

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 60872

Title: Pediatric T-tube in adult liver transplantation: Technical refinements of insertion and removal

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05201806

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS, MD

Professional title: Research Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-17 16:40

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-17 16:57

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments: In this retrospective study, the authors evaluate the role of pediatric T-tube in adult OLT. In this study, 72 patients were included and outcomes were evaluated.

Abstract: Written well. Few grammatical errors exist, which needs attention.

Introduction: Written well. Methods: T-tube insertion protocol could be in the supplementary section. Image describing the procedure is adequate. Same holds good for the T-tube removal procedure. Discussion and limitations were mentioned appropriately. Overall well informed manuscript.