

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 62821

Title: Comparison of the effects of the six main gastrectomy procedures on patients' quality of life assessed by PGSAS-45

Reviewer's code: 03017791

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-19

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-01-23 13:12

Reviewer performed review: 2021-01-26 03:00

Review time: 2 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors evaluated the post-gastrectomy quality of life by analyzing the questionnaire(PGSAS-45) of 2368 patients received 6 different surgical precedures, found that TGRY had the worst postoperative QOL score, and LR had the highest postoperative QOL score. In addition, the QOL of PG was worse than that of PPG, DGBI, and DGRY, and the postoperative QoL of PPG was slightly better than that of DGBI and DGRY. 1. For LR, some patients those not diagnosed as carcinoma were enrolled in this study. For these patients, please state that if the targeted therapy was applied or not; 2. The surgical procedure was decided by the tumor stage, site and size, please define the clinical significance of this study of which the QoL of TG, DG or LR were compared. 3. The enrolled patients were early gastric cancer according to the inclusion criteria, while the TG(393case) proportion was bigger than PG proprotion, please define this. 4. The enrolled patients comprising open and minimally invasive surgery while the proprotion of laparoscopic surgery was different between the six groups, which might affect the result.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 62821

Title: Comparison of the effects of the six main gastrectomy procedures on patients' quality of life assessed by PGSAS-45

Reviewer's code: 03017791

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-19

Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-23 11:39

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-23 11:46

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

The revised manuscript could be accepted for publication.