



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 61159

Title: Risk factors for lymph node metastasis in T1 esophageal squamous cell carcinoma:
A systematic review and meta-analysis

Reviewer's code: 03216462

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACS, MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Academic Fellow, Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-11-29 12:12

Reviewer performed review: 2020-11-29 12:13

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The title reflects the subject of the study. This manuscript presents a clear and clinically useful message. It is well written in terms of clarity, style, and use of English language. Materials and methods are sufficiently detailed. The discussion section explains adequately the purpose of this study in the context of published information. The conclusions accurately and clearly explain the main results. The length of the manuscript is ideal. All tables and figures are of good quality and relevant to the subject. All references are appropriate and current.