

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Manuscript NO: 61214

Title: Knowledge domain and emerging trends in visual hallucination research: A scientometric analysis

Reviewer's code: 03764910

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-11-29

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-09 23:57

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-22 06:31

Review time: 12 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgooffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, the author rigorously analyzed the trend of recent research regarding visual hallucination with modern and objective way. This method is so unique and interesting. But, the author should disclose why Web of Science Core Collection was adopted for searching articles. Indeed, WOSCC is one of the largest academic databases. But, other databases (e.g. PubMed and Google Scholar) are also examined not to miss important articles in systematic reviews. Is the outcome altered when adding some data extracted from other databases?