

## Letter to the reviewers and Editor

Dear Reviewers and Scientific Editor,

I thank you for your comments/suggestions and a good scientific opinion. I have revised a manuscript according to your guidelines.

Reviewer #1:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade B (Very good)

**Language Quality:** Grade A (Priority publishing)

**Conclusion:** Minor revision

**Specific Comments to Authors:** The authors presented a manuscript describing a case report of a patient with a rare urological life-threatening infectious condition. They have also included the precautions they took for surgical management of a patient during the COVID-19 pandemic and reflected that in the title. My comments are as follows: The title is somewhat provocative including COVID-19 pandemic in it. However, this case has nothing to do with the pandemic. It is just the circumstances we lived in right now. The patient was eventually not infected with SARS-CoV-2. Interestingly, in this broad sense each rare disease case could be described as something new amidst the COVID crisis. Nevertheless, the manuscript is well written and contribute to the overall knowledge. Style of writing is flawless.

- Thank you for your good scientific assessment. According to your suggestion I have modified a title.

Reviewer #2:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade A (Excellent)

**Language Quality:** Grade A (Priority publishing)

**Conclusion:** Accept (High priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:** Despite the difficult situation due to the global epidemic of COVID-19, it seems that the treatment of difficult patient was adequately performed. The content of the paper is good.

- Thank you for your good scientific assessment.

Reviewer #3:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade A (Excellent)

**Language Quality:** Grade A (Priority publishing)

**Conclusion:** Accept (High priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:** Thank you for submitting this interesting case report.

- Thank you for your good scientific assessment.

**(1) Science editor:** 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case report of the management protocol for Fournier's Gangrene during SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Two Grades A and Grade B; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The authors presented a manuscript describing a case report of a patient with a rare urological life-threatening infectious condition. They have also included the precautions they took for surgical management of a patient during the COVID-19 pandemic and reflected that in the title. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; and (3) Format: There are 5 figures. A total of 13 references are cited, including 2 references published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Three Grades A. A language editing certificate issued by Editage was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the written informed consent. No academic misconduct was found in the CrossCheck detection and Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. 5 Issues raised: (1) The "Author Contributions" section is missing. Please provide the author contributions; (2) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; (3) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout; and (4) The "Case Presentation" section was not written according to the Guidelines for Manuscript Preparation. Please re-write the "Case Presentation" section, and add the

"FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" sections to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision. 6 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

- Thank you for your good scientific assessment. I have added an Author Contributions. I have revised a title, abstract section, Case Presentation. I have added FINAL DIAGNOSIS, TREATMENT, OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP sections. I have prepared the original figures in Power Point. I have added PMID and DOI in references section, and I have edited this section according to guidelines.

Best regards,

The authors.