

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Methodology

**Manuscript NO:** 63268

**Title:** Evaluation of the Red Reflex: an overview for the pediatrician

**Reviewer's code:** 02887546

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MAMS, MBBS, PhD

**Professional title:** Dean, Doctor, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** Greece

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-01-27

**Reviewer chosen by:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-03-31 16:30

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-04-02 09:29

**Review time:** 1 Day and 16 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? Yes 2  
Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript?  
Yes 3 Key words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? Yes 4  
Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status  
and significance of the study? Yes 5 Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods  
(e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? No.  
More details could be given on the procedure of screening and rejection process to reach  
the ultimate figure of 47 papers. Authors should elaborate on PICOTS - Population,  
Intervention, Comparator, Outcome, Time frame and Setting. They should also present  
a flow chart for final selection of papers. The 27 aspects of PRISMA 2020 should be  
addressed in the paper. 6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the  
experiments used in this study? What are the contributions that the study has made for  
research progress in this field? Yes, provided the details called for above are presented  
as per PRISMA guidelines. 7 Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the findings  
adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and  
logically? Are the findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature stated in a  
clear and definite manner? Is the discussion accurate and does it discuss the paper's  
scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice sufficiently? Yes. Comments  
to /authors. Please correct et al should have a period after al. (et al.). Only last name of  
authors should be mentioned in text. Page 10. Bhatti et al. study may be written as Study  
by Bhatti et al. Similarly Meier et al. study, page 10, Richa Gupta et al trial page 17. Some  
other minor corrections are noted in the file returned., 8 Illustrations and tables. Are  
the figures, diagrams and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of  
the paper contents? Do figures require labeling with arrows, asterisks etc., better legends?  
NA. 9 Biostatistics. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics? No.



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Forest plot and ROC curve could have been detailed. Details of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value etc. could have been elaborated . 10 Units. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of use of SI units? NA 11 References. Does the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections? Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references? No. 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? Is the style, language and grammar accurate and appropriate? Yes 13 Research methods and reporting. Authors should have prepared their manuscripts according to manuscript type and the appropriate categories, as follows: (1) CARE Checklist (2013) - Case report; (2) CONSORT 2010 Statement - Clinical Trials study, Prospective study, Randomized Controlled trial, Randomized Clinical trial; (3) PRISMA 2009 Checklist - Evidence-Based Medicine, Systematic review, Meta-Analysis; (4) STROBE Statement - Case Control study, Observational study, Retrospective Cohort study; and (5) The ARRIVE Guidelines - Basic study. Did the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting? Not totally. Detailed above. 14 Ethics statements. For all manuscripts involving human studies and/or animal experiments, author(s) must submit the related formal ethics documents that were reviewed and approved by their local ethical review committee. Did the manuscript meet the requirements of ethics? Yes