

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 60689

**Title:** Etomidate versus propofol in coronary heart disease patients undergoing major noncardiac surgery: randomized clinical trial

**Reviewer's code:** 05186196

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Academic Research, Attending Doctor, Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Romania

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-11-10

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ru Fan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-11-26 15:47

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-11-26 18:21

**Review time:** 2 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

To my view, this is a well-written paper based on a well-conducted study. I only have some minor suggestions: 1. Did you take into consideration that ethnicity/race might play a role in your results? As compared to the available literature, did you observe any differences between Asian and Caucasian, Afro-American patients etc.? Are there any genetic factors influencing the pharmacology of these anesthetic drugs? 2. The authors should prepare a graphical abstract for the paper or some colourful figures to attract readers.

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 60689

**Title:** Etomidate versus propofol in coronary heart disease patients undergoing major noncardiac surgery: randomized clinical trial

**Reviewer's code:** 05186196

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Academic Research, Attending Doctor, Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Romania

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-11-10

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ru Fan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-12-18 03:42

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-12-18 03:48

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have addressed my suggestions and the paper can be accepted for publication.