

Dear Editor,

Thank you for all important remarks and comments.

We have edited our manuscript according to them as following:

The following peer-review report and comments from the Editorial Office (Science Editor, Editorial Office Director, and Company Editor-in-Chief) are provided for your reference.

1 Peer-review report

Reviewer #1: Thank you for the invitation to review the manuscript by Czubkowski et al. The paper reports on the case of a child with farnesoid X receptor deficiency. Since there are only a few cases reported so far, this paper could contribute to better understanding of the pathology and disease course and it highlights important diagnostic findings. Case presentation and discussion are well written and emphasize the main point of the paper. However, some parts of the manuscript; abstract, introduction, case summary demand some editing: sentences need to be more concise and better structured. In conclusion, the paper has a valuable point, but needs corrections before publishing.

Response: Thank you, the manuscript underwent language oriented revision by native speakers who are co-authors of the manuscript

2 Editorial Office's comments

1) Science Editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case report of the cholestasis due to FXR deficiency. The topic is within the scope of the WJG. (1) Classification: Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The paper reports on the case of a child with FXR deficiency. Since there are only a few cases reported so far, this paper could contribute to better understanding of the pathology and disease course and it highlights important diagnostic findings. Case presentation and discussion are well written and emphasize the main point of the paper. However, some parts of the manuscript need to be more concise and better structured. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; and (3) Format: There is 1 table and 1 figure. A total of 8 references are cited, including 2 references published in the last 3 years. There is 1 self-citation. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the informed consent and CARE Checklist–2016. The authors need to provide the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement. No academic misconduct was found in the CrossCheck detection and Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The study was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH); Exome sequencing was provided by the University of Washington Center for Mendelian Genomics (UW-CMG) and was funded by NHGRI and NHLBI. The topic has not previously been published in the WJG. The corresponding author has not published articles in the BPG. 5 Issues raised:

(1) I found the authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s);

Response: Attached

(2) I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

Response: Original high-quality figure is provided

(3) I found the authors did not add the PMID and DOI in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout

Response: PMID and DOI are added

(4) the author should number the references in Arabic numerals according to the citation order in the text. The reference numbers will be superscripted in square brackets at the end of the sentence with the citation content or after the cited author's name, with no spaces.

Response: Done