

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**Manuscript NO:** 60268

**Title:** Gastrointestinal amyloidosis: A focused review

**Reviewer's code:** 02372281

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** South Korea

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-10-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-10-23 01:41

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-10-25 08:16

**Review time:** 2 Days and 6 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a review article about the gastrointestinal (GI) amyloidosis. The authors summarized the causes, types and GI manifestations of amyloidosis well; therefore, I am sure that this review will give clinicians a good information on how to diagnose and how to treat GI amyloidosis, (Minor comments) 1. Endoscopic and histopathologic pictures about GI amyloidosis should be included in this article for readers to understand. 2. It is recommended that a table on the treatment according to the amyloidosis types would be included. 3. The format of this article is corrected, and some errors such as misuse of abbreviation should be corrected.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**Manuscript NO:** 60268

**Title:** Gastrointestinal amyloidosis: A focused review

**Reviewer's code:** 03477763

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Turkey

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-10-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** Xi-Fang Chen (Part-Time Editor)

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-11-11 10:45

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-11-13 19:44

**Review time:** 2 Days and 8 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	[ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Grade A: Excellent [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade B: Very good [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade C: Good [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade D: Fair [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	[ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	[ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Accept (High priority) [ <input type="radio"/> ] Accept (General priority) [ <input type="radio"/> ] Minor revision [ <input type="radio"/> ] Major revision [ <input type="radio"/> ] Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	[ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

To Authors I congratulate the authors for Gastrointestinal Amyloidosis: A Focused Review name's article. Best regards.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**Manuscript NO:** 60268

**Title:** Gastrointestinal amyloidosis: A focused review

**Reviewer's code:** 03473965

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Sweden

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-10-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** Xi-Fang Chen (Part-Time Editor)

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-11-14 14:53

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-11-14 15:55

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors have scrutinized the literature and summarized the sparse level of today's knowledge regarding GI Amyloidosis. However, a review includes a method section. The reader wants to know which studies have been performed concluding the treatment strategies of today. Any ongoing studies (clinical trials.gov)? I can read the whole conclusion text in the introduction section (and in abstract). In the introduction we need to know why this manuscript is needed, and a review should collect the current data/knowledge and summarize in conclusion. Is there any news found by this literature-search that affect current assessment of AL and AA? Would like to know the authors own ideas of what to do next in the conclusion.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**Manuscript NO:** 60268

**Title:** Gastrointestinal amyloidosis: A focused review

**Reviewer's code:** 00056943

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** FEBS, MD, MSc

**Professional title:** Chairman, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Austria

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-10-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-10-29 16:52

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-11-16 10:05

**Review time:** 17 Days and 17 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	[ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Grade A: Excellent [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade B: Very good [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade C: Good [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade D: Fair [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	[ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	[ <input type="radio"/> ] Accept (High priority) [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Accept (General priority) [ <input type="radio"/> ] Minor revision [ <input type="radio"/> ] Major revision [ <input type="radio"/> ] Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	[ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input type="radio"/> ] No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear Sir, I report on a review entitled "Gastrointestinal Amyloidosis: A Focused Review" I want to congratulate the authors on this very clear and well readable review. I have two suggestions: 1. Even if the incidence and prevalence is accurately described in the discussion sector, the readability of the introduction sector could be improved by adding a number for the general incidence of this disease. (Page 3, Line 4) 2. The authors state " ... on the US population and its burden on the healthcare system." I believe that this disease not only affects US citizens and the US healthcare system. As the readership of the journal is an international readership one should generalize this statement. (Page 3, Line 20; Page 7, Line 20)



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**Manuscript NO:** 60268

**Title:** Gastrointestinal amyloidosis: A focused review

**Reviewer's code:** 02523689

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** BM BCh, MD, MSc

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Egypt

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-10-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** Xi-Fang Chen (Part-Time Editor)

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-11-13 10:20

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-11-23 20:30

**Review time:** 10 Days and 10 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors discussed uncommon pathological and clinical entity that is difficult to diagnose and treat. The work is interesting, but as a gastroenterologist, I will be happy to see some important points in this review: 1- It may be valuable to insert a briefing of search methods adapted to extract the data of this narrative review e.g. search terms and the searched databases. 2- I think this narrative review needs subheadings that better to present the topic e.g. pathogenesis, pathology, types, presentations, diagnosis, prognosis ...etc. 3- Supporting this review with some figures may be beneficial e.g. biopsy pictures, CT pictures, endoscopic pictures....etc. 4- I wish to see a clear differentiation between local and systemic GIT amyloidosis e.g. in a table 5- I failed to characterize localized GIT amyloidosis in this article 6- Previous studies e.g. that by Yen et al., failed to diagnose 45% of cases of GIT amyloidosis with the biopsies, how these patients were diagnosed? And what recommendations for clinicians in such cases? 7- The biopsy is the gold standard in diagnosis of GIT amyloids, and I recommend the authors to discuss in details the characteristics of adequate biopsy regarding the methods to obtain, sufficient quantity, handling , examination ....etc. under a separate heading. 8- If it is possible, suggest a management algorithm for GIT amyloidosis based on the available literature. I think it would be beneficial for clinicians. 9- I am not a native English speaker, but I think the article needs proofreading.

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

**Manuscript NO:** 60268

**Title:** Gastrointestinal amyloidosis: A focused review

**Reviewer's code:** 00056943

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** FEBS, MD, MSc

**Professional title:** Chairman, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Austria

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-10-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** Le Zhang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-12-17 08:53

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-12-17 09:05

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	[ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Grade A: Excellent [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade B: Very good [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade C: Good [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade D: Fair [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	[ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ <input type="radio"/> ] Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	[ <input type="radio"/> ] Accept (High priority) [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Accept (General priority) [ <input type="radio"/> ] Minor revision [ <input type="radio"/> ] Major revision [ <input type="radio"/> ] Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Sir, the suggested changes have been included appropriately. The manuscript



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

can be accepted. Kind regards