

World Journal of *Clinical Oncology*

World J Clin Oncol 2021 May 24; 12(5): 290-392



REVIEW

- 290 Cellular based treatment modalities for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma
Damiris K, Abbad H, Pyrsopoulos N

MINIREVIEWS

- 309 COVID-19: Where is the treatment?
Sabeerabi B, Vemula S, Vadde R, Nagaraju GP
- 323 Immune response evaluation criteria in solid tumors for assessment of atypical responses after immunotherapy
Ippolito D, Maino C, Ragusi M, Porta M, Gandola D, Franzesi CT, Giandola TP, Sironi S
- 335 Hepatic Langerhans cell histiocytosis: A review
Fu Z, Li H, Arslan ME, Ells PF, Lee H

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study

- 342 Thymoquinone anticancer activity is enhanced when combined with royal jelly in human breast cancer
Moubarak MM, Chanouha N, Abou Ibrahim N, Khalife H, Gali-Muhtasib H

Retrospective Study

- 355 Prognostic role of sarcopenia in metastatic colorectal cancer patients during first-line chemotherapy: A retrospective study
Maddalena C, Ponsiglione A, Camera L, Santarpia L, Pisanisi F, Bruzzese D, Panico C, Fiore G, Camardella S, Caramia T, Farinara A, De Placido S, Carlomagno C

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

- 367 Reduction of muscle contraction and pain in electroporation-based treatments: An overview
Fusco R, Di Bernardo E, D'Alessio V, Salati S, Cadossi M
- 382 Intestinal metastasis from breast cancer: Presentation, treatment and survival from a systematic literature review
Bolzacchini E, Nigro O, Inversini D, Giordano M, Maconi G

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Clinical Oncology*, Qiang Huo, MD, MSc(Med), Doctor-In-Charge, Center for Translational Medicine, Zibo Central Hospital, No. 54 West Gongqingtuan Road, Zibo 255036, Shandong Province, China. qianghuo@mail.sdu.edu.cn

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Clinical Oncology* (WJCO, *World J Clin Oncol*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of oncology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCO mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of oncology and covering a wide range of topics including art of oncology, biology of neoplasia, breast cancer, cancer prevention and control, cancer-related complications, diagnosis in oncology, gastrointestinal cancer, genetic testing for cancer, gynecologic cancer, head and neck cancer, hematologic malignancy, lung cancer, melanoma, molecular oncology, neurooncology, palliative and supportive care, pediatric oncology, surgical oncology, translational oncology, and urologic oncology.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJCO is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), China Science and Technology Journal Database (CSTJ), and Superstar Journals Database.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: *Ying-Yi Yuan*, Production Department Director: *Yun-Xiaoqian Wu*, Editorial Office Director: *Ze-Mao Gong*.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Clinical Oncology

ISSN

ISSN 2218-4333 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

November 10, 2010

FREQUENCY

Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Hiten RH Patel, Stephen Safe

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-4333/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

May 24, 2021

COPYRIGHT

© 2021 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>

Reduction of muscle contraction and pain in electroporation-based treatments: An overview

Roberta Fusco, Elio Di Bernardo, Valeria D'Alessio, Simona Salati, Matteo Cadossi

ORCID number: Roberta Fusco 0000-0002-0469-9969; Elio Di Bernardo 0000-0001-5850-1391; Valeria D'Alessio 0000-0003-2950-4168; Simona Salati 0000-0002-8072-1128; Matteo Cadossi 0000-0002-0671-4165.

Author contributions: Fusco R, Di Bernardo E, D'Alessio V wrote the manuscript; Salati S and Cadossi M revised the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

PRISMA 2009 Checklist statement: The authors have read the PRISMA 2009 Checklist, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the PRISMA 2009 Checklist.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/License>

Roberta Fusco, Elio Di Bernardo, Valeria D'Alessio, Simona Salati, Matteo Cadossi, Department of Medical Oncology, IGEA SpA, Carpi 41012, Modena, Italy

Corresponding author: Valeria D'Alessio, Department of Medical Oncology, IGEA SpA, Via Parmenide 10A, Carpi 41012, Modena, Italy. v.dalessio@igeamedical.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

In the first studies of electrochemotherapy (ECT), small cutaneous metastases were treated and only mild or moderate pain was observed; therefore, pain was not considered a significant issue. As the procedure began to be applied to larger cutaneous metastases, pain was reported more frequently. For that reason, reduction of both muscle contractions and pain have been investigated over the years.

AIM

To present an overview of different protocols described in literature that aim to reduce muscle contractions and pain caused by the electroporation (EP) effect in both ECT and irreversible EP treatments.

METHODS

Thirty-three studies published between January 1999 and November 2020 were included. Different protocol designs and electrode geometries that reduce patient pain and the number of muscle contractions and their intensity were analysed.

RESULTS

The analysis showed that both high frequency and bipolar/biphasic pulses can be used to reduce pain and muscle contractions in patients who undergo EP treatments. Moreover, adequate electrode design can decrease EP-related morbidity. Particularly, needle length, diameter and configuration of the distance between the needles can be optimised so that the muscle volume crossed by the current is reduced as much as possible. Bipolar/biphasic pulses with an inadequate pulse length seem to have a less evident effect on the membrane permeability compared with the standard pulse protocol. For that reason, the number of pulses and the voltage amplitude, as well as the pulse duration and frequency, must be chosen so that the dose of delivered energy guarantees EP efficacy.

CONCLUSION

Pain reduction in EP-based treatments can be achieved by appropriately defining

s/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript**Specialty type:** Oncology**Country/Territory of origin:** Italy**Peer-review report's scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): B, B

Grade C (Good): 0

Grade D (Fair): D

Grade E (Poor): 0

Received: December 23, 2020**Peer-review started:** December 24, 2020**First decision:** March 17, 2021**Revised:** March 17, 2021**Accepted:** April 22, 2021**Article in press:** April 22, 2021**Published online:** May 24, 2021**P-Reviewer:** Aureliano M, Gadzije EM**S-Editor:** Fan JR**L-Editor:** Filipodia**P-Editor:** Yuan YY

the protocol parameters and electrode design. Most results can be achieved with high frequency and/or bipolar/biphasic pulses. However, the efficacy of these alternative protocols remains a crucial point to be assessed further.

Key Words: Electrochemotherapy; Irreversible electroporation; Pain; Muscle contraction; Monopolar or monophasic pulses; Bipolar or biphasic pulses

©The Author(s) 2021. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: This is an overview of different published protocols that aim to reduce muscle contractions and pain due to the electroporation (EP) effect. The analysis showed that both high frequency and bipolar/biphasic pulses can be used to reduce pain and muscle contractions. Moreover, appropriate electrode design can lower EP-related morbidity.

Citation: Fusco R, Di Bernardo E, D'Alessio V, Salati S, Cadossi M. Reduction of muscle contraction and pain in electroporation-based treatments: An overview. *World J Clin Oncol* 2021; 12(5): 367-381

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-4333/full/v12/i5/367.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.5306/wjco.v12.i5.367>

INTRODUCTION

Electrochemotherapy (ECT) is a locoregional anti-tumour therapy that combines a low dose of a chemotherapy drug with high-intensity electric pulses to induce cell membrane electroporation (EP). Consequently, the drugs enter the tumour cells and exert their cytotoxicity[1-4]. Unlike other antitumour treatments based on physical phenomena, ECT is able to exert a specific effect at the cellular level, causing the death of the treated tumour cells. Because it is highly effective in treating cutaneous and subcutaneous tumours regardless of histology[5-7], ECT treatment has been extended to more deeply located tumours[8-13].

To increase the efficacy of EP treatment, the voltage amplitude and the duration or the number of electric pulses are often increased, as long as the required current does not exceed the limit set by the pulse generator. The standard operating procedures[6] for ECT define the electric protocol that, combined with intra-tumour or intravenous delivery of bleomycin or cisplatin[14-19], guarantees an adequate efficacy of the therapy: A train of eight high voltage 100 μ s monopolar electric pulses with a repetition frequency of either 1 Hz or 5 kHz is often used. However, the application of high voltage monopolar pulses may cause pain and muscle contractions[20]. For that reason, the use of muscle relaxants and general anaesthesia[21-23] are often required.

In the first studies on ECT, small cutaneous metastases were treated with the observation of only mild or moderate pain was[24-26]; therefore, pain was not considered a significant issue[6,7]. Subsequently, as the procedure started to be applied to larger cutaneous metastases, pain was reported more frequently[27,28]. For that reason, reduction of both muscle contractions and pain have been investigated over the years. The main improvements were achieved by applying pulses at a higher frequency[29-34] or by using special electrode designs.

Repetition frequency of electric pulses has a close relationship with muscle contraction, which leads to a painful burning sensation and patient complaints[19,20]. An increase in repetition frequency by reducing the pulse-to-pulse pause, seems to reduce unpleasant sensations that occur during ECT[24,35-42]. Moreover, many authors reported that electric pulses lasting microseconds at a high repetition frequency do not decrease ECT antitumour efficacy[35,38]. However, although the pulse frequency is related to muscle contraction, the pain sensation also depends on other pulse characteristics such as voltage amplitude and pulse number, duration and shape[36]. The electrodes used for ECT treatment can also affect the onset of pain. Particularly, needle length, diameter and configuration that changes the distance between needles can be optimised so that the muscle volume crossed by the current is reduced as much as possible. Electrodes with a smaller distance between needles are

less painful because they require lower voltages. However, they only treat small portions of tissue, and thus must be applied multiple times to cover the entire lesion.

More recently, it has been demonstrated that the use of high frequency irreversible electroporation (H-FIRE)[28-33], namely bursts of short high frequency bipolar pulses, can further reduce muscle contraction and the subsequent pain caused by the electric pulses. Treatment with H-FIRE pulses, however, may require an electric field intensity higher than the standard electric protocol, both for ECT and for irreversible electroporation (IRE), to reach an equivalent treatment efficacy. An additional disadvantage is delivering pulses at considerably higher voltage amplitudes[34].

The aim of this review is to present an overview of the different protocols proposed in the literature to reduce muscle contractions and pain caused by the EP effect in both ECT and IRE treatments. The main findings of a number of researchers are reported in the results section. The impact of different electrode designs is also considered, as the reduction of muscle contraction and patient morbidity can also be obtained with an appropriate electrode design.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This review is the result of a self-study without protocol or a registration number. In order to ensure an adequate variety of the assessed studies, several electronic databases were searched: PubMed (United States National Library of Medicine, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PubMed>); Scopus (Elsevier, <http://www.scopus.com/>); the Web of Science (Thomson Reuters, <http://apps.webofknowledge.com/>); and Google Scholar (<https://scholar.google.it/>). Only studies published between January 1999 and November 2020 were analysed because that time window is consistent with recent developments in the fields of ECT and IRE. Papers not indexed in the electronic databases were evaluated through the references of included studies. The systematic search for papers of interest is shown in the flow chart in Figure 1. The inclusion criteria evaluated the article title, abstract and contents and included pre-clinical and clinical studies that examined pain or muscle contractions caused by reversible or IRE treatments. Only articles written in English were included. Studies with insufficient reported data, case reports, reviews or letters to the editor were excluded. Four investigators carried out data extraction from the included papers, focusing on the type of study (*i.e.* numerical analysis, *in vitro*, *in vivo* or *ex vivo*), the type of EP (ECT or IRE), the pulse characteristics and the main results regarding reduction of muscle contraction and pain.

RESULTS

A considerable number of protocols that aim at reducing muscle contractions and pain, caused by the EP effect were found in the literature. The research was conducted with the aim of identifying the parameters that are most responsible for the perception of pain and the stimulation of muscle contraction in patients undergoing EP treatment. Thirty-three studies published between January 1999 and November 2020 were retrieved and papers not indexed in the electronic databases were identified in their reference lists. As *per* the approach described in Figure 1, 15 studies did not meet the abstract inclusion criteria and were therefore rejected. Five papers were found to be case reports, reviews or editorial letters, did not satisfy the inclusion criteria and were not included in the analysis. The remaining thirteen articles[29,30,33,34,37-45] were included in this manuscript, as they met all the required criteria (Figure 2). Four papers described treatment of cutaneous and subcutaneous tumours[37,40,41,44], two papers included sarcomas[38,42] and pancreatic tumours[43,44] and six were conducted in healthy subjects or phantoms[29,30,33,34,39,45].

Reduction of muscle contraction and pain: ECT protocols

In a study published in 1999, Daskalov *et al*[36] compared monophasic and biphasic pulses *in vivo*. The monophasic pulse protocol consisted of eight exponentially or rectangular-shaped pulses of 100 μ s with a frequency of 1 Hz. In the biphasic pulse protocol, a rectangular pulse of 50 + 50 μ s without intra-pulse delay was used in two different ways: (1) Eight pulses with a 1 s interval; and (2) A single burst of eight pulses spaced at 1 ms, with a total duration of 7.1 ms. In both protocols, the selected pulse amplitude ranged from 750 V to 1250 V, depending on the tumour size, with a




Figure 1 Systematic search of relevant literature. ECT: Electrochemotherapy; IRE: Irreversible electroporation.

resulting electrical field strength varying between 330 V/cm and 1250 V/cm. The study showed that the two pulse protocols (monophasic or biphasic) provided the same effect in terms of treatment result. However, the biphasic pulses were better tolerated by the patients. Particularly, the second biphasic mode, a single burst of eight pulses, was considered more acceptable than the first, which comprised eight separate stimuli. Thus, applying the pulses in a rapid sequence was as effective as the use of a larger inter-pulse interval and was better tolerated by the patients. The results of this study[36] were also confirmed by Melzack[46] who previously noted that increasing the number, (N) of applied pulses led to a better effect compared with increasing the pulse duration (T), provided that $N \times T$ was constant. In a later study, Miklavcic *et al* [37] demonstrated that pulse frequencies above the frequency of tetanic contraction (100 Hz) gradually reduced the number of individual muscle contractions. They identified muscle contractions associated with high voltage pulses as the main source of pain for patients undergoing ECT. When the pulse frequency was relatively low, the patient experienced separate muscle contractions associated with each delivered pulse. For that reason, the authors investigated the relationship between muscle contraction and pulse characteristics; particularly, repetition frequency and pulse amplitude. A train of eight 100 μ s rectangular pulses at either low or high voltage amplitudes was used. At a low voltage (70 V), the measurements were performed at pulse repetition frequencies of 1, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, 500, 1000, 2000 and 5000 Hz. At a high voltage (250 V), the measurements were performed at 1, 100, 500, 1000 and 5000 Hz. To investigate the effect of both frequency and amplitude on muscle contraction, they measured the




Figure 2 Included and excluded studies in the systematic review.

muscle torque in response to electric pulses. They also studied the antitumour efficacy of ECT at different pulse repetition frequencies to be sure that the pain reduction did not lead to a loss of treatment efficacy. Measurements of muscle torque confirmed that high frequency pulses reduced the number of individual contractions to a single muscle contraction. More precisely, with increasing pulse frequency, muscle torque increased up to the frequency of 100 or 200 Hz, reaching a maximum value of 0.16-0.24 nm; however, a further increase of frequency above 200 Hz reduced the muscle torque regardless of the pulse amplitude, with a mean value of about 0.07 nm at 5 kHz, a value similar to that observed during the application of 1 Hz pulse trains. Moreover, by increasing the frequency of electric pulses above the frequency of tetanic contraction (*i.e.* at pulse frequencies higher than 2000 Hz), the authors reported that, even if the muscle torque was similar to that observed in a typical ECT protocol (1 Hz pulse trains), the patients perceived only one muscle contraction instead of eight. Finally, *in vivo* experiments also demonstrated that ECT achieved similar efficacies regardless of the pulse frequency that was used (1 Hz-5 kHz), which suggest that there is a considerable potential for the clinical use of high frequency pulses in ECT.

The relationship of pulse frequency and muscle contraction and subsequent patient pain, was successively studied by Zupanic *et al*[38]. A train of eight electric pulses, of 1 Hz and 5 kHz repetition frequencies, was delivered to 40 healthy patients. After the conclusion of each protocol, the subjects completed the short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire[47] with separate visual analogue scales for pain intensity and unpleasantness. Their results confirmed what Miklavcic *et al*[37] had previously demonstrated, by finding that muscle contractions, which contribute to the discomfort felt by the subjects during the delivery of electric pulses, are strictly related to pulse frequency. When evaluating the sensorial and affective quality of pain (in the short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire, the most frequently selected pain descriptors were stabbing (80%), cramping (57.5%), throbbing (60%), shooting (60%) and hot-burning (53.8%). However, while both protocols of EP received similar average intensity scores for most descriptors (1.4 for stabbing, 1.0 for cramping, 1.1 for throbbing, 1.1-0.9 for shooting and 1.0-0.7 for hot-burning), treatment with 5 kHz electric pulses was less unpleasant. Therefore, the latter ($P = 0.017$) was preferred over the standard 1 Hz pulses, even though the perceived pain intensity, ranging from 6 mm to 94 mm, with similar visual analogic scores, was almost the same regardless of the frequency.

In a 2014 study, Spugnini *et al*[39] analysed the effects of biphasic pulse length on both treatment efficacy and morbidity. The authors investigated two different protocols of trains of eight biphasic pulses, at a voltage of 1300 V/cm. The standard protocol consisted of pulses lasting 50 + 50 ms each, with a frequency of 1 Hz and with 1 ms intra- and inter-pulse intervals. The investigational protocol consisted of pulses lasting 50 + 50 μ s each, with a frequency of 1 Hz and with 10 μ s intra- and inter-pulse intervals. The protocols were tested both *in vitro* (human lung cancer cell line A549) and *in vivo* (mice xenografts; privately owned rabbits with spontaneous tumours). Three of the mice treated with the standard protocol had a strong (grade 4) muscular contraction and the other four had a moderate (grade 3) muscular contraction. Mice

treated with the investigational protocol had muscular contractions reported as flicker (grade 1, two mice), weak (grade 2, four mice) and moderate (one mouse). The rabbits treated with the investigational protocol had muscular contractions graded as flicker (two rabbits), weak (three rabbits) and moderate (one rabbit). Given the results obtained from the study, it was concluded that the investigational protocol substantially reduced the morbidity associated with the delivery of electric pulses and achieved a significantly higher efficacy compared with the standard protocol.

In a more recent *in vitro* study in mouse skin melanoma (B16-F1) cells, Scuderi *et al* [40] delivered the electric pulses after adding 1–330 μM cisplatin. Two pulse protocols were evaluated: (1) Eight 100 μs monopolar pulses, 0.4–1.2 kV/cm, 1 Hz (standard ECT protocol); and (2) Eight bursts at 1 Hz, consisting of 50 bipolar pulses with 1 + 1 μs width, 0.5–5 kV/cm, 1 μs intra-pulse delay [high frequency EP (HF-EP)]. The analysis of the results was conducted by evaluating the difference between the two protocols (monopolar or bipolar pulses), focusing on their effect on both the efficacy of the treatment and the associated cytotoxicity. First, the results showed that both monopolar and bipolar pulse protocols, in combination with cisplatin, achieved the desired efficacy in killing cells. However, as the onset of membrane permeabilisation was higher in the HF-EP (2 kV/cm) protocol than in the ECT (0.8 kV/cm, $P = 0.036$) protocol, the bipolar pulse protocol needed a higher electric field (2 kV/cm, $P < 0.001$ vs 1.2 kV/cm, $P < 0.001$). Second, the results obtained suggest that HF-EP could be used in ECT with potential alleviation of muscle contractions and pain. In fact, even if the pain was not evaluated, it has been previously demonstrated that a short negative pulse delivered after a positive pulse accelerates passive repolarisation that abolishes the action potential. That means that fewer muscle contractions, and thus less pain, can be expected with HF-EP than with the classic 100 μs pulses. As the authors themselves concluded, although it is still at the *in vitro* testing stage, the clinical use of HF-EP pulses for ECT could potentially decrease the discomfort associated with muscle contractions and pain, and simplify the treatment procedure by lowering the dose of muscle relaxants and anaesthesia and avoiding synchronisation with the electrocardiogram.

Finally, in 2020, García-Sánchez *et al* [41] assessed the ability of sine waves to perform ECT. They compared the classic ECT protocol (eight squared unipolar pulses of 100 μs and 1 Hz repetition frequency, electric field of 1300 V/cm) with both bipolar square pulses and sinusoidal bursts. The analysed protocols (bipolar and sinusoidal) were made for pulses with no intra- nor inter-pulse pauses. The bursts were delivered at various frequencies between 10 and 100 kHz and with electric fields of at least 1300 V/cm, and the duration and number of pulses varied depending on the experiment. The authors also carried out a computer simulation to calculate the electric field distribution and the temperature increase during the delivery of the treatment. Furthermore, verification of the effectiveness of the treatment was essential in the comparison between the different protocols, which was taken into account by considering the tumour response. Specifically, the efficacy of the treatment was assessed by comparing sinusoidal bursts at three frequencies (10, 50 and 100 kHz) and two electric field intensities (1300 and 1600 V/cm). Their results showed that sinusoidal pulses reduced both the extent of muscle contractions and skin damage. The effects were significantly lower when a high frequency wave was applied and when the square bipolar pulse was used. However, there was a clear loss of efficacy with the increase in frequency, confirming that the external electric field should be increased to 1600 V/cm in to achieve an equivalent EP effect, thus allowing for a tumour volume growth of less than 200 mm² within a 25 d follow-up period.

Reduction of muscle contraction and pain: Numerical analysis

Golberg and Rubinsky [28] performed a numerical analysis to evaluate the influence of the electrode geometry in the reduction of pain and muscle contractions. The numerical analysis considered various electrode configurations. For each experimental setup, a single pulse of 400 V and 100 μs was delivered. The results showed that conventional EP protocols and electrode design could generate muscle contraction, inducing electric fields in surprisingly large volumes of non-target tissue surrounding the EP-treated tissue. They also found that electrode placement in a structure referred to as a “current cage” substantially reduced the volume of non-target tissue exposed to electric fields above the threshold of muscle contraction. Furthermore, in an experimental study using a tissue phantom, they compared a commercial two parallel needle EP system with the current cage design. They found that a certain arrangement of needle electrodes limited the amount of tissue exposed to electric fields that above the muscle contraction threshold, while having a minimal impact on the extent of EP. The design consisted of a central, energised electrode surrounded by an array of grounded

electrodes. Similar geometries have been used successfully for cardiac defibrillation and ECT. Interestingly, by having 16 or more grounded electrodes and by reducing the insertion depth of the central energised electrode relative to the grounded electrodes, the predicted amount of tissue experiencing muscle contractions fell dramatically. In fact, the analysis revealed that the ratio of the volume affected by the muscle contraction (V_{mc}) and that affected by the EP phenomenon (V_{ep}) using a commercial parallel eight-electrode array, was 135 and was 410, with an electric field of than 600 V/cm and 1120 V/cm. The corresponding ratios were 73 and 26 when the 26-electrode current cage was used. Moreover, the total V_{mc} was 15.09 mm² when the commercial parallel eight-electrode array was used, compared with 2.90 mm² when using the 26-electrode current cage.

Reduction of muscle contraction and pain: IRE protocols

In 2011, Arena *et al*[32] used a combination of analytical, numerical and experimental techniques to investigate H-FIRE. In their *in vivo* protocols, they compared a standard IRE pulse protocol to H-FIRE. In both protocols, 180 bursts were delivered, with each burst lasting 200 μ s and being delivered at a frequency of 1 Hz. In the IRE protocol, each burst consisted of a single pulse of 200 μ s width. In the H-FIRE protocol, each burst consisted of (1) 50 bipolar pulses at 250 kHz and a single polarity duration of 2 μ s; and (2) 100 bipolar pulses at 500 kHz with single polarity duration of 1 μ s. No visual or tactile evidence of muscle contraction was seen during H-FIRE, but all IRE protocols resulted in detectable muscle contractions. The mean peak accelerations (0.8 g, 0.4 g and 0.1 g) during IRE treatments at the cervicothoracic junction for each applied voltage (200 V, 100 V and 50 V) were significantly different from each other. On the other hand, H-FIRE resulted in no detectable acceleration at the cervicothoracic junction. The *in vivo* experiments also showed that H-FIRE produced an ablative effect on brain tissue comparable to that obtained in non-thermal IRE treatments. Specifically, there was complete uniformity of tissue death within the targeted areas. A sharp transition zone was present between lesions and normal brain tissue.

In 2014, Sano *et al*[42] studied the effects of bipolar pulses on both muscle contractions and cell viability using an IRE protocol. Each monopolar waveform typical of the standard protocol was replaced with a burst of alternating polarity pulses; the total energised burst time was the same as that used in the standard protocol (100 μ s). The bipolar protocol consisted of 80 bursts at a frequency of 1 Hz; in each burst, the positive/negative wavelength varied from 250 ns to 50 μ s, with an intra-pulse delay fixed at 2 μ s. The authors showed that, at 1500 V/cm, only treatments with bursts containing 50 + 50 μ s pulses (Table 1) resulted in an interesting compromise between low viability (below 10%) and muscle contraction reduction that less undesirable than those associated with longer monopolar pulses. Sano *et al*[43]. analysed muscle contraction in a murine model when using different pulse protocols [43]. Treatment efficacy was also tested in an *in vitro* tumour model using PPT8182 murine primary pancreatic tumour cells. To facilitate comparison between groups, the authors applied the following simplified electrical dose formula:

$$Dose = V^2 \times T_p \times n \times N$$

Where V is the applied voltage, T_p is the pulse width, n is the number of pulses *per* burst, and N is the number of bursts *per* treatment, which was typically 80. Thanks to the use of a custom pulse generation system, bursts of bipolar pulses with constitutive pulse widths of 250 ns, 500 ns, 1 μ s, 2 μ s, 5 μ s, 10 μ s and 50 μ s were delivered. They also used custom-made electrodes with 1.27 mm diameter dispensing needles and a 2.0 mm edge-to-edge separation distance. Given the formula above, the lethal electric field thresholds were found to be 2022, 1687, 1070, 755, 640, 629 and 531 V/cm for bursts containing 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10 and 50 μ s pulses, respectively. Qualitatively, the results showed that muscle contractions occurred to a lesser extent in treatments with bipolar bursts of pulses between 1 μ s and 5 μ s, compared with those in treatments with standard IRE protocol (100 μ s monopolar pulses at 200 V). At 400 V, the 100- μ s pulses induced such strong muscle contractions that complete anaesthesia was necessary to carry out the procedure. In contrast, 1000 V treatments with bursts of 5 μ s pulses were well tolerated with light sedation and local anaesthesia.

Similarly, in 2016, Sweeney *et al*[33] carried out a quantitative comparison between different pulsing schemes. They compared trains of 100 μ s monopolar pulses conventionally used in IRE and ECT, with pulse trains containing bursts or evenly spaced 1 μ s bipolar pulses. They assessed both the reduction of muscle contractions and the cell permeability obtained with the different pulsed electric field protocols. Cell permeability was evaluated by real-time microscopic imaging of propidium iodide transport at the single cell level during and after each treatment. The protocols under investigation were: (1) A train of 200 monopolar pulses of 300 V amplitude, each

Table 1 Summary of the studies analysed in this review

		Electroporation protocol						
		Type of pulse	Number of pulses	Pulse duration	Pulse frequency	Electric field		
Daskalov <i>et al</i> [36], 1999	<i>In vivo</i>	ECT	Monophasic	1 burst of 8 pulses	100 μs	1 Hz	0.33-1.25 kV/cm	Achievable with biphasic pulses
			Biphasic	1 burst of 8 pulses	50-0-50 μs	1 Hz		
			Biphasic	1 burst of 8 pulses	50-0-50 μs	Approximately 909 Hz		
Miklavcic <i>et al</i> [37], 2005	<i>In vivo</i>	ECT	Monopolar	1 burst of 8 pulses	100 μs	1 to 5000 Hz (ten or five steps)	88 or 313 V/cm	Achievable with high frequency pulses
Zupanic <i>et al</i> [38], 2007	<i>In vivo</i>	ECT	Monopolar	1 burst of 8 pulses	100 μs	1 Hz	600 V/cm	Achievable with high frequency pulses
			Monopolar	1 burst of 8 pulses	100 μs	5000 Hz		
Spugnini <i>et al</i> [39], 2014	<i>In vitro</i>	ECT	Biphasic	1 burst of 8 pulses	50-10-50 μs	9 kHz	1.3 kV/cm	Achievable with biphasic pulses
	<i>In vivo</i>							
Scuderi <i>et al</i> [40], 2019	<i>In vitro</i>	ECT	Monopolar	1 burst of 8 pulses	100 μs	1 Hz	1.2 kV/cm	Achievable with bipolar HF-EP
			Bipolar	8 bursts of 50 pulses	1-1-1 μs	250 kHz	3 kV/cm	
García-Sánchez <i>et al</i> [41], 2020	<i>In vivo</i>	ECT	Unipolar	Bursts of 8 pulses	100 μs	1 Hz	1.3 kV/cm	Achievable with sinusoidal pulses
			Bipolar	Number of bursts and pulses depend on experiments and frequency	100 μs-5 ms	10-100 kHz	> 1.3 kV/cm	
			Sinusoidal					
Golberg and Rubinsky[28], 2012	<i>Numerical</i>	-	Monopolar	1 pulse	100 μs	-	> 800 V/cm	Achievable with an appropriate electrode design and arrangement
Arena <i>et al</i> [32], 2011	<i>In vivo</i>	IRE	Monopolar	90-180 pulses	200 μs	1 Hz	0.5-2 kV/cm	Achievable with H-FIRE
			Bipolar	180 bursts of 50 pulses	2-0-2 μs	250 kHz	1-4 kV/cm	
			Bipolar	180 bursts of 100 pulses	1-0-1 μs	500 kHz	4 kV/cm	
Sano <i>et al</i> [42], 2014	<i>In vitro</i>	IRE	Bipolar	80 bursts of 1 pulse	50-2-50 μs	1 Hz	1.5 kV/cm	Achievable with biphasic pulses
Sano <i>et al</i> [43], 2015	<i>In vitro</i>	IRE	Monopolar	1 pulse	100 μs	1 Hz	1.5 kV/cm	Achievable with bipolar pulses
	<i>In vivo</i>		Bipolar	8-120 bursts of 1-200 pulses	Pulse width: 250 ns-50 μs	20 to 20000 kHz (seven steps)	Approximately 0.5-2 kV/cm	
Sweeney <i>et al</i> [33], 2016	<i>In vitro</i>	IRE	Monopolar	1 burst of 200 pulses	100 μs	2 kHz	750-1250 V/cm	Achievable with high-frequency bipolar pulses
			Bipolar	200 bursts of 50 pulses	1 -1-1 μs	250 kHz	1250 V/cm	
			Bipolar	200 bursts of 50 pulses	1-4-1 μs	100 kHz	1250 V/cm	
Yao <i>et al</i> [44], 2017	<i>In vivo</i>	IRE	Bipolar	90 bursts of 50 pulses	2-2-2 μs	250 kHz	1-2 kV/cm	Achievable with H-FIRE and insulated needle electrodes
			Bipolar	90 bursts of 20 pulses	5-2-5 μs	Approximately 143 kHz		
			Bipolar	90 bursts of 10 pulses	10-2-10 μs	Approximately 83 kHz		
			Bipolar	90 bursts of 4 pulses	25-2-25 μs	Approximately 37 kHz	1-1.75 kV/cm	
			Bipolar	90 bursts of 2 pulses	50-2-50 μs	Approximately 20 kHz	1-1.5 kV/cm	
			Monopolar	90 bursts of 1 pulse	100 μs	1 Hz	0.8-1.5 kV/cm	
Sano <i>et al</i> [29], 2018	<i>Ex vivo</i>	IRE	Monopolar	5 pulses	100 μs	1 Hz	1 kV/cm	Achievable with (symmetric) H-FIRE pulses
			Bipolar	5 bursts of 1-200 pulses	2-2-2 μs	Not available	0.17-1.7 kV/cm	

ECT: Electrochemotherapy; H-FIRE: High-frequency irreversible electroporation; HF-EP: High frequency electroporation; IRE: Irreversible electroporation.

lasting 100 μ s and repeated at a rate of 2 kHz for 500 μ s; (2) A train with the same characteristics but with a pulse amplitude of 500 V; and (3) 200 bursts of 25 bipolar pulses with a 1 + 1 μ s duration and 500 V, separated by a 4 μ s intra- and inter-pulse delay. Each treatment consisted of 200 periods (bursts) lasting 500 μ s each, for a total treatment time of exactly 100 ms for each pulsing scheme. Even though bipolar pulses at a high frequency were able to mitigate undesirable muscle contraction during IRE, the bipolar pulses induced less evident membrane permeabilisation than equivalent monopolar pulses. In fact, intracellular detection of propidium iodide was observed at electric field intensities of approximately 500 V/cm, which was lower than that observed in bipolar pulse treatments (900-1250 V/cm). That was attributed to the inability of the short-duration bipolar pulses to complete the membrane charging despite the higher applied voltages. However, bipolar pulse protocols can be designed to obtain more efficient, symmetric and homogeneous uptake of small molecules into cells than conventional monopolar pulses.

In 2017, Yao *et al*[44] explored the effect of IRE ablation on muscle contractions. The authors studied how to reduce muscle contractions by acting both on the frequency of monopolar pulses and on the nature of the electrodes used. The study was conducted with rabbit liver tissue. The H-FIRE protocol consisted of a series of 90 bursts. Each burst had a repetition frequency of 1 Hz and comprised 50, 20, 10, 4 or 2 monopolar pulses with individual pulse widths of 2, 5, 10, 20 or 50 μ s. The total energised time was 100 μ s. The experiments were conducted with both traditional and insulated needle electrodes with the aim of investigating how the electrode design influenced the muscle contractions. Each pair of electrodes were separated by a fixed distance of 10 mm. A finite element model was also used to establish the lethal thresholds of H-FIRE protocols; consequently, the pulse voltage amplitude range was set from 800 V to 2000 V. An accelerometer was used to measure muscle contractions. The authors observed that the H-FIRE protocol reduced muscle contractions. The muscle contraction strength increased with the increase in voltage amplitude and pulse width. A quite linear increase in acceleration occurred when the voltage was increased, regardless of the pulse duration. For example, a 10 μ s pulse produced an acceleration of about 1.5 g at 1000 V, and about 4 g at 2000 V. Conversely, at a fixed voltage, a consistent increase in the acceleration value was observed when the pulse length was also increased (*e.g.*, less than 1 g of acceleration for 2 μ s pulses at 1500 V *vs* more than 7 g of acceleration for 100 μ s pulses at 1500 V). Moreover, fewer muscle contractions were detected when using insulated needle electrodes and the ablation area was smaller than that obtained with traditional needle electrodes (*e.g.*, about 5 g of acceleration with 50 μ s pulses at 1500 V using insulated needles *vs* about 6.5 g of acceleration with 50 μ s pulses at 1500 V using non-insulated needles).

Sano *et al*[29] compared the effect on muscle contraction associated with IRE to those associated with different H-FIRE protocols. The experiments were conducted *ex vivo* and muscle contractions were measured with an accelerometer. In order to make the comparison consistent, the total energised time in H-FIRE protocols was ensured to be equal to one of the standard IRE protocols. The traditional IRE protocols consisted of five monopolar pulses lasting 25, 50, 75 and 100 μ s, with a repetition frequency of 0.5 or 1 Hz and with an amplitude of 3000 V. To examine alternative strategies, high-energy bipolar bursts with energised times between 100 μ s and 200 μ s and voltages between 3000 V and 4500 V were delivered. The investigated H-FIRE protocols were split into three subgroups: (1) Symmetric 2 + 2 μ s high frequency pulses with an intra-pulse delay of 2 μ s, voltages of from 500 to 5000 V and total energised times of 100 or 200 μ s; (2) Symmetric 2 + 2 μ s high frequency pulses with an intra-pulse delay of 5 μ s or 10 μ s, a voltage of 5000 V and a total energised time of 100 μ s; and (3) Asymmetric high frequency pulses with a 2 μ s positive wave, an intra-pulse of 2 μ s and negative waves of 0.25, 0.5 or 1 μ s (voltage of 3000 V). An energised time of 100 μ s with 2-2-2 H-FIRE pulses produced muscle contractions that increased with the voltage (accelerations of 0.005 g and 0.210 g for voltages of 500 and 5000 V, respectively). When the voltage was set at 3000 V, the acceleration peak obtained in the symmetrical H-FIRE protocol was 9-12 times smaller than that seen with traditional IRE pulses (0.72 g with a 75 μ s pulse length). Moreover, symmetrical high frequency pulses enabled the delivery of substantially higher voltages and energised times while producing smaller accelerations than traditional IRE pulses. In fact, the acceleration values remained relatively constant when the total energised time was increased from 100 μ s to 200 μ s, and even when the applied voltage was increased to 4500 V. Conversely, both

symmetric pulses with a variable intra-pulse delay and asymmetric pulses produced significantly greater muscle contractions. However, asymmetrical H-FIRE produced significantly greater ($\alpha < 0.001$) muscle contractions at 3000 V compared with the symmetrical waveforms. The maximum peak acceleration (0.80 g) comparable to that achieved with the traditional IRE pulses, was achieved with the 2–2–0.25 waveform. The authors concluded that muscle contractions can be reduced with H-FIRE pulses when the voltage and energised time are held constant (3000 V, 100 μ s). Additionally, high voltage and high-energy H-FIRE treatments produced less intense muscle contractions. However, since the experiments were conducted *ex vivo*, it is reasonable to consider that muscle contractions *in vivo* may be greater than those observed in this study. Ablation efficacy should also be assessed.

Summary table

Table 1 summarises the outcomes of the literature analysis. The type of study (numerical analysis, *in vitro*, *in vivo* or *ex vivo*), the type of electroporation protocol (ECT or IRE), the pulse characteristics and the main results are reported. With reference to the pulse characteristics, it was considered of particular interest to report: (1) The type of pulse; (2) The number of pulses (*i.e.* the number of bursts and the number of pulses *per* burst); (3) The pulse duration (when bipolar/biphasic, as positive pulse width–intra-pulse delay–negative pulse width); (4) The pulse frequency (*i.e.* the inverse of a single monopolar/monophasic or bipolar/biphasic pulse period); and (5) The electric field applied (**Figure 3**).

DISCUSSION

Muscle contractions and pain are the main undesirable effects associated with EP treatments, both ECT and IRE. Many authors have investigated different protocol designs and electrode geometries in order to reduce patient pain, the number of muscle contractions and their intensity. As this review shows, particular importance was given to the length, frequency and type of the delivered pulses. Less attention was paid to the influence of the electrode design even though it does affect the portion of the muscle through which the current flows. As reported by Miklavcic *et al*[37] the reduction of voltage amplitude, does not result in an appreciable reduction of patient discomfort. Moreover, even if it is widely accepted that a decrease in the pulse amplitude can be balanced by an increase in the pulse duration, there is still disagreement as to how to determine the correct increase in the number of pulses to be applied in order not to alter treatment efficacy.

Pain reduction and pulse frequency

The reduction of pain due to muscle contractions can be obtained by increasing the pulse repetition frequency above that of tetanic contraction (100 Hz)[38]. When the frequency was higher than 2 kHz, patients experienced a single muscle contraction rather than multiple muscle contractions after every single pulse[38,39]. Moreover, treatment efficacy was not altered by an increase in frequency of up to 5 kHz[38,39]. That was confirmed by Yang *et al*[47], who observed that steep pulsed electric fields with a given frequency and appropriate electric field intensity achieved a cytotoxicity of close to 100%. However, even though the total number of muscle contractions *per* treatment was reduced, the intensity of the contractions remained similar to that observed in standard protocols.

When altering the pulse repetition frequency, attention should be paid to the choice of pulse numbers and amplitude[48,49]. In fact, the relationship between the pulse parameters for ECT and treatment efficacy, assessed by the cell cytotoxicity rate, can display a highly linear behaviour up to a certain number of pulses and/or field intensity. Thereafter, an exponential model is more appropriate. That is consistent with a recent study by García-Sánchez *et al*[41], which found that convenient, reversible EP and efficient ECT of subcutaneous tumours and a remarkable reduction of muscle contraction could be achieved by applying sinusoidal fields. However, the frequency of sine waves has been shown to significantly affect ECT effectiveness. At 100 kHz, a clear loss of efficacy was observed[41]. In order to achieve a tumour regression similar to that obtained at 10 kHz, the electric field intensity should be theoretically increased 1.56 times. Those results highlight the charge-dependent nature of the EP phenomenon, where the cell membrane must be charged at the minimum induced transmembrane voltage in order to achieve effective electro-permeabilisation[49,50].




Figure 3 Pulse structure. A: Monopolar/monophasic pulse; B: Bipolar/biphasic pulse.

Pain reduction and bipolar/biphasic pulses

Several authors have investigated reduction in morbidity achieved with bipolar/biphasic pulses. They all reported that altering the pulse polarity not only reduced the occurrence but also the intensity of muscle contractions. In fact, when a μ s-pulse was applied, there was a latency period between the end of the pulse and the rising phase of the action potential. A rapid reversal of polarity within this latency period can accelerate passive repolarisation and inhibit the action potential generation. Therefore, with proper tuning of the bipolar/biphasic pulse parameters, it is possible to achieve a drop in muscle force that can be attributed to the termination of action potentials in part of the motor unit population[33,34,40,43,44,48,51], without losing in EP efficacy. With that in mind, interesting results were reported by Spugnini *et al*[39]. They reported that trains of eight biphasic electric pulses lasting 50 + 50 μ s each, at a frequency of 1 Hz, and 10 μ s interpulse intervals at 1300 V/cm, achieved a significantly higher response in mice (70%-90% tumour necrosis) compared with that achieved with trains of eight biphasic electric pulses lasting 50 + 50 ms each, at a frequency of 1 Hz and 1-ms interpulse intervals (40%-55% tumour necrosis)[40].

Sano *et al*[43] reported that bursts of bipolar pulses resulted in both instantaneous and delayed cell death and that an inverse relationship existed between pulse width and toxicity, despite the delivery of equal quantities of energy. However, 1500 V/cm bursts containing 50 + 50 μ s pulses resulted in a viability below 10% and low muscle contractions, which was less undesirable than those induced by longer monopolar/monophasic pulses. This result is comparable with the standard IRE protocol, as reported by Arena *et al*[51], who showed that after eighty 100 μ s monopolar pulses at 1500 V/cm, cell viability was approximately 8%. Bipolar/biphasic pulses in the same electric field seem to have appreciable efficacy when biphasic pulses of 50 + 50 μ s are used; however, in order to obtain a comparable cytotoxicity rate with bipolar/biphasic and monopolar/monophasic protocols, the bipolar/biphasic pulses generally need a stronger electric field[41].

Finally, the protocols described by Scuderi *et al*[40] and Sweeney *et al*[33] achieved the results obtained with high frequency pulses together with those achieved with bipolar pulses in ECT and IRE. They found that bipolar pulses at a high frequency were able to mitigate both undesirable muscle contraction and patient pain in EP therapies. Additional reduction was achieved when the bipolar pulse had a symmetrical structure[30]. However, short bipolar pulses may result in less pronounced membrane permeabilisation, suggesting that pulse duration is a critical parameter that must be carefully chosen[34,50].

Pain reduction and electrode design

Reductions of muscle contraction and morbidity can be achieved with an appropriate electrode design. Fewer and less intense muscle contractions were reported by Yao *et al*[44] when using insulated needle electrodes. A more sophisticated electrode design was proposed by Golberg and Rubinsky[28] where a central energised electrode was surrounded by at least 16 grounded electrodes, obtaining significant pain reduction.

However, the impact of the new electrode designs on treatment efficacy remains to be more deeply evaluated.

CONCLUSION

This review found that both pulse frequency and shape can be modified to reduce pain and muscle contractions in patients who undergo EP treatments. Furthermore, a combination of high frequency pulses with bipolar/biphasic ones were shown to enhance this capability. However, simply ensuring that equivalent energy is administered by standard and new protocols (high frequency, bipolar/biphasic) is not sufficient to guarantee clinical efficacy. Preclinical *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies together with clinical data are necessary to evaluate the clinical relevance of alternative pulse protocols. In addition, sinusoidal pulses with the appropriate frequency, as well as electrode design (*e.g.*, insulated needles), may successfully mitigate these drawbacks. Further study is required to evaluate how these aspects influence the efficacy of the therapy. A main limitation of this systematic review is the absence of a risk of bias analysis both in individual studies and across studies. Moreover, the authors did not investigate principal summary measures (*e.g.*, risk ratio, difference in means, and others), as most studies did not report numerical results. Those deficiencies should be addressed in subsequent investigations. To summarise, pain reduction in EP-based treatments can be achieved by appropriately defining the protocol parameters and the electrode design. The desired results can be achieved with high frequency and/or bipolar/biphasic pulses. However, the efficacy of these alternative protocols remains a crucial point to be assessed further.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

In electrochemotherapy (ECT), pain and muscular contractions were reported as the most frequent drawbacks.

Research motivation

To review aimed to assess the literature describing technical advances intended to reduce muscle contraction and pain associated with electroporation (EP) effects.

Research objectives

The objective was to present an overview of different protocols proposed in the literature that aim to reduce muscle contraction in both ECT and irreversible EP treatments.

Research methods

Thirty-three published studies reporting different protocol designs and electrode geometries were selected for analysis.

Research results

Both high frequency and bipolar/biphasic pulses can be used to reduce pain and muscle contractions in patients who undergo EP treatments. Moreover, adequate electrode design can lower EP-related morbidity.

Research conclusions

Pain reduction in EP-based treatments can be achieved by appropriately defining the protocol parameters and the electrode design.

Research perspectives

The desired results can be achieved with high frequency and/or bipolar/biphasic pulses.

REFERENCES

- 1 **Tozon N**, Sersa G, Cemazar M. Electrochemotherapy: potentiation of local antitumour effectiveness of cisplatin in dogs and cats. *Anticancer Res* 2001; **21**: 2483-2488 [PMID: [11724311](#)]
- 2 **Jaroszeski MJ**, Dang V, Pottinger C, Hickey J, Gilbert R, Heller R. Toxicity of anticancer agents mediated by electroporation in vitro. *Anticancer Drugs* 2000; **11**: 201-208 [PMID: [10831279](#) DOI: [10.1097/00001813-200003000-00008](#)]
- 3 **Orlowski S**, Belehradek J Jr, Paoletti C, Mir LM. Transient electroporabilization of cells in culture. Increase of the cytotoxicity of anticancer drugs. *Biochem Pharmacol* 1988; **37**: 4727-4733 [PMID: [2462423](#) DOI: [10.1016/0006-2952\(88\)90344-9](#)]
- 4 **Sersa G**, Cemazar M, Miklavcic D. Antitumor effectiveness of electrochemotherapy with cis-diamminedichloroplatinum(II) in mice. *Cancer Res* 1995; **55**: 3450-3455 [PMID: [7614485](#)]
- 5 **Mali B**, Jarm T, Snoj M, Sersa G, Miklavcic D. Antitumor effectiveness of electrochemotherapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2013; **39**: 4-16 [PMID: [22980492](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.ejso.2012.08.016](#)]
- 6 **Marty M**, Sersa G, Garbay JR, Gehl J, Collins CG, Snoj M, Billard V, Geertsens PF, Larkin JO, Miklavcic D, Pavlovic I, Paulin-Kosir SM, Cemazar M, Morsli N, Soden DM, Rudolf Z, Robert C, O'Sullivan GC, Mir LM: Electrochemotherapy – an easy, highly effective and safe treatment of cutaneous and subcutaneous metastases. Results of ESOPE (European Standard Operating Procedures of Electrochemotherapy) study. *Eur J Cancer* 2006; **S4**: 3-13 [DOI: [10.1016/j.ejcsup.2006.08.002](#)]
- 7 **Campana LG**, Mocellin S, Basso M, Puccetti O, De Salvo GL, Chiarion-Sileni V, Vecchiato A, Corti L, Rossi CR, Nitti D. Bleomycin-based electrochemotherapy: clinical outcome from a single institution's experience with 52 patients. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2009; **16**: 191-199 [PMID: [18987914](#) DOI: [10.1245/s10434-008-0204-8](#)]
- 8 **Miklavcic D**, Serša G, Breclj E, Gehl J, Soden D, Bianchi G, Ruggieri P, Rossi CR, Campana LG, Jarm T. Electrochemotherapy: technological advancements for efficient electroporation-based treatment of internal tumors. *Med Biol Eng Comput* 2012; **50**: 1213-1225 [PMID: [23179413](#) DOI: [10.1007/s11517-012-0991-8](#)]
- 9 **Edhemovic I**, Breclj E, Gasljevic G, Marolt Music M, Gorjup V, Mali B, Jarm T, Kos B, Pavliha D, Grcar Kuzmanov B, Cemazar M, Snoj M, Miklavcic D, Gadzijev EM, Sersa G. Intraoperative electrochemotherapy of colorectal liver metastases. *J Surg Oncol* 2014; **110**: 320-327 [PMID: [24782355](#) DOI: [10.1002/jso.23625](#)]
- 10 **Tarantino L**, Busto G, Nasto A, Fristachi R, Cacace L, Talamo M, Accardo C, Bortone S, Gallo P, Tarantino P, Nasto RA, Di Minno MN, Ambrosino P. Percutaneous electrochemotherapy in the treatment of portal vein tumor thrombosis at hepatic hilum in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis: A feasibility study. *World J Gastroenterol* 2017; **23**: 906-918 [PMID: [28223736](#) DOI: [10.3748/wjg.v23.i5.906](#)]
- 11 **Tarantino L**, Busto G, Nasto A, Nasto RA, Tarantino P, Fristachi R, Cacace L, Bortone S. Electrochemotherapy of cholangiocellular carcinoma at hepatic hilum: A feasibility study. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2018; **44**: 1603-1609 [PMID: [30017329](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.ejso.2018.06.025](#)]
- 12 **Granata V**, Fusco R, Piccirillo M, Palaia R, Petrillo A, Lastoria S, Izzo F. Electrochemotherapy in locally advanced pancreatic cancer: Preliminary results. *Int J Surg* 2015; **18**: 230-236 [PMID: [25917204](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.ijso.2015.04.055](#)]
- 13 **Miklavcic D**, Mali B, Kos B, Heller R, Serša G. Electrochemotherapy: from the drawing board into medical practice. *Biomed Eng Online* 2014; **13**: 29 [PMID: [24621079](#) DOI: [10.1186/1475-925X-13-29](#)]
- 14 **Mir LM**, Tounekti O, Orlowski S. Bleomycin: revival of an old drug. *Gen Pharmacol* 1996; **27**: 745-748 [PMID: [8842674](#) DOI: [10.1016/0306-3623\(95\)02101-9](#)]
- 15 **Tounekti O**, Pron G, Belehradek J Jr, Mir LM. Bleomycin, an apoptosis-mimetic drug that induces two types of cell death depending on the number of molecules internalized. *Cancer Res* 1993; **53**: 5462-5469 [PMID: [7693342](#)]
- 16 **Spreckelmeyer S**, Orvig C, Casini A. Cellular transport mechanisms of cytotoxic metalodrugs: an overview beyond cisplatin. *Molecules* 2014; **19**: 15584-15610 [PMID: [25268716](#) DOI: [10.3390/molecules191015584](#)]
- 17 **Mir LM**, Gehl J, Serša G, Collins CG, Garbay J-R, Billard V, Geertsens PF, Rudolf Z, O'Sullivan GC, Marty M. Standard operating procedures of the electrochemotherapy: Instructions for the use of bleomycin or cisplatin administered either systemically or locally and electric pulses delivered by the Cliniporator™ by means of invasive or non-invasive electrodes. *Eur J Cancer Suppl* 2006; **4**: 14-25 [DOI: [10.1016/j.ejcsup.2006.08.003](#)]
- 18 **Gehl J**, Sersa G, Matthiessen LW, Muir T, Soden D, Occhini A, Quaglino P, Curatolo P, Campana LG, Kunte C, Clover AJP, Bertino G, Farricha V, Odili J, Dahlstrom K, Benazzo M, Mir LM. Updated standard operating procedures for electrochemotherapy of cutaneous tumours and skin metastases. *Acta Oncol* 2018; **57**: 874-882 [PMID: [29577784](#) DOI: [10.1080/0284186X.2018.1454602](#)]
- 19 **Arena CB**, Davalos RV. Advances in therapeutic electroporation to mitigate muscle contractions. *J Membr Sci Technol* 2012; **2**: 1-3 [DOI: [10.4172/2155-9589.1000e102](#)]
- 20 **Ball C**, Thomson KR, Kavnoudias H. Irreversible electroporation: a new challenge in "out of operating theatre" anesthesia. *Anesth Analg* 2010; **110**: 1305-1309 [PMID: [20142349](#) DOI: [10.1213/ANE.0b013e3181d27b30](#)]

- 21 **Mali B**, Jarm T, Corovic S, Paulin-Kosir MS, Cemazar M, Sersa G, Miklavcic D. The effect of electroporation pulses on functioning of the heart. *Med Biol Eng Comput* 2008; **46**: 745-757 [PMID: 18415132 DOI: 10.1007/s11517-008-0346-7]
- 22 **Deodhar A**, Dickfeld T, Single GW, Hamilton WC Jr, Thornton RH, Sofocleous CT, Maybody M, Gónen M, Rubinsky B, Solomon SB. Irreversible electroporation near the heart: ventricular arrhythmias can be prevented with ECG synchronization. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2011; **196**: W330-W335 [PMID: 21343484 DOI: 10.2214/AJR.10.4490]
- 23 **Larkin JO**, Collins CG, Aarons S, Tangney M, Whelan M, O'Reily S, Breathnach O, Soden DM, O'Sullivan GC. Electrochemotherapy: aspects of preclinical development and early clinical experience. *Ann Surg* 2007; **245**: 469-479 [PMID: 17435555 DOI: 10.1097/01.sla.0000250419.36053.33]
- 24 **Landström FJ**, Nilsson CO, Crafoord S, Reizenstein JA, Adamsson GB, Löfgren LA. Electroporation therapy of skin cancer in the head and neck area. *Dermatol Surg* 2010; **36**: 1245-1250 [PMID: 20666812 DOI: 10.1111/j.1524-4725.2010.01617.x]
- 25 **Campana LG**, Valpione S, Falci C, Mocellin S, Basso M, Corti L, Balestrieri N, Marchet A, Rossi CR. The activity and safety of electrochemotherapy in persistent chest wall recurrence from breast cancer after mastectomy: a phase-II study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2012; **134**: 1169-1178 [PMID: 22821399 DOI: 10.1007/s10549-012-2095-4]
- 26 **Matthiessen LW**, Johannesen HH, Hendel HW, Moss T, Kamby C, Gehl J. Electrochemotherapy for large cutaneous recurrence of breast cancer: a phase II clinical trial. *Acta Oncol* 2012; **51**: 713-721 [PMID: 22731832 DOI: 10.3109/0284186X.2012.685524]
- 27 **Cabula C**, Campana LG, Grilz G, Galuppo S, Bussone R, De Meo L, Bonadies A, Curatolo P, De Laurentiis M, Renne M, Valpione S, Fabrizio T, Solari N, Guida M, Santoriello A, D'Aiuto M, Agresti R. Electrochemotherapy in the Treatment of Cutaneous Metastases from Breast Cancer: A Multicenter Cohort Analysis. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2015; **22** Suppl 3: S442-S450 [PMID: 26242370 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-015-4779-6]
- 28 **Golberg A**, Rubinsky B. Towards electroporation based treatment planning considering electric field induced muscle contractions. *Technol Cancer Res Treat* 2012; **11**: 189-201 [PMID: 22335414 DOI: 10.7785/ctrt.2012.500249]
- 29 **Sano MB**, Fan RE, Cheng K, Saenz Y, Sonn GA, Hwang GL, Xing L. Reduction of Muscle Contractions during Irreversible Electroporation Therapy Using High-Frequency Bursts of Alternating Polarity Pulses: A Laboratory Investigation in an Ex Vivo Swine Model. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2018; **29**: 893-898.e3 [PMID: 29628296 DOI: 10.1016/j.jvir.2017.12.019]
- 30 **Latouche EL**, Arena CB, Ivey JW, Garcia PA, Pancotto TE, Pavlisko N, Verbridge SS, Davalos RV, Rossmeisl JH. High-Frequency Irreversible Electroporation for Intracranial Meningioma: A Feasibility Study in a Spontaneous Canine Tumor Model. *Technol Cancer Res Treat* 2018; **17**: 1533033818785285 [PMID: 30071778 DOI: 10.1177/1533033818785285]
- 31 **Dong S**, Wang H, Zhao Y, Sun Y, Yao C. First Human Trial of High-Frequency Irreversible Electroporation Therapy for Prostate Cancer. *Technol Cancer Res Treat* 2018; **17**: 1533033818789692 [PMID: 30045668 DOI: 10.1177/1533033818789692]
- 32 **Arena CB**, Sano MB, Rossmeisl JH Jr, Caldwell JL, Garcia PA, Rylander MN, Davalos RV. High-frequency irreversible electroporation (H-FIRE) for non-thermal ablation without muscle contraction. *Biomed Eng Online* 2011; **10**: 102 [PMID: 22104372 DOI: 10.1186/1475-925X-10-102]
- 33 **Sweeney DC**, Reberssek M, Dermol J, Rems L, Miklavčič D, Davalos RV. Quantification of cell membrane permeability induced by monopolar and high-frequency bipolar bursts of electrical pulses. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2016; **1858**: 2689-2698 [PMID: 27372268 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbame.2016.06.024]
- 34 **Pucihar G**, Mir LM, Miklavcic D. The effect of pulse repetition frequency on the uptake into electroporabilized cells in vitro with possible applications in electrochemotherapy. *Bioelectrochemistry* 2002; **57**: 167-172 [PMID: 12160614 DOI: 10.1016/s1567-5394(02)00116-0]
- 35 **Zhang L**, Rabussay DP. Clinical evaluation of safety and human tolerance of electrical sensation induced by electric fields with non-invasive electrodes. *Bioelectrochemistry* 2002; **56**: 233-236 [PMID: 12009482 DOI: 10.1016/s1567-5394(02)00057-9]
- 36 **Daskalov I**, Mudrov N, Peycheva E. Exploring new instrumentation parameters for electrochemotherapy. Attacking tumors with bursts of biphasic pulses instead of single pulses. *IEEE Eng Med Biol Mag* 1999; **18**: 62-66 [PMID: 9934602 DOI: 10.1109/51.740982]
- 37 **Miklavcic D**, Pucihar G, Pavlovce M, Ribaric S, Mali M, Macek-Lebar A, Petkovsek M, Nastran J, Kranjc S, Cemazar M, Sersa G. The effect of high frequency electric pulses on muscle contractions and antitumor efficiency in vivo for a potential use in clinical electrochemotherapy. *Bioelectrochemistry* 2005; **65**: 121-128 [PMID: 15713562 DOI: 10.1016/j.bioelechem.2004.07.004]
- 38 **Zupanic A**, Ribaric S, Miklavcic D. Increasing the repetition frequency of electric pulse delivery reduces unpleasant sensations that occur in electrochemotherapy. *Neoplasma* 2007; **54**: 246-250 [PMID: 17447858]
- 39 **Spugnini EP**, Melillo A, Quagliuolo L, Boccellino M, Vincenzi B, Pasquali P, Baldi A. Definition of novel electrochemotherapy parameters and validation of their in vitro and in vivo effectiveness. *J Cell Physiol* 2014; **229**: 1177-1181 [PMID: 24403005 DOI: 10.1002/jcp.24548]
- 40 **Scuderi M**, Reberssek M, Miklavcic D, Dermol-Cerne J. The use of high-frequency short bipolar pulses in cisplatin electrochemotherapy in vitro. *Radiol Oncol* 2019; **53**: 194-205 [PMID: 31194692 DOI: 10.2478/raon-2019-0025]

- 41 **García-Sánchez T**, Mercadal B, Polrot M, Muscat A, Sarnago H, Lucia O, Mir LM. Successful Tumor Electrochemotherapy Using Sine Waves. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2020; **67**: 1040-1049 [PMID: [31329545](#) DOI: [10.1109/TBME.2019.2928645](#)]
- 42 **Sano MB**, Arena CB, DeWitt MR, Saur D, Davalos RV. In-vitro bipolar nano- and microsecond electro-pulse bursts for irreversible electroporation therapies. *Bioelectrochemistry* 2014; **100**: 69-79 [PMID: [25131187](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.bioelechem.2014.07.010](#)]
- 43 **Sano MB**, Arena CB, Bittleman KR, DeWitt MR, Cho HJ, Szot CS, Saur D, Cissell JM, Robertson J, Lee YW, Davalos RV. Bursts of Bipolar Microsecond Pulses Inhibit Tumor Growth. *Sci Rep* 2015; **5**: 14999 [PMID: [26459930](#) DOI: [10.1038/srep14999](#)]
- 44 **Yao C**, Dong S, Zhao Y, Lv Y, Liu H, Gong L, Ma J, Wang H, Sun Y. Bipolar Microsecond Pulses and Insulated Needle Electrodes for Reducing Muscle Contractions During Irreversible Electroporation. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2017; **64**: 2924-2937 [PMID: [28391185](#) DOI: [10.1109/TBME.2017.2690624](#)]
- 45 **Orlowski S**, Mir LM. Cell electroporation: a new tool for biochemical and pharmacological studies. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1993; **1154**: 51-63 [PMID: [8507646](#) DOI: [10.1016/0304-4157\(93\)90016-h](#)]
- 46 **Melzack R**. The McGill Pain Questionnaire: major properties and scoring methods. *Pain* 1975; **1**: 277-299 [PMID: [1235985](#) DOI: [10.1016/0304-3959\(75\)90044-5](#)]
- 47 **Yang XJ**, Li J, Sun CX, Zheng FY, Hu LN. The effect of high frequency steep pulsed electric fields on in vitro and in vivo antitumor efficiency of ovarian cancer cell line skov3 and potential use in electrochemotherapy. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* 2009; **28**: 53 [PMID: [19386105](#) DOI: [10.1186/1756-9966-28-53](#)]
- 48 **García-Sánchez T**, Leray I, Ronchetti M, Cadossi R, Mir LM. Impact of the number of electric pulses on cell electrochemotherapy in vitro: Limits of linearity and saturation. *Bioelectrochemistry* 2019; **129**: 218-227 [PMID: [31200252](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.bioelechem.2019.05.021](#)]
- 49 **Silve A**, Leray I, Leguèbe M, Poignard C, Mir LM. Cell membrane permeabilization by 12-ns electric pulses: Not a purely dielectric, but a charge-dependent phenomenon. *Bioelectrochemistry* 2015; **106**: 369-378 [PMID: [26138342](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.bioelechem.2015.06.002](#)]
- 50 **Kotnik T**, Pucihar G, Miklavcic D. Induced transmembrane voltage and its correlation with electroporation-mediated molecular transport. *J Membr Biol* 2010; **236**: 3-13 [PMID: [20617432](#) DOI: [10.1007/s00232-010-9279-9](#)]
- 51 **Arena CB**, Szot CS, Garcia PA, Rylander MN, Davalos RV. A three-dimensional in vitro tumor platform for modeling therapeutic irreversible electroporation. *Biophys J* 2012; **103**: 2033-2042 [PMID: [23199931](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.bpj.2012.09.017](#)]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

