

Dear editors and reviewers:

Thank you very much for considering our revised manuscript entitled “**Primary bone ALK positive anaplastic large-cell lymphoma: a case report**” (Manuscript NO: 60941, Case Report) .

We appreciate the careful review and constructive comments provided by the reviewers of our manuscript. We have studied the comments carefully and provided answers in a point-by-point manner to each of the reviewers’ questions. We had made corrections which we hope are suitable for publication in World Journal of Clinical Cases. Below are our answers for questions raised by the reviewers.

***Comments:** The authors report a rare case of primary bone ALCL. They describe accurately the clinical findings and the method to achieve a final diagnosis, which is often difficult for these primary bone lesions. Two points could add significantly to this manuscript: 1. identification of the ALK fusion type 2. description of the response to CHOP therapy (the authors report that next evaluation is planned after 5th therapy cycle).*

Response: Thank you for providing this pertinent paper which is helpful to improve our research. As suggested by the reviewer, we have added the result of ALK fusion type into the manuscript, and description of the response to CHOP therapy. All revised portions are marked in the paper, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions. (please see page 8, line 214-219; line 229-232, respectively)

Editorial comments:

Comment 1: *The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s);*

Response: Thank you for your advice. We have uploaded the approved grant application form. Please see the revision files.

Comment 2: *The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;*

Response: Thank you for your advice. We have arranged original figures and legends using PowerPoint detailed in the revision files.

Comment 3: *PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout;*

Response: Thanks for your correction. We have added the PMID and DOI numbers in revised manuscript and listed all authors of the references. (please see page 11-16, line 334-465).

Comment 4: *The “Case Presentation” section was not written according to the Guidelines for Manuscript Preparation. Please re-write the “Case Presentation” section, and add the “FINAL DIAGNOSIS”, “TREATMENT”, and “OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP” sections to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision.*

Response: We have re-re-written the “Case Presentation” section and added the suggested sections to the main text. thank you very much for your comments and suggestions. (please see page 5-8, line 149-232)

Once again, thank you very much for your constructive comments and suggestions which would help us both in English and in depth to improve the quality of the paper.

Kind regards,

Hong-Ying Pan M.D.

Zhejiang Provincial People's Hospital

People's Hospital of Hangzhou Medical College

No. 158 Shangtang Rd,

Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

Zip code: 310014

E-mail: hypanhz@139.com