

Reviewer's comments: The study is novel and is an important contribution in the field, However there are some points that need to be revised.

1-the method, the type of study, and the conclusion were not mentioned in the abstract.

Reply: Thank you for the kind comments to improve my article. I have add these information to the abstract.

2-The manuscript needs further proofreading and syntax and grammar corrections. for example in it is better to use "In December 2019 The world witnessed the emergence of" instead of " The December of 2019 saw the emergence of "

Reply: I have gotten it edited by a native English speaking colleague of mine.

3-The limitations of the study were not discussed.

Reply: I have add the limitations of the study to the discussion section.

Re-reviewer's comments: I had comments on the abstract in the initial review but it seems the abstract is still the same .

1-the method, the type of study, and the conclusion were not mentioned in the abstract.

Reply: I have add these information to the abstract.

2-The abstract needs further proofreading and syntax and grammar corrections. for example in it is better to use "In December 2019 The world witnessed the emergence of" instead of " The December of 2019 saw the emergence of " . \

Reply: The background now starts with “ In 2019 the world witnessed the emergence of...” as you advised. However I am unable to make the same change in the abstract submitted on the website. Kindly guide me. The Proofreading has been done by a native English speaker colleague at my institution.

3. I suggest to make it a structured abstract.

Reply: I have re-write the abstract.

Regards

Asad Ali Kerawala MD