed the supportive fundings.

7. I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

I have prepared the ppt and uploaded to the system in supplementary data

8. I found the authors did not add the PMID and DOI in the reference list. Please provide

the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout;

I have added the DOI and PMID (if have) to the reference.

9. I found the "Case Presentation" did not meet our requirements. Please re-write the "Case Presentation" section according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision.

I have re-written the case presentation according to the guideline.

Thanks again for your kind comments.

Yuanyi Wang.