



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 62191

Title: Anatomic variations of the intra-hepatic biliary tree in the Caribbean: A systematic review

Reviewer's code: 03270754

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PharmD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Trinidad and Tobago

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-25 06:57

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-29 03:40

Review time: 3 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Reviewers' comments:: Major revision 1.This research focused on Anatomic Variations of the Intra-Hepatic Biliary Tree in the Caribbean: A Systematic Review , very interesting topic. 2. In Table 1 and 2 you cited many references from other countries, but why not cited the China condition of Anatomic Variations of the Intra-Hepatic Biliary Tree, because the chinese population so much and hepatic disease patients so many.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 62191

Title: Anatomic variations of the intra-hepatic biliary tree in the Caribbean: A systematic review

Reviewer's code: 02494977

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Trinidad and Tobago

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-25 11:40

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-31 06:04

Review time: 5 Days and 18 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-written paper, which provided important knowledge about bile duct anatomical variation. The results are full of value for surgical procedures such as liver resection, and liver transplantation. There are some important issues to be clarified and improved before publication.

1. The title refers to a “systematic review”. Actually, the authors conducted an original study and a systematic review at the same time. The systematic review analysis was used to calculate the global prevalence, therefore, I suggested it would be more helpful to remove “systematic review” in the title. The core information should be reflected in the title.
2. There is confusion about the unselected people in the introduction, and what’s the meaning of unselected people?
3. For a high-quality systematic literature study, detailed inclusion and excluded criteria according to PICO(s) principles should be provided.
4. The reference about the definition of the global prevalence should be given.
5. Result: although some authors utilized different classifications, detailed review of the published descriptions and images within the published articles allowed us to extrapolate data for comparisons. When the variant was not reported or could not be reliably extrapolated from published descriptions, data and/or images, the study data were excluded from the global prevalence statistics. This part should be placed in the method.
6. The authors compared the merits and demerits of the different classification systems in the discussion. The authors should explain that why they selected Huang et al classification to classify biliary anatomy in the discussion.