

Responses to the Associate Editor's and Reviewers' Comments

19 January, 2021

Dear reviewers and editorial staffs in *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

We are sincerely grateful for your thorough consideration and scrutiny of our manuscript entitled “**An extremely rare case of necrotizing gastritis in a patient with autoimmune hepatitis: Case report and literature review**” (control number NO: 62076). We thank the reviewers for their accurate comments. These comments have helped us better understand critical issues of our paper. Due to scrutinizing efforts of the reviewers and editors, the scientific and clinical quality of our manuscript has been improved. We have revised the manuscript according to the Reviewer's comments and suggestions. We hope that our revised manuscript is now acceptable for publication in *World Journal of Clinical Cases*.

Changes made for the revised manuscript are highlighted (underlined and in blue). Point-by-point responses to reviewers' comments are provided below.

Reviewer #1:

<GENERAL COMMENTS>

1) Reviewer's comment: The abstract summarizes well the case described in the manuscript. The

key words reflect the real focus of the manuscript. The background adequately describes the significance of the study and it highlighted its focus well. It is a case report and Informed written consent was not necessary according to their Institutional Review Board (IRB). The manuscript interprets the findings adequately and it highlighted the key points clearly. The discussion was accurate and it discussed the paper's relevance to clinical practice well. The manuscript cited appropriately the latest references in the introduction and discussion sections. Twenty-two percent had less than 3 years. The author didn't self-cite or omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references. The manuscript was well, concisely and coherently organized and its style, language and grammar were accurate and appropriate. The manuscript met the requirements of ethics and was accepted by IRB. Although this is not an experiment, the importance of this case report lies in the severity of the complication of treatment presented by the patient. it is important to report the case so that other professionals can recognize this possibility and start treatment early.

Author's response: We appreciate the reviewer's comment. We sincerely thank you for the many compliments and agreement for clinical necessity of this issue.

Science editor:

<GENERAL COMMENTS>

1) Science editor's comment: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case report of the extremely rare case of necrotizing gastritis in a patient with autoimmune hepatitis. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review

Report: The manuscript was well, concisely and coherently organized and its style, language and grammar were accurate and appropriate. However, some questions raised by the reviewer should be answered; and (3) Format: There is 1 table and 2 figures. A total of 31 references are cited, including 5 references published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: A. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the Written informed consent. The authors need to provide the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement, and the CARE Checklist–2016 with page number. No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. The study was supported by 1 grant. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. 5 Issues raised: (1) The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s); and (2) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. 6 Re-Review: Required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

Author's response: We appreciate the reviewer's comment. We added the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement, and the CARE Checklist–2016 with page number as the editor's recommendation. About the grant application, we uploaded the the approved grant application form. Also, we re-uploaded the original pictures (Figure) using PowerPoint.

Editor-in-chief:

<GENERAL COMMENTS>

1) Editor-in-chief's comment: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, "Figure 1 Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...".

Author's response: We appreciate the reviewer's comment. We unified presentation for figures as Figure 1A, Figure 1B, as the EIC's recommendation.