

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 63612

Title: Endoscopic Ultrasound Guided Gastrojejunostomy for Gastric Outlet Obstruction

Reviewer's code: 05068955

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-30

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-03 06:36

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-05 08:56

Review time: 2 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Grade A: Excellent [<input type="radio"/>] Grade B: Very good [<input type="radio"/>] Grade C: Good [<input type="radio"/>] Grade D: Fair [<input type="radio"/>] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Grade A: Priority publishing [<input type="radio"/>] Grade B: Minor language polishing [<input type="radio"/>] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [<input type="radio"/>] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Accept (High priority) [<input type="radio"/>] Accept (General priority) [<input type="radio"/>] Minor revision [<input type="radio"/>] Major revision [<input type="radio"/>] Rejection
Re-review	[<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Yes [<input type="radio"/>] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, I appreciate so much your review about EUS-GJ. I think that your paper can help all of endosonographer approaching EUS-GJ for the first time. Only few comments for you:

- In the Table 3 Authors' specify all the type of LAMS. Please specify that Spaxus and Axios are also "HOT", differently to the Nagi.
- In the Text, in the paragraph "Technical Aspects of Endoscopic Gastrojejunostomy" please add that recently a new stent with an electrocautery tip (Hot-Spaxus) has launched.
- In the paragraph "Nasobiliary Drain Assisted Technique" please remove the sentence "In our experience, this approach can be cumbersome, as maintaining wire access during scope withdrawal and nasobiliary drain insertion across the GOO can be challenging. Alternatively, our preferred method is to start the procedure with either a therapeutic endoscope or ERCP scope. ". Is not an aditorial. Every sentence must be supported by data. You should modify the sentence as a technique description only.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 63612

Title: Endoscopic Ultrasound Guided Gastrojejunostomy for Gastric Outlet Obstruction

Reviewer's code: 04555234

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Research Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-01-30

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-01 06:22

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-07 08:38

Review time: 6 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript, the authors reviewed the method, indications and clinical evidence of the EUS-GJ in patients with Goo. As we know, in recent one year, several reviews regarding the same topic had been published(PMID: 31898587: PMID: 32672479 PMID: 32962331) And two of them were even systemic review/ meta-analyses. And the comparision of EUS-GJ and other techniques were also published in another review(PMID: 32962331) Therefore, the major advantage of the current study was treating the malignant GOO, which is very attractive. However, there are still several smal problems: One article with 22 patients with benign GOO was not discussed or included in the current review (PMID: 31759034). And in Figure 1, the "stomach" was not same among each figure parts, and the EUS scope in Figure 1B looks very strange.