

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 60692

Title: Pancreas-preserving duodenectomy of a duodenal papillary tumor : A case report

Reviewer's code: 00159342

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FRSC

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-09

Reviewer chosen by: Pan Huang (Quit in 2020)

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-11-20 14:23

Reviewer performed review: 2020-11-20 15:42

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thanks for the opportunity to review this manuscript. This manuscript describes a rare condition and relatively difficult operation. However, please address these queries and re-submit for consideration: 1. TITLE is misleading, needs to be changed: Pancreas-preserving duodenectomy of a duodenal papillary tumor: a case report 2. The term 'local excision' in this context means ampullectomy, but you have performed duodenectomy in your case 3. ABSTRACT section is too long, please cut it down to 250 words 4. ABSTRACT section should not contain references, please remove 5. Did the previous hospital perform a biopsy from the lesion during endoscopy? If not, you should have performed another endoscopy with a biopsy, along with an endoscopic ultrasound to assess the exact extent of the tumour 6. Did CT scan show the mass was contained within the duodenum? You have mentioned "CT showed a space-occupying lesions at the head of the pancreas and the duodenal bulb" - change "lesions" to "lesion" 7. You mention "trocar insertion" - did you attempt laparoscopy? If not, what incision was used to gain access? 8. Outcome & Follow up are repeated, please change 9. In DISCUSSION section, please change this to: "At present, the methods of operation for duodenal papilla tumor include pancreatoduodenectomy, duodenectomy, ampullectomy, endoscopic resection" 10. Reference number 1 is missing in the text, please add 11. This is confusing, please simplify & re-write: "However, with surgical technique improvements and more research on duodenal papilla tumors, the operative methods are evolving towards the direction of minimally invasive surgery. At the same time, the development of laparoscopy, endoscopy and imaging techniques is also promoting the development of surgical methods for duodenal papilla towards the same direction" 12. References in the text should be only be cited at the end of the sentence 13. ".....associated with better long-term effects" - "effects" should be changed to "outcomes" 14. You must correlate your case findings with available literature, and why it justified duodenectomy and not PD 15. What is the pathological staging in this case? 16. Figure 1: please indicate relevant



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

structures with arrows 17. Please submit only one image for pathology