

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 61542

Title: Pancreatitis after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP): A narrative review

Reviewer's code: 01491225

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-10

Reviewer chosen by: Li Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-10 17:47

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-10 21:49

Review time: 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an excellent review on PEP. It is very well written and comprehensive. I don not have any comments of substance. One suggestion is to use the more commonly sued term "sphincterotomy" throughout the text and in the tables instead of "papillotomy" for uniformity. Also use "Sphincter of Oddi manomertry" instead of "Oddi sphincter manometry". Finally is Type I SOD a risk factor for PEP. Type II is typically the main risk factor and should be added to the table 1

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 61542

Title: Pancreatitis after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP): A narrative review

Reviewer's code: 03299110

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Academic Fellow, Academic Research, Associate Professor, Doctor, Teacher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-13 09:10

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-29 07:56

Review time: 15 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Advantages: (1) The content of the article is comprehensive, including the pathogenesis, risk factors, clinical manifestations, prevention and other aspects of post-ERCP pancreatitis. (2) The article structure is clear and progressive. Disadvantages: (1)

Introduction is too simple and does not provide enough background information. And the introduction mentions that “the purpose of this review is bring an update on post-ERCP pancreatitis, its prevention, its treatment”, but according to the following text, there is not too much discussion on the updated treatment. So the article needs to have a more clear theme and focus. (2) The parts of risk factors and treatment are not sufficiently discussed. (3) There is a lack of diagnostic criteria of PEP in the part of diagnosis. (4) “Post-ERCP pancreatitis” and the abbreviations for it, PEP, are used interchangeably in the article which makes reading a little difficult.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 61542

Title: Pancreatitis after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP): A narrative review

Reviewer's code: 01491225

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-10

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-01 19:01

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-01 19:02

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

The manusrtipt looks great and it is ready for publication.