

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 61969

Title: Recurrent abdominal pain due to small bowel volvulus after TAPP repair: A case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 02536288

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-24

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-24 12:35

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-24 15:13

Review time: 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Hello Thank you for an interesting and important manuscript. I have only one comment:

1. In title you write that your manuscript is a literature review also but amount of references are too short for literature review and information about this problem ins not enough for review. Please change title or make literature part longer. Best regards

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 61969

Title: Recurrent abdominal pain due to small bowel volvulus after TAPP repair: A case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 05452375

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Denmark

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-26 16:57

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-27 14:44

Review time: 21 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please see the attached document for comments.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 61969

Title: Recurrent abdominal pain due to small bowel volvulus after TAPP repair: A case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 05397404

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Sweden

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-25 16:16

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-30 21:29

Review time: 5 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think the rapport is interesting including radiography and intra-operative photo on this rare complication after TAPP repair , but the literature review in the discussion is very short, without a deeply discussion of the different ways of close the peritoneal incision and the type of complications related to those different methods. Some specific comments are the following: 1. What means about “traditional surgery” in the first sentence of the abstract? suture repair or open mesh repair? . Open mesh repair is considered nowadays the most common approach for hernia repair. TAPP has no lower recurrences or complication rates than open mesh repair in the literature. 2. In the Discussion you write “However, if used improperly, the barbs may be attached to the adjacent small intestine, mesentery, or omentum”. Means you that the cause of this case rapport was an inappropriately use of the V-loc in the TAPP repair or is it possible that this type of complication can occur even when you use the V-loc appropriately? 3. Was the site of the adhesion in the middle or in the end of the peritoneal suturing line?

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 61969

Title: Recurrent abdominal pain due to small bowel volvulus after TAPP repair: A case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 02536288

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-24

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang (Part-Time Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-31 06:25

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-31 06:31

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Thank you. All necessary changes are included in manuscript

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 61969

Title: Recurrent abdominal pain due to small bowel volvulus after TAPP repair: A case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 05452375

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Denmark

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-24

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang (Part-Time Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-01 08:05

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-01 09:09

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, Thank you for letting me re-review your manuscript. It is clear that you have made a great effort to improve the manuscript in accordance with the comments from the reviewers. The issues that I addressed in my initial review have all been resolved. However, I would still recommend some minor language polishing of the manuscript, especially of the newly added paragraphs. Thank you.