

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thanks for your valuable advice on our manuscript entitled “**Clinical characteristics and ABCC2 genotypic analysis in Dubin-Johnson syndrome: A case report and review of literature**” (Manuscript NO.: 59226, Case Report). We have studied the issues and revised the text of manuscript. Our point by point answer to comments are listed below the editors' and reviewers' comments.

Best wishes,

Juanjuan Zhu

Response to Editors and Reviewers:

Comments and Responses:

3 SCIENTIFIC QUALITY

Reviewer #1:

1. The authors identified a Dubin-Johnson syndrome-related mutation in a Chinese patient. This case report is a sporadic case example. This mutation cannot be attributed to all Chinese people. The authors should avoid such statements.

Answer: Thank you for your advice and we have revised such statements in our manuscript.

2. Figures 2 and 3 are of very low quality. The authors should submit these figures with high quality. Also, If possible, increase the quality of figure 1.

Answer: Thank you for your comments. We have modified and improved these figure.

4 LANGUAGE QUALITY

Please resolve all language issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report. Please be sure to have a native-English speaker edit the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure, word usage, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, format, and general readability, so that the manuscript's language will meet our direct publishing needs.

Answer: Thanks for your suggestion. This manuscript has been polished by an English language editing company.

5 EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

(1) Science editor:

1.1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case report of clinical characteristics of Doberman-Johnson syndrome and ABCC2 genotype. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) **Classification:** Grade C; (2) **Summary of the Peer-Review Report:** The manuscript needs to revise some of the statements and improve the quality of the pictures. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; and (3) **Format:** There is 1 table and 3 figures. A total of 30 references are cited, including 11 references published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations.

Answer: Thank you for your opinions. We have revised the statements and the pictures in our manuscript. Our previous study focused on fatty liver disease, which has no correlation with this paper and does not have the value of citation. So, there are no self-citations.

1.2 Language evaluation: **Classification:** Grade B. A language editing certificate issued by Editage was provided.

Answer: Thank you for your comment.

1.3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the CARE Checklist – 2016, and the Written informed consent. The author needs to provide the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement. No academic misconduct was found in the CrossCheck detection and Bing search.

Answer: Thank you for your advice and we have added the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement.

1.4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The study was supported by Talents of QianKeHe platform of Chain; the ZhuKe Contract; and the QianKeHe Support. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. The corresponding author has not published articles in the BPG.

Answer: Thank you for your comment.

1.5 Issues raised: (1) I found the authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please **upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s)**; and (2) I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please **provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.**

Answer: Thank you for your comments. We have uploaded the funding agency copy of the approval document and the original figures.

1.6 Re-Review: Required.

Answer: Thank you for your valuable advice.

1.7 Recommendation: Conditionally accepted.

Answer: Thank you for your comment.

(2) Editorial office director: I have checked and revised the comments written by the science editor.

Answer: Thank you for your opinion.

(3) Company editor-in-chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

Answer: Thank you for your advice and we have revised in our manuscript.