

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 61028

Title: Sporadic fundic gland polyps with dysplasia or carcinoma: Clinical and endoscopic characteristics

Reviewer's code: 02742751

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-07

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-31 04:55

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-31 05:28

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This case series explain the clinical, endoscopic and histologic characteristics of sporadic fundic gland polyps with carcinoma. The data on this topic is limited. I wonder if any reports about the association with smoking, alcohol use or socioeconomic status (factors contributing to mucosal atrophy) exists. Evaluating these possible risk factors could be proposed as future direction of studies. Another important issue is defining the background of mucosal atrophy when finding a sporadic fundic gland polyp. The authors have proposed that some polyps could be followed up without excision (table one). This suggestion do not seem to be a reasonable approach especially in patients with diffuse mucosal atrophy in high incidence area with gastric cancer. Please clarify this issue and express more data to classify high risk patients for developnig fundic gland polyps with carcinoma.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 61028

Title: Sporadic fundic gland polyps with dysplasia or carcinoma: Clinical and endoscopic characteristics

Reviewer's code: 05328836

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Australia

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-07

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-31 15:54

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-12 04:56

Review time: 11 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read with interest this manuscript on FGPD/CAs. FGPs are a common finding in endoscopy, with little emphasis in the literature on the the risk of FGPD/CAs and a management strategy when identified. The authors should be commended for an excellent review of the literature. My only suggestion is that they elaborate on the potential management algorithm: 1) For FGP without D/CA, do the authors recommend surveillance and if so how frequent? 2) If a FGP with dysplasia is identified, do the authors recommend endoscopic resection? 3) If a FGP with dysplasia is identified, for how long do the authors recommend q3-5y surveillance? Does surveillance vary depending on whether the lesion was resected? 4) If abnormal surface features during optical evaluation are identified, do the authors feel that biopsy is sufficient or should endoscopic resection be undertaken?