

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 63067

Title: Recent advances in gastro-intestinal cancers

Reviewer's code: 02543955

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FEBS, MD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Senior Researcher, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: Switzerland

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-28

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-02 12:05

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-07 14:56

Review time: 5 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This interesting and informative review summarizes 13 phase III studies that were presented at last year's ASCO, ASCO-GI, ESMO and/or ESMO-GI. The manuscript is well written and concise. Minor points might be addressed: - Page 4, NRT-TOG 1010: The sentence "Participants on the experimental treatment arm received weekly trastuzumab during CXRT and then following surgery for 13 treatments." This might be rephrased since treatments is not related to surgery. - I would suggest to use "esophageal" instead of "oesophageal" throughout the whole manuscript - Results from the IDEA trial should be clarified. Some phrases might be misleading, e.g. "For patients treated with CAPOX 3 months OS was 82.1% compared to 81.2% in those receiving it for 6 months". - To gain more overview, a summary of the results of the studies in tables might be helpful.