

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

Manuscript NO: 61976

Title: Repetitiveness of the oral glucose tolerance test in children and adolescents

Reviewer's code: 05212434

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Poland

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-31

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-08 13:58

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-08 14:14

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I was honored to review the manuscript entitled “Repetitiveness of the Oral Glucose Tolerance Test (OGTT) in children and adolescents” submitted to World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics. The study presents high quality and deals with important clinical issue, such type of study is needed. I have only few small remarks that authors should address properly. I recommend to accept the manuscript after minor revision. There are only some points to correct: - please provide the list of abbreviations - please provide the number of ethical approval - introduction and discussion section need improvement - please provide information on how your results will translate into clinical practice - in discussion section please provide study strong points and study limitation section - please correct typos - It would be also useful to illustrate some of the explained mechanisms as in general the manuscript is quite long and therefore a little bit difficult to follow. - Abstract should be shorter, more consistent and refer only to the main focus of the work. I recommend to accept the manuscript after minor revision.