**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 62886

**Manuscript Type:** ORIGINAL ARTICLE

***Observational Study***

**Differential analysis revealing APOC1 to be a diagnostic and prognostic marker for liver metastases of colorectal cancer**

Shen HY *et al*. Marker for LM of CRC

Hai-Yu Shen, Fang-Ze Wei, Qian Liu

**Hai-Yu Shen, Fang-Ze Wei, Qian Liu,** Department of Colorectal Surgery, National Cancer Center/National Clinical Research Center for Cancer/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union College, Beijing 100021, China

**Author contributions:** Shen HY, Wei FZ, and Liu Q designed the research, collected and analyzed the data, and drafted and revised the article; all authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

**Supported by** National Key Research and Development (R&D) Program Project, No. 2019YFC1315705.

**Corresponding author: Qian Liu, MD, Chief Doctor,** Department of Colorectal Surgery, National Cancer Center/National Clinical Research Center for Cancer/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union College, No. 17 Panjiayuan Nanli, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100021, China. fcwpumch@163.com

**Received:** January 22, 2021

**Revised:** March 10, 2021

**Accepted:** March 23, 2021

**Published online:**

**Abstract**

BACKGROUND

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most malignant gastrointestinal cancers worldwide. The liver is the most important metastatic target organ, and liver metastasis is the leading cause of death in patients with CRC. Owing to the lack of sensitive biomarkers and unclear molecular mechanism, the occurrence of liver metastases cannot be predicted and the clinical outcomes are bad for liver metastases. Therefore, it is very important to identify the diagnostic or prognostic markers for liver metastases of CRC.

AIM

To investigate the highly differentially expressed genes (HDEGs) and prognostic marker for liver metastases of CRC.

METHODS

Data from three NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) datasets were used to show HDEGs between liver metastases of CRC and tumour or normal samples. These significantly HDEGs of the three GEO datasets take the interactions. And these genes were screened through an online tool to explore the prognostic value. Then, TIMER and R package were utilized to investigate the immunity functions of the HDEGs and gene set enrichment analysis was used to explore their potential functions.

RESULTS

Based on the selection criteria, three CRC datasets for exploration (GSE14297, GSE41258, and GSE49355) were chosen. Venn diagrams were used to show HDEGs common to the six groups and 47 HDEGs were obtained. The HDEGs were shown by using STRING and Cytoscape software. Based on the TCGA database, APOC1 showed significantly different expression between N2 and N0, and N2 and N1. And there was also a significant difference in expression between T2 and T4, and between T2 and T3. In 20 paired CRC and normal tissues, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction illustrated that the *APOC1* mRNA was strongly upregulated in CRC tissues (*P* = 0.014). PrognoScan and GEPIA2 revealed the prognostic value of APOC1 for overall survival and disease-free survival in CRC (*P* < 0.05). TIMER showed that APOC1 has a close relationship with immune infiltration (*P* < 0.05).

CONCLUSION

APOC1 is a biomarker that is associated with both the diagnosis and prognosis of liver metastases of CRC.

**Key Words:** APOC1; Liver metastases; Colorectal cancer; Differentially expressed genes; Marker

Shen HY, Wei FZ, Liu Q. Differential analysis revealing APOC1 to be a diagnostic and prognostic marker for liver metastases of colorectal cancer. *World J Clin Cases* 2021; In press

**Core Tip:** It is important to identify the diagnostic or prognostic markers for liver metastasis (LM) of colorectal cancer (CRC). Three datasets from NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) were used to identify highly differentially expressed genes (HDEGs) between LM and tumor or normal samples. Then, HDEGs of the three GEO datasets take the intersections. The prognostic value of these genes was analyzed through online tools, which finally showed that APOC1 was associated with the prognosis of CRC. Analysis of the relationship with immune infiltration and tumor microenvironment and GSEA of APOC1 demonstrated that APOC1 was strongly associated with CRC development. In conclusion, APOC1 is a biomarker associated with both the diagnosis and prognosis of LM of CRC.

**INTRODUCTION**

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most malignant gastrointestinal cancers worldwide[1].The liver is the most important metastatic target organ, and liver metastasis (LM) is the leading cause of death in patients with CRC[2,3]. Approximately 30%-50% of patients are confirmed with postoperative liver metastases and 80%-90% of these are initially unable to achieve radical resection[4-8].Owing to the lack of sensitive biomarkers and unclear molecular mechanism, the occurrence of liver metastases cannot be predicted, and the incidence and mortality due to CRC continue to increase[9]. Detection and monitoring of liver metastases of CRC depend on the imaging examination, serum biomarker detection, and other examinations; however, these methods have some limitations. Some patients are not willing to undergo colonoscopy, thus CRC and the occurrence of CRC liver metastases cannot be timely detected[10]. In the recent 20 years, many new technologies have been applied to explore the gene expression and functions in human malignant tumours[11].

In our study, we explored data from three microarray datasets from the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO)[12] to identify highly differentially expressed genes (HDEGs). These significantly highly expressed genes of the three GEO datasets take the interactions. GO[13]and KEGG[14] analyses were utilized to show the functions of the HDEGs. The GEPIA2 and PrognoScan online tools were used to validate the prognostic value of these genes in CRC[15]. *APOC1* was found to be a significant gene that is associated with the prognostic value of CRC and CRC LM (Figure 1). The online tool TIMER[16] and R package were used to show the functions of APOC1 with regard to immunity. Also, we applied gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)[17] to inspect the possible functions of APOC1 in CRC. In addition, we used quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to detect the mRNA expression level of *APOC1* in 20 CRC and paired normal adjacent tissue samples.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

***Gene expression datasets***

We downloaded the RNA sequencing data from GEO (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). The datasets included data for normal tissues, CRC tissues, and LM tissues, and each dataset had a minimum of five tumour and normal tissues. Based on the aforementioned criteria, three GEO datasets were chosen: GSE14297[18], GSE41258[19], and GSE49355[20,21].

***Identification of HDEGs in CRC samples***

Three series matrix files were from GEO and each GEO matrix were divided into two groups: LM-tumour tissue group (L-T) and LM-normal tissue group (L-N). Then, they were screened using R package ‘limma’ for normalisation and HDEG identification. [log(foldchange)] > 1 and *P* value < 0.05 were used to select DEGs. After obtaining the six sets of highly expressed genes, we used the R package ‘‘Venn’ to identify genes shared among three GEO datasets. We ultimately obtained 48 HDEGs.

***Visualization of the HDEG network***

The connections among the DEGs were analyzed based on the STRING database[22] (https://string-db.org/cgi/input.pl/). And the Cytoscape software[23] was used to visualize the connections through constructing the protein-protein interaction network.

***GO and KEGG functional enrichment analyses***

R packages ‘clusterProfiler’, ‘org.Hs.eg.db’, ‘enrichplot’, and ‘ggplot2’ were used for GO enrichment analysis. KEGG pathway analysis was conducted by using the R packages ‘clusterprofiler’[24], ‘org.Hs.eg.db’, ‘enrichplot’, and ‘ggplot2’. In both the two analyses, statistical significance was considered as an adjusted *P* value of < 0.05.

***Analysis of clinical significance and validation of prognostic value of APOC1***

We utilized R packages ‘limma’ and ‘beeswarm’ to analyse the expression differences between normal and tumour tissues. We also explored the clinical significance of APOC1 using R packages ‘limma’ and ‘ggpubr’. We utilized the online tools PrognoScan (http://www.prognoscan.org/) to validate the prognostic value of APOC1 in GEO datasets GSE17537[25,26] and GSE14333[27]. We also validated the prognostic value in the TCGA database using the online tool GEPIA2 (http://gepia2.cancer-pku.cn/), which involved TCGA and GTEx data.

***Analysis of association of hub gene expression with tumour-infiltrating immune cell infiltration***

TISIDB[28] (http://cis.hku.hk/TISIDB/) was utilized to research the correlation between the gene expression and tumour-infiltrating immune cells. Additionally, we utilized R package ‘estimate’ to research the correlation between the gene expression and three kinds of scores, including the immune score, stromal score, and ESTIMATE score.

***GSEA of hub genes***

The functions of the hub genes were explored by the GSEA. The COAD and READ datasets from TCGA were downloaded and 482 samples were divided into two groups: High and low expression group. The ‘c2.cp.kegg.v6.2.symbols.gmt’ was utilized and *P* < 0.001 was considered as statistical significance. The R packages ‘plyr’, ‘ggplot2’, ‘grid’, and ‘gridExtra’ were used to show different significant pathways.

***RNA isolation, reverse transcription, and real-time quantitative PCR***

RNA was extracted from CRC tissue using the TRIzol method. cDNA was obtained after reverse transcription and used as the template for real-time PCR detection. Then, quantitative real-time PCR was performed to detect the relative mRNA level of *APOC1*. The primers for APOC1 are: 5-GTCCTGGTGGTGGTTCTGTC-3 (forward) and 5- TCTCTGAAAACCACTCCCGC-3 (reverse).

**RESULTS**

***Identification of DEGs in the datasets***

Based on the selection criteria, three CRC datasets were selected for exploration and all characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The 308 genes in the L-N group and 178 genes in the L-T group in the GSE14297; 300 genes in the L-N group and 89 genes in the L-T group in the GSE41258; and 810 genes in the L-N group and 120 genes in the L-T group in the GSE49355 were significantly highly expressed (Table 2). Volcano plots (Figure 2) show the DEGs.

***Identification and visualization of HDEGs***

We combined the highly expressed genes from GSE14297, GSE41258, and GSE49355 and applied Venn diagrams to get significantly highly expressed genes among the six groups (Figure 3A). STRING and Cytoscape software were used to visualise these HDEGs, as shown in Figure 3B.

***GO and KEGG enrichment analyses of HDEGs***

GO enrichment was performed following pFilter < 0.05 and adjPfilter < 1, and the top five terms were chosen: Acute inflammatory response (*P* = 7.42 × 10-21), negative regulation of response to wounding (*P* = 5.20 × 10-20), platelet degranulation (*P* = 6.68 × 10-20), negative regulation of blood coagulation (*P* = 2.26 × 10-19), and negative regulation of haemostasis (*P* = 7.54 × 10-17) (Figure 4A). The top five pathways in the KEGG analysis (satisfied pFilter < 0.05 and adjPfilter < 1) were: Complement and coagulation cascades (*P* = 7.89 × 10-20), cholesterol metabolism (*P* = 1.07 × 10-9), African trypanosomiasis (*P* = 0.00048), platelet activation (*P* = 0.0017), and drug metabolism-cytochrome P450 (*P* = 0.0033) (Figure 4B).

***Clinical significance and prognostic value of APOC1***

As shown Figure 5, the APOC1 expression was strongly associated with the clinical features. APOC1 showed significantly different expression between N2 and N0 and between N2 and N1 (Figure 5C). There was also a significant difference in expression between T2 and T4 and between T2 and T3 (Figure 5B). No significance differences were found across different ages and sexes (Figure 5D and E). To confirm the different expression levels in cancer, we further examined 20 paired CRC and normal tissues by using quantitative PCR. As shown in Figure 5F, quantitative real-time PCR illustrated that APOC1 mRNA was strongly upregulated in CRC tissues compared to that in normal colorectal samples.

We explored the prognostic value in GSE17537 and GSE14333 using online tools: PrognoScan: *P* = 0.0094 and *P* = 0.018 for overall survival in GSE17537 (Figure 6A and B); *P* = 0.016 and *P* = 0.013 for disease-free survival in GSE14333 (Figure 6C and D). We also validated the prognostic value in GEPIA2: *P* = 0.026 for overall survival (*P* = 0.046) (Figure 6E and F).

***Relationship of APOC1 with immune infiltration***

APOC1 has a close relationship with immune infiltration (Figure 7): In the colon: CD4+ T cells (*P* = 1.76 × 10-13), CD8+ T cells (*P* = 2.84 × 10-17), B cells (*P* = 6.75 × 10-8), neutrophils (P-0), macrophage cells (*P* = 1.21 × 10-37), and dendritic cells (*P* = 0); in the rectum: CD4+ T cells (*P* = 0.00121), CD8+ T cells (*P* = 0.00016), B cells (*P* = 0.0223), neutrophils (*P* = 1.1 × 10-6), macrophage cells (*P* = 8.33 × 10-13), and dendritic cells (*P* = 1.48 × 10-11). We also utilized the R package ‘estimate’ to calculate different scores: Immune score, stromal score, and ESTIMATE score (Figure 8).

***GSEA for APOC1***

GSEA showed that APOC1 was enriched in ‘Toll-like receptor signalling pathway’, ‘Cytokine receptor interaction’, ‘Cell adhesion molecules CAMs’, ‘Chemokine signalling pathway’, and ‘Intestinal immune network for IGA production’ in the high expression group; and enriched in ‘Lysine degradation’, ‘Peroxisome’, ‘Pyruvate metabolism’, ‘Glycerolipid metabolism’, and ‘Fatty acid metabolism’ in the low expression group (Figure 9).

**DISCUSSION**

CRC is a common gastrointestinal tumour, and its metastasis is one of the main causes of death in patients with CRC. The liver is the most important target organ of metastasis and approximately 30%-50% of patients have LM at diagnosis or after surgery[4-8]. However, the vast majority of liver metastases cannot initially undergo radical resection and demonstrate a poorer prognosis than those with other types of metastases. Therefore, it is critical to identify markers to predict and diagnose colorectal liver metastases.

To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to divide one GEO dataset into two groups: LM-normal and LM-tumour to explore the HDEGs in CRC. We integrated three datasets to identify HDEGs. GO and KEGG analyses were performed to research the functions in the three datasets. GO analysis indicated that the acute inflammatory response, negative regulation of response to wounding, platelet degranulation, negative regulation of blood coagulation, and negative regulation of haemostasis were closely related to the development and growth of cancer. For KEGG pathway analysis, complement and coagulation cascades were closely related to immune functions, platelet activation was associated with tumour metastasis, and cholesterol metabolism was associated with the pathogenesis of CRC.

We utilized online tools to research the prognostic value of HDEGs. According to the results, APOC1 was associated with the survival time of CRC patients. For further exploring, we analysed APOC1 differential expression between tumour and normal tissues, with regard to different ages, genders, and T and N stages. Significant differences were observed between tumour and normal tissues in addition to our clinical samples, which is consistent with the analysis results. This reveals that APOC1 levels increase from the initial development to LM of CRC.

For exploring the mechanisms of APOC1 in CRC, we utilized the TIMER online tool to assess immune infiltration, immune score, stromal score, estimate score, and GSEA for biological functions of APOC1. TIMER result revealed that APOC1 had a strong correlation with lymphocyte expression, which provides a new perspective to explore the mechanism of colorectal LM. With the development of tumour research, many studies have showed the important role of tumour microenvironment (TME) in tumorigenesis and therapy[29-33]. The TME might have an impact on the therapy and clinical outcome of patients with cancer. In our study, through the analysis of the tumours of the colon and rectum, the results indicate a close relationship between TME and APOC1 expression in CRC.

Several analyses indicate that stromal cells contributed to tumour angiogenesis and extracellular matrix remodelling[34-36]. Meanwhile, a few studies have focused on the influence of immune cells in TME on tumorigenesis and development. Several studies revealed that tumour-infiltrating immune cells might be a potential marker of therapeutic effects. In our results, we analysed the stromal score and immune score in the colon and rectum, respectively. APOC1 has a strong relationship with structural components and immune cells in CRC, which can reveal the relationship with immune cell scores.

The GSEA of APOC1 indicated that in the high expression group, APOC1 was enriched in ‘Cytokine receptor interaction’[37], ‘Cell adhesion molecules CAMs’[38], and ‘Chemokine signalling pathway’[39], suggesting that APOC1 can influence CRC development. ‘Intestinal immune network for IGA production’[40] indicates that APOC1 has a close relationship with the immune response. Moreover, in the low expression group, APOC1 was enriched in ‘Lysine degradation’, ‘Peroxisome’, ‘Pyruvate metabolism’, ‘Glycerolipid metabolism’, and ‘Fatty acid metabolism’, which indicate that APOC1 might have an impact on tumorigenesis and development of CRC in different metabolic pathways[41,42]. These findings might present a new perspective on the molecular mechanism of CRC. However, this study still has some limitations. Because our work mainly relies on the bioinformatic analysis of datasets, more basic research experiments should be performed to confirm these results.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, by combining three GEO datasets, we identified and characterised some significantly HDEGs in the liver metastases of CRC. Among 48 DEGs, APOC1 is a biomarker that is associated with both the diagnosis and prognosis of liver metastases of CRC. Furthermore, analysis of the relationship with immune infiltration and TME, and gene set enrichment analysis demonstrated that APOC1 was strongly associated with CRC development.

**ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

***Research background***

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most malignant gastrointestinal cancers worldwide. The liver is the most important metastatic target organ, and liver metastasis is the leading cause of death in CRC patients.

***Research motivation***

There is still a lack of diagnostic or prognostic markers for liver metastasis (LM) of CRC. Therefore, it is very important to identify the diagnostic or prognostic markers for LM of CRC to improve the clinical outcomes.

***Research objectives***

This study aimed to explore the highly differentially expressed genes (HDEGs) and prognostic marker for LM of CRC.

***Research methods***

Three NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) datasets were utilized to identify a set of HDEGs. These significantly HDEGs of the three GEO datasets take the intersection genes and these intersection genes were screened through an online tool to explore their prognostic value. TIMER and R package were utilized to investigate potential immune functions of HDEGs and gene set enrichment analysis was performed to explore their possible impact on CRC.

***Research results***

APOC1 is one of 47 HDEGs in three GEO datasets for LM of CRC and showed significantly different expression between different N and T stages in the TCGA database. *APOC1* mRNA was strongly upregulated in cancer tissues compared with normal tissues, as confirmed by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction. The prognostic value of APOC1 for overall survival and disease-free survival in CRC was revealed with PrognoScan and GEPIA2. APOC1 also has a close relationship with immune infiltration showed with TIMER.

***Research conclusions***

APOC1 is a potential biomarker that is associated with both the diagnosis and prognosis of liver metastases of colorectal cancer.

***Research perspectives***

Future work and basic research should be performed to confirm these findings of APOC1 and to verify the related potential regulatory mechanisms *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

**REFERENCES**

1 **Torre LA**, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2015; **65**: 87-108 [PMID: 25651787 DOI: 10.3322/caac.21262]

2 **Foster JH**. Treatment of metastatic disease of the liver: a skeptic's view. *Semin Liver Dis* 1984; **4**: 170-179 [PMID: 6205450 DOI: 10.1055/s-2008-1040656]

3 **Fong Y**, Cohen AM, Fortner JG, Enker WE, Turnbull AD, Coit DG, Marrero AM, Prasad M, Blumgart LH, Brennan MF. Liver resection for colorectal metastases. *J Clin Oncol* 1997; **15**: 938-946 [PMID: 9060531 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.1997.15.3.938]

4 **Vibert E**, Canedo L, Adam R. Strategies to treat primary unresectable colorectal liver metastases. *Semin Oncol* 2005; **32**: 33-39 [PMID: 16360011 DOI: 10.1053/j.seminoncol.2005.07.015]

5 **Kemeny N**. Management of liver metastases from colorectal cancer. *Oncology (Williston Park)* 2006; **20**: 1161-1176, 1179; discussion 1179-1179; discussion 1180, 1185-1186 [PMID: 17024869]

6 **Lau WY**, Lai EC. Hepatic resection for colorectal liver metastases. *Singapore Med J* 2007; **48**: 635-639 [PMID: 17609825]

7 **Taniai N**, Akimaru K, Yoshida H, Tajiri T. Surgical treatment for better prognosis of patients with liver metastases from colorectal cancer. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2007; **54**: 1805-1809 [PMID: 18019722]

8 **Arru M**, Aldrighetti L, Castoldi R, Di Palo S, Orsenigo E, Stella M, Pulitanò C, Gavazzi F, Ferla G, Di Carlo V, Staudacher C. Analysis of prognostic factors influencing long-term survival after hepatic resection for metastatic colorectal cancer. *World J Surg* 2008; **32**: 93-103 [PMID: 18027020 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-007-9285-y]

9 **Arnold M**, Sierra MS, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, Bray F. Global patterns and trends in colorectal cancer incidence and mortality. *Gut* 2017; **66**: 683-691 [PMID: 26818619 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2015-310912]

10 **Adler A**, Geiger S, Keil A, Bias H, Schatz P, deVos T, Dhein J, Zimmermann M, Tauber R, Wiedenmann B. Improving compliance to colorectal cancer screening using blood and stool based tests in patients refusing screening colonoscopy in Germany. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2014; **14**: 183 [PMID: 25326034 DOI: 10.1186/1471-230X-14-183]

11 **Liu Q**, Deng J, Wei X, Yuan W, Ma J. Integrated analysis of competing endogenous RNA networks revealing five prognostic biomarkers associated with colorectal cancer. *J Cell Biochem* 2019 [PMID: 30756409 DOI: 10.1002/jcb.28403]

12 **Barrett T**, Wilhite SE, Ledoux P, Evangelista C, Kim IF, Tomashevsky M, Marshall KA, Phillippy KH, Sherman PM, Holko M, Yefanov A, Lee H, Zhang N, Robertson CL, Serova N, Davis S, Soboleva A. NCBI GEO: archive for functional genomics data sets--update. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013; **41**: D991-D995 [PMID: 23193258 DOI: 10.1093/nar/gks1193]

13 **The Gene Ontology Consortium.**. Expansion of the Gene Ontology knowledgebase and resources. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2017; **45**: D331-D338 [PMID: 27899567 DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkw1108]

14 **Wixon J**, Kell D. The Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes--KEGG. *Yeast* 2000; **17**: 48-55 [PMID: 10928937 DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0061(200004)17:1<48::AID-YEA2>3.0.CO;2-H]

15 **Tang Z**, Kang B, Li C, Chen T, Zhang Z. GEPIA2: an enhanced web server for large-scale expression profiling and interactive analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2019; **47**: W556-W560 [PMID: 31114875 DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkz430]

16 **Li T**, Fan J, Wang B, Traugh N, Chen Q, Liu JS, Li B, Liu XS. TIMER: A Web Server for Comprehensive Analysis of Tumor-Infiltrating Immune Cells. *Cancer Res* 2017; **77**: e108-e110 [PMID: 29092952 DOI: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0307]

17 **Powers RK**, Goodspeed A, Pielke-Lombardo H, Tan AC, Costello JC. GSEA-InContext: identifying novel and common patterns in expression experiments. *Bioinformatics* 2018; **34**: i555-i564 [PMID: 29950010 DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty271]

18 **Stange DE**, Engel F, Longerich T, Koo BK, Koch M, Delhomme N, Aigner M, Toedt G, Schirmacher P, Lichter P, Weitz J, Radlwimmer B. Expression of an ASCL2 related stem cell signature and IGF2 in colorectal cancer liver metastases with 11p15.5 gain. *Gut* 2010; **59**: 1236-1244 [PMID: 20479215 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2009.195701]

19 **Sheffer M**, Bacolod MD, Zuk O, Giardina SF, Pincas H, Barany F, Paty PB, Gerald WL, Notterman DA, Domany E. Association of survival and disease progression with chromosomal instability: a genomic exploration of colorectal cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009; **106**: 7131-7136 [PMID: 19359472 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0902232106]

20 **Del Rio M**, Molina F, Bascoul-Mollevi C, Copois V, Bibeau F, Chalbos P, Bareil C, Kramar A, Salvetat N, Fraslon C, Conseiller E, Granci V, Leblanc B, Pau B, Martineau P, Ychou M. Gene expression signature in advanced colorectal cancer patients select drugs and response for the use of leucovorin, fluorouracil, and irinotecan. *J Clin Oncol* 2007; **25**: 773-780 [PMID: 17327601 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2006.07.4187]

21 **Del Rio M**, Mollevi C, Vezzio-Vie N, Bibeau F, Ychou M, Martineau P. Specific extracellular matrix remodeling signature of colon hepatic metastases. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**: e74599 [PMID: 24023955 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074599]

22 **von Mering C**, Huynen M, Jaeggi D, Schmidt S, Bork P, Snel B. STRING: a database of predicted functional associations between proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2003; **31**: 258-261 [PMID: 12519996 DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkg034]

23 **Shannon P**, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, Amin N, Schwikowski B, Ideker T. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res* 2003; **13**: 2498-2504 [PMID: 14597658 DOI: 10.1101/gr.1239303]

24 **Song W**, Fu T. Circular RNA-Associated Competing Endogenous RNA Network and Prognostic Nomogram for Patients With Colorectal Cancer. *Front Oncol* 2019; **9**: 1181 [PMID: 31781492 DOI: 10.3389/fonc.2019.01181]

25 **Smith JJ**, Deane NG, Wu F, Merchant NB, Zhang B, Jiang A, Lu P, Johnson JC, Schmidt C, Bailey CE, Eschrich S, Kis C, Levy S, Washington MK, Heslin MJ, Coffey RJ, Yeatman TJ, Shyr Y, Beauchamp RD. Experimentally derived metastasis gene expression profile predicts recurrence and death in patients with colon cancer. *Gastroenterology* 2010; **138**: 958-968 [PMID: 19914252 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2009.11.005]

26 **Freeman TJ**, Smith JJ, Chen X, Washington MK, Roland JT, Means AL, Eschrich SA, Yeatman TJ, Deane NG, Beauchamp RD. Smad4-mediated signaling inhibits intestinal neoplasia by inhibiting expression of β-catenin. *Gastroenterology* 2012; **142**: 562-571.e2 [PMID: 22115830 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2011.11.026]

27 **Jorissen RN**, Gibbs P, Christie M, Prakash S, Lipton L, Desai J, Kerr D, Aaltonen LA, Arango D, Kruhøffer M, Orntoft TF, Andersen CL, Gruidl M, Kamath VP, Eschrich S, Yeatman TJ, Sieber OM. Metastasis-Associated Gene Expression Changes Predict Poor Outcomes in Patients with Dukes Stage B and C Colorectal Cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2009; **15**: 7642-7651 [PMID: 19996206 DOI: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-1431]

28 **Ru B**, Wong CN, Tong Y, Zhong JY, Zhong SSW, Wu WC, Chu KC, Wong CY, Lau CY, Chen I, Chan NW, Zhang J. TISIDB: an integrated repository portal for tumor-immune system interactions. *Bioinformatics* 2019; **35**: 4200-4202 [PMID: 30903160 DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btz210]

29 **Barker HE**, Paget JT, Khan AA, Harrington KJ. The tumour microenvironment after radiotherapy: mechanisms of resistance and recurrence. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2015; **15**: 409-425 [PMID: 26105538 DOI: 10.1038/nrc3958]

30 **Turley SJ**, Cremasco V, Astarita JL. Immunological hallmarks of stromal cells in the tumour microenvironment. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2015; **15**: 669-682 [PMID: 26471778 DOI: 10.1038/nri3902]

31 **Smyth MJ**, Ngiow SF, Ribas A, Teng MW. Combination cancer immunotherapies tailored to the tumour microenvironment. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 2016; **13**: 143-158 [PMID: 26598942 DOI: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2015.209]

32 **Komohara Y**, Takeya M. CAFs and TAMs: maestros of the tumour microenvironment. *J Pathol* 2017; **241**: 313-315 [PMID: 27753093 DOI: 10.1002/path.4824]

33 **Wu T**, Dai Y. Tumor microenvironment and therapeutic response. *Cancer Lett* 2017; **387**: 61-68 [PMID: 26845449 DOI: 10.1016/j.canlet.2016.01.043]

34 **De Palma M**, Biziato D, Petrova TV. Microenvironmental regulation of tumour angiogenesis. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2017; **17**: 457-474 [PMID: 28706266 DOI: 10.1038/nrc.2017.51]

35 **Koch MK**, Jaeschke A, Murekatete B, Ravichandran A, Tsurkan M, Werner C, Soon P, Hutmacher DW, Haupt LM, Bray LJ. Stromal fibroblasts regulate microvascular-like network architecture in a bioengineered breast tumour angiogenesis model. *Acta Biomater* 2020; **114**: 256-269 [PMID: 32707406 DOI: 10.1016/j.actbio.2020.07.036]

36 **Bussard KM**, Mutkus L, Stumpf K, Gomez-Manzano C, Marini FC. Tumor-associated stromal cells as key contributors to the tumor microenvironment. *Breast Cancer Res* 2016; **18**: 84 [PMID: 27515302 DOI: 10.1186/s13058-016-0740-2]

37 **Spangler JB**, Moraga I, Mendoza JL, Garcia KC. Insights into cytokine-receptor interactions from cytokine engineering. *Annu Rev Immunol* 2015; **33**: 139-167 [PMID: 25493332 DOI: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-032713-120211]

38 **Beauchemin N**, Arabzadeh A. Carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion molecules (CEACAMs) in cancer progression and metastasis. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 2013; **32**: 643-671 [PMID: 23903773 DOI: 10.1007/s10555-013-9444-6]

39 **Taniguchi K**, Karin M. NF-κB, inflammation, immunity and cancer: coming of age. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2018; **18**: 309-324 [PMID: 29379212 DOI: 10.1038/nri.2017.142]

40 **Kurashima Y**, Kiyono H. Mucosal Ecological Network of Epithelium and Immune Cells for Gut Homeostasis and Tissue Healing. *Annu Rev Immunol* 2017; **35**: 119-147 [PMID: 28125357 DOI: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-051116-052424]

41 **Biswas SK**. Metabolic Reprogramming of Immune Cells in Cancer Progression. *Immunity* 2015; **43**: 435-449 [PMID: 26377897 DOI: 10.1016/j.immuni.2015.09.001]

42 **Boroughs LK**, DeBerardinis RJ. Metabolic pathways promoting cancer cell survival and growth. *Nat Cell Biol* 2015; **17**: 351-359 [PMID: 25774832 DOI: 10.1038/ncb3124]

**Footnotes**

**Institutional review board statement:** The study was reviewed and approved by the Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College Institutional Review Board (approval No. 17-116/1439).

**Informed consent statement:** All study participants, or their legal guardian, provided informed written consent prior to study enrollment.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest in regard to this research.

**Data sharing statement:** Technical appendix, statistical code, and dataset available from the corresponding author at fcwpumch@163.com.

**STROBE statement:** The authors have read the STROBE Statement-checklist of items, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the STROBE Statement-checklist of items.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

**Manuscript source:** Unsolicited manuscript

**Peer-review started:** January 22, 2021

**First decision:** February 28, 2021

**Article in press:**

**Specialty type:** Medicine, research and experimental

**Country/Territory of origin:** China

**Peer-review report’s scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): A

Grade B (Very good): 0


Grade C (Good): C

Grade D (Fair): D


Grade E (Poor): 0


**P-Reviewer:** Chiu CC, Goktepe HM, Zavras N **S-Editor:** Gao CC **L-Editor:** Wang TQ **P-Editor:**


**Figure Legends**




**Figure 1 Analysis workflow of this study.** DEGs: Differentially expressed genes; CRC: Colorectal cancer.









**Figure 2 Volcano plots of Gene Expression Omnibus data.** A: Volcano plot depicting the differential expression and distribution of GSE14297 in liver metastasis (LM)-tumor group; B: Volcano plot depicting the differential expression and distribution of GSE14297 in LM-normal group; C: Volcano plot depicting the differential expression and distribution of GSE41258 in LM-tumor group; D: Volcano plot depicting the differential expression and distribution of GSE41258 in LM-normal group; E: Volcano plot depicting the differential expression and distribution of GSE49355 in LM-tumor group; F: Volcano plot depicting the differential expression and distribution of GSE49355 in LM-normal group.





**Figure 3 Venn diagram and protein-protein interaction network of differentially expressed genes.** A: Venn diagram showing the numbers of differentially expressed genes; B: Protein-protein interaction network of 47 highly expressed genes.




**Figure 4 GO and KEGG enrichment.** A: Chord plot depicting the relationships between the genes and GO terms; B: Chord plots depicting the functions of the genes in KEGG pathways.










**Figure 5 Visualization of correlations between APOC1 expression levels and clinical features.** A: Differences in APOC1 expression between control tissues and colorectal cancer tissues based on TCGA database; B: Differences in APOC1 expression between different T stages based on TCGA database; C: Differences in APOC1 expression between different N stages based on TCGA database; D: Differences in CLCA1 expression between different ages based on TCGA database; E: Differences in APOC1 expression between different gender based on TCGA database; F: Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction assay showed the mRNA expression of *APOC1* in 20 paired colorectal cancer tissues and normal samples. a*P* < 0.05. T: Tumor; N: Normal.










**Figure 6 Validation of prognostic value of APOC1.** A and B: Overall survival of APOC1 in GSE17537; C and D: Disease-free survival of APOC1 in GSE14333; E: Overall survival of APOC1 in TCGA; F: Disease-free survival of APOC1 in TCGA. OS: Overall survival; DFS: Disease-free survival.




**Figure 7 Relationship with immune infiltration.** A: Immune cell expression of APOC1 in colon cancer; B: Immune cell expression of APOC1 in rectal cancer.







**Figure 8 Relationship with tumor microenvironment.** A: Estimated score of APOC1 in colon cancer; B: Estimated score of APOC1 in rectum cancer; C: Immune score of APOC1 in colon cancer; D: Immune score of APOC1 in rectum cancer; E: Stromal score of APOC1 in colon cancer; F: Stromal score of APOC1 in rectum cancer.



**Figure 9 Gene set enrichment analysis of APOC1.**

**Table 1 Characteristics of datasets**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Dataset** | **N** | **T** | **M** | **Platform ID** | **Country** |
| GSE41258 | 54 | 186 | 47 | GPL96 | Israel |
| GSE49355 | 18 | 20 | 19 | GPL96 | France |
| GSE14297 | 7 | 18 | 18 | GPL6370 | Germany |

N: Normal tissue; T: Tumor tissue; M: Metastasis tissue.

**Table 2 Differentially highly expressed genes in Gene Expression Omnibus datasets**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Dataset** | **L-T** | **L-N** |
| GSE14297 | 178 | 308 |
| GSE41258 | 89 | 300 |
| GSE49355 | 120 | 810 |

L-T: Liver metastasis-tumor group; L-N: Liver metastasis-normal group.