

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 61450

Title: Delayed papillary muscle rupture after radiofrequency ablation: A case report and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 03497479

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Croatia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-08

Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-10 13:35

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-10 17:48

Review time: 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very interesting case report "Delayed papillary muscle rupture after radiofrequency ablation: A case report and review of literature" by a group of cardiologists - electrophysiologists. The presented case is very instructive and potentially interesting to a wider professional audience. Before accepting the paper, I suggest additional clarifications as follows; 1. It is necessary to describe in more detail previous diseases and comorbidity (arterial hypertension?, diabetes?, dyslipidemia?, CHF?, renal function etc.) 2. What therapy did the patient take until hospitalization due to syncope (beta blockers ?, dose ?, ACE inh? amiodaron etc.) 3. Please list the relevant lab. parameters; INR, potassium, magnesium, creatinine, eGFR etc. 4. Did the authors rule out the existence of thyroid dysfunction? 5. Why the patient was referred to EPS immediately, without trying OMT, increasing the dose of beta-blockers, introducing amiodarone, etc. 6. Whether it was a nsVPT or sustained VPT in Holter ECG recording? 7. It is necessary to specify the basic ECHO parameters before ablation; LVEF, aortic mechanical prosthesis function etc. 8. How do the authors explain that a bioprosthetic valve, not a mechanical one, was implanted in a 58-year-old patient? According to ESC and American guidelines, a mechanical artificial valve is practically exclusively used in this age!

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 61450

Title: Delayed papillary muscle rupture after radiofrequency ablation: A case report and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 03475636

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACP, MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-08

Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-15 11:57

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-15 12:01

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Some revision of the english language is needed. There are some parts of the paper where it is quite difficult to make sense of some sentences English edit will help to improve the quality of the manuscript. 2. Figure 3 (pathology) needs better quality and description 3. Figure S1 (The Holter monitor and echocardiography) needs better quality, please do not use poor quality imaging from phone camera 4. Table summarizing patient's labs is needed.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 61450

Title: Delayed papillary muscle rupture after radiofrequency ablation: A case report and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 03475636

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACP, MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-08

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang (Part-Time Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-12 23:19

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-12 23:21

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have addressed my concerns.