

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 60284

**Title:** Low-grade mucinous appendiceal neoplasm mimicking an ovarian lesion

**Reviewer's code:** 00503545

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Director, Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** Portugal

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-11-20

**Reviewer chosen by:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-12-10 23:46

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-12-13 04:27

**Review time:** 2 Days and 4 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

In this paper, the authors presented a rare case of low-grade mucinous appendiceal neoplasm (LAMN) mimicking an ovarian lesion. This paper is well written and the case reported in the paper is clinically interesting. However, the authors should address the following points.

1. Literature review concerning the case presented in this paper is insufficient. The authors should perform more detailed literature review of the similar cases to the patient presented in the paper.
2. The authors should state whether the continuity between the tumor and intestinal tract was observed on ultrasound and MRI.
3. In Figure 1C, the tumor and the right ovary should be shown by arrows, respectively.
4. The authors also should show microscopic (histological) pictures of the lesion showing LAMN.

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 60284

**Title:** Low-grade mucinous appendiceal neoplasm mimicking an ovarian lesion

**Reviewer's code:** 00503545

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Director, Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** Portugal

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-11-20

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ru Fan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-01-05 23:35

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-01-06 23:34

**Review time:** 23 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript has been improved significantly compared with the previous version.