

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 62514

Title: Efficacy and safety of distal radial approach for cardiac catheterization: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Reviewer's code: 04334222

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor, Instructor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-11

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-16 09:53

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-17 18:28

Review time: 1 Day and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgooffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

- First: The title and abstract cover outline the main aspects of the work, would it spark interest to the right audience. The original findings of this manuscript respect the concepts proposes. - Second: Relevance of the manuscript with the title and significance and potential impact manuscript to the right audience. - Third: This publication represent the clinical practice analysis for guidance the cardiac catheterization procedures.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 62514

Title: Efficacy and safety of distal radial approach for cardiac catheterization: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Reviewer's code: 04336547

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACS, MBBS, MCh, MS

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-11

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-24 09:37

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-26 05:47

Review time: 1 Day and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is good meta analysis, as quoted first systematic review but, no clear conclusion is drawn. It is a important topic but in core tip section, further elaboration is required. Manuscript quality is Ok. Prisma checklist is attached and followed. As mentioned correctly, further studies with clear standard protocols are required to draw clear conclusion.