



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 60646

Title: Updated Kimura-Takemoto classification of atrophic gastritis

Reviewer's code: 01047294

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Russia

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-06

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-04 00:57

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-04 06:47

Review time: 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, the authors compared the morphological classification of atrophic gastritis between the Kimura-Takemoto system and the updated Sydney system. Then, the authors found that the updated Sydney system is significantly inferior to the updated Kimura-Takemoto classification for morphological verification of atrophic gastritis. Several questions raised about this study. Please clarify these points described below. In Methods, the correlation of Kimura-Takemoto classification and biopsy sites is difficult to understand. Please make a figure for detecting the biopsy sites from stomach. Also I think concrete examples of endoscopic visual assessment of Kimura-Takemoto grading are necessary to confirm the accuracy of your endoscopic classification. In Results, Morphological typing of atrophy according to Kimura-Takemoto is also confusing. It is hard to understand. I think the Kimura-Takemoto classification is the grading of corporal atrophy which is gradually changing to whole atrophic mucosa. So I cannot understand the grading should not combined e.g. C2O3. Please to polish the sentences of this section for easy understanding. Minor points In reference, authors' names and styles were not unified. Please correct for all references. In reference, description by Rugge M et al. were too many. Please reduce the references of these. In reference, reference number 16 should be the reference number 2, instead of Miike T et al.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 60646

Title: Updated Kimura-Takemoto classification of atrophic gastritis

Reviewer's code: 05261629

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Russia

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-06

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-01 11:43

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-06 10:34

Review time: 4 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Intesresting article, with good results for clinical practice



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 60646

Title: Updated Kimura-Takemoto classification of atrophic gastritis

Reviewer's code: 01047294

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Russia

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-06

Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu (Part-Time Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-02 12:44

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-02 12:55

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for your revision.