Reviewer Questions	Answers
Reviewer 1:	
1. I would omit all unnecessary information especially in the first two pages, where a summary of the SARS-CoV2 infection unrelated to the liver is provided; the manuscript should be more focussed.	1. All such information has been removed.
2. The illustrations and tables should be more informative: for instance, the table (Figure) on pathophysiological aspects should be more elaborated and include more details (which, in turn, could be omitted or only briefly referenced in the text) to provide a "graphical abstract"; considering a few "graphical summaries" (on pathophysiology; on culprit dugs; on management aspects) would increase the impact of the paper.	2. The figure on the pathophysiological aspects has been made more elaborate. A figure on culprit drugs has been added. The management and monitoring aspects have been incorporated into the algorithm(figure) on approach to a patient with elevated liver chemistries.
3. The aspects related of drug-induced liver damage are covered only in a short section: some of the most important drugs used to treat COVID-19 and with known hepatotoxicity should be discussed in more detail, especially as regards practical measures of patient monitoring/	3. The drugs known to cause hepatotoxicity have been discussed in detail and details regarding monitoring have been included.
4. A more detailed algorithm suggesting diagnostic tests to be performed and measures for monitoring liver damage in SARS-CoV2 infection should be made available.	4. The algorithm on approach to a patient with elevated liver chemistries has been modified to include-tests to be done, monitoring of patient and management aspects.
Reviewer 2:	
1. The last sentence of page 12 should be reformulated.	1. This has been done.
2. There are missing points and commas in the whole article. Spaces should be present after a full stop.	2. This has been corrected.
3. Abbreviations should be used after the complete terms is used for the first time (i.e., hepatocarcinoma (HCC)).	3. This has been modified accordingly.
Science Editor:	
1. Summary of the Peer-Review Report: This manuscript is a narrative review on a very important and timely topic. The paper summarizes efficiently almost all the evidences in COVID19-related liver disease. This discussion could be deeper, if the authors have further data (laboratory or clinical) in their institutions. A more detailed algorithm	1. The questions raised by the reviewers have been answered.

suggesting diagnostic tests to be performed and measures for monitoring liver damage in SARS-CoV2 infection should be made available. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered.	
2. The authors need to provide the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement.	2. These forms have been provided.
3. I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.	3. The original figure documents have been provided in PowerPoint format.
4. I found the authors did not add the PMID and DOI in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout.	4. The PMID and DOI have been added in the reference list.
5. The author should number the references in Arabic numerals according to the citation order in the text. The reference numbers will be superscripted in square brackets at the end of the sentence with the citation content or after the cited author's name, with no spaces.	5. This has been done.