

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 61023

Title: Complication rates in emergent endoscopy for foreign bodies under different sedation modalities: A large single-center retrospective review

Reviewer's code: 02468626

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-06 06:09

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-06 08:23

Review time: 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors presented a large retrospective cohort of patients who underwent urgent endoscopy for either foreign body ingestion or food bolus impaction. In particular, they looked at the rates of adverse events under different sedation conditions. The patient cohort is very large and the data have been analyzed satisfactorily. Major remarks:

- 1) The title is misleading. I would rephrase it as follows: Complication Rates in Emergent Endoscopy for Foreign Bodies Under Different Sedation Modalities: A Large Single-center Retrospective Review
- 2) Please explain the difference between MAC and GA.
- 3) The term "encounter" seems inappropriate; I would replace it with "procedure"
- 4) The term "extrication" seems inappropriate; I would replace it with either "removal" or "extraction", or both.
- 5) Page 10, last paragraph: there is a mistake in the number of patients "...CS (N = 53, 38.0%), followed...". You meant 353 and not 53.
- 6) In the results and discussion, be sure to always use the past tense and not the present tense when referring to your findings.
- 7) In the discussion, you should speculate about the possible explanation for a better outcome of procedures under CS as opposed to MAC and GA.
- 8) It is not clear what you mean by "procedures requiring the use of instruments". Please clarify "instruments" and replace it with a more appropriate terminology.
- 9) Throughout the text: "patients who underwent" and not "patients that underwent"
- 10) The use of overtubes should be accurately reported. In fact, it may have contributed significantly to a better outcome when airways were not protected by intubation.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 61023

Title: Complication rates in emergent endoscopy for foreign bodies under different sedation modalities: A large single-center retrospective review

Reviewer's code: 00504218

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-04

Reviewer chosen by: Li Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-05 08:55

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-07 00:53

Review time: 1 Day and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-written paper containing interesting results obtained by a large number of cases which merit publication. For the benefit of the reader, however, a number of points need clarifying and certain statements require further justification which are shown below. Major 1. Results are presented with a descriptive style and seem not to match each table well. Please reconsider the construction. Minor 1. Definition of MAC, CS and GA is required with referring medications and airway management. 2. The median age was described as 46 in the text while that is shown as 52 in Table 1.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 61023

Title: Complication rates in emergent endoscopy for foreign bodies under different sedation modalities: A large single-center retrospective review

Reviewer's code: 02468626

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-04

Reviewer chosen by: Chen-Chen Gao

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-04 11:04

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-04 11:39

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

I think that the authors have satisfactorily revised their manuscript.