

Dear Editors:

Thank you for your help and the reviewer's comments concerning our manuscript entitled "Lymphangiomatosis Associated with Protein Losing Enteropathy: A Case Report" (Manuscript NO.: 62453, Case Report). These comments are all valuable and very helpful for improving our paper. We have read the comments carefully and made corrections carefully with red words. Now the revised manuscript has been submitted, and we hope it will meet the requirement of the journal and be considered for publication in World Journal of Clinical Cases.

The following is our point-by-point response to the reviewer's comments.

Reviewer

Q: This is a report of a patient with lymphangiomatosis (intestine, colon, spleen, kidney, and liver), which rarely causes gastrointestinal protein loss. Unfortunately, gastrointestinal protein loss was not documented. It would be better if it was documented protein loss in the stool. It should be stated whether urinary protein loss is present or not. Although surgical resection was performed, the patient continued to have digestive tract bleeding. Capsule endoscopy would have been helpful for this patient.

Thanks for your excellent comments and suggestions.

We have revised urinary protein: The urinary protein was negative.

The detection methods of PLG include α_1 -antitrypsin clearance rate and nuclear medicine technology. α_1 -antitrypsin clearance rate is only suitable for detecting protein loss from pylorus to colon which is difficult to popularize in clinic because of its complicated detection method. Our hospital does not have the capability to perform them. So gastrointestinal protein loss was not documented in this case.

Capsule endoscopy can show lesions in or changes to the intestine. We repeatedly suggested him to undergo it. Unfortunately, the patient rejected undergoing complete capsule endoscopy.

Once again, we are truly grateful for your hard work and we sincerely hope that these modifications will be met with approval. We would be very glad to respond to any additional questions or comments that you may have. If there is anything else we

should do, please do not hesitate to let us know.

Science editor

Thanks for your excellent comments and suggestions. We have revised our manuscript according to your comments.

We have read the comments of reviewer carefully and made corrections carefully. We also have uploaded the approved the funding agency copy of two approval documents and have arranged the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. At last, we have checked and increased references that relevant to this study.

Company editor-in-chief

Thank you very much for your help. According to the editing suggestions, the text has been revised.

In addition, we have sent the manuscript to a language editing company to improve the article for language and style. The paper has been proofread by a professional proofreading service.

Thank you again and best regards!

Yours sincerely,

Xue-li Ding, Hua Liu and all the co-authors