

## CHECKLIST OF RESPONSIBILITIES FOR SCIENTIFIC EDITORS

Manuscript page number (Without Figures): 19 Rate: 6.2 CNY per page

Editing Fee: <u>117.8</u> CNY

Figure count: <u>2</u> Figure handling time: <u>35</u> min Rate: <u>1 CNY per min</u>

Editing Fee: <u>35</u> CNY

XML and PDF converting time: 25 min Rate: 1 CNY per min

Editing Fee: <u>25</u> CNY

Manuscript word count: 4614

Total Editing Fee: <u>177.8</u> CNY

		Comments
Item No.	Specific items for verification	Yes=[Y]
		No= [N]
	General Information of the Manuscript	
	Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology	
	Manuscript NO.: 63767	
	Column: Prospective Study	
	Title: Immunoglobulin G in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis predicts	
	clinical outcome: A prospective multi-centre cohort study	
1	Authors: Marianne Anastasia De Roza, Mehul Lamba, George	[Y]
	Boon-Bee Goh, Johnathan Huey-Ming Lum, Mark Chang-Chuen	
	Cheah and Jing Hieng Jeffrey Ngu	
	Reviewer code: 05465502	
	<b>First decision:</b> 2021-06-23 02:58	
	Scientific Editor: Jin-Lei Wang	
	Date of signature: <u>11/01/2021</u> (month/day/year)	



2

# Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

### **Editorial Office's Comments**

Science Editor: 1 Scientific quality: This manuscript is a Prospective Study, on the role of immunoglobulin G in clinical outcomes of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. The topic is within the scope of the WJG. It contains features of novelty. (1) Classification: Grade A; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: Reviewer 05465502 suppose that this is a unique and novel study that pinpoint the importance of autoimmune/allergic/toxic/inflammatory process in NASH pathophysiology. Reviewer suggested to include the BMI in table one and the existence of other chronic disease manly DM or HTN and look into LPS, TNF-alpha or IL-6 levels in the study subjects. Reviewer recommendation: Accept (general priority). (3) There are 2 figures and 2 tables. The format of the tables is not within required standard. Table 1, Line "Metavir Fibrosis score" format stated "Mean; (range)" does not correspond with the format provided (probably, Mean (±SD). Standard abbreviation "SD" should be given in capital letters. P-value of patients' ethnicity is not provided. In the table 1 the format of Subjects' age and NAS is "Median (IQR)"; however, ALT and AST are shown as "Mean(±SD)" - this should be explained or revised for the data presentation format. (4) There are 23 literature references, neither of them are published in last 3 years (2 most recent references are published in 2018). (5) There is no self-citing. (6) References recommendations The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s),

[Y]



# Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

especially those published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself (themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID number to editorialoffice@wjgnet.com. The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately 2 Language quality: Classification: Grade A. No language certificate is provided. Although the paper is well-written, formal statement of either native English speaking, or certificate supporting correctness of English should be provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: Institutional Review Board Approval Form is not correctly provided. Certificate issued on 06AUG2019 by the Health and Disability Ethics Committees stated that the submitted data are not within the scope of HDEC review (but no submission letter is provided); it recommends contacting institutional ethics committee before the study begins. Prospective studies requires Ethics Committee approval. It is not clear, when the study began. Some information provided by the authors, suggests that the study was initiated in 2005 and lasted up to 31DEC2017. Thus, it is not clear, why the HDEC issued their response in 2019. There is no information on the study registration, which is required per ICMJE recommendations; no letter to obtain an exempt is provided. Biostatistics Review Certificate is issued by one of the authors, that seems inapplicable. Google search shows no similar titles. 4 Supplementary comments: This is unsolicited manuscript, it has no



# Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

financial support 5 Issues raised: The paper described as a prospective trial contain signs of retrospective design. This should be appropriately clarified. STROBE Statement checklist is not provided. There are some comments that were not raised by the reviewer, however require attention. There is no information on the NASH diagnostic criteria used in the study; this is important to verify heterogeneity of the group and the correctness of data obtained. In this regard, liver steatosis may be a result of medications used for comorbid diseases; however, this information was not mentioned as an exclusion criterion. More details are required on certain criteria of "other aetiologies such as viral hepatitis, alcohol, autoimmune and hereditary causes"; this is especially important for autoimmune-associated diseases, as some of them may have similar manifestation on the liver histology. Whether IgG4-associated disease was excluded also? Per methods' description, IgG levels were indirectly measured, at least in some cases. The number of samples with calculated levels should be provided and this approach should be addressed in the discussion. It is advisable to add the data on the presence of insulin resistance and glycosylated hemoglobin level to patients' baseline characteristics in addition to the data mentioned by the reviewer. There is no information on the data processing (i.e. data available for the final analysis with the reason of non-inclusion). The manuscript would benefit, if patients' flow chart was provided. Another point to mention is that the authors did not take into the



	account the possible influence of the treatment provided to the	
	patients on the outcome measures; this may significantly influence	
	the results. METAVIR is an abbreviation and should be given in	
	capital letters. Please, delete extra spaces in the literature references	
	list. I would suggest sending the manuscript for additional reviews.	
	6 Re-review: required 7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.	
	Company Editor-in-Chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review	
	Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics	
	documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements	
	of the World Journal of Gastroenterology, and the manuscript is	
	conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s)	
	for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial	
	Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by	
	Authors.	
3	The fixed headings are copied.	[Y]
	The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is	
	not too long (no more than 18 words). Words such as 'exploration',	
4	'research', 'analysis', 'observation', and 'investigation' are avoided.	[Y]
	The title does not start with 'The' and does not include any Arabic	
	numbers or uncommon abbreviations.	
5	A short running title is provided (no more than 6 words).	[Y]
	The authors' full family (sur)names and full/abbreviated first	
6	names are listed on the title page and are consistent with those	[Y]
	listed in the signed BPG Copyright License Agreement form.	
	•	



7	The 'Author contributions' passage describes the specific contribution(s) made by each author. The author's names are listed in the following format: full family (sur)name followed by abbreviated first and middles names. <i>e.g.</i> , "Wang CL and Liang L contributed equally to this work; Wang CL, Liang L, Fu JF, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM designed the research study; Wang CL, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM performed the research; Xue JZ and Lu JR contributed new reagents and	[Y]
	analytic tools; Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF analyzed the data; and Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and approve the final manuscript."	
8	The 'Supported by' statement describes the source(s) of financial support and includes the corresponding identification number(s) and program ID(s) if available, and contains no spelling errors.	[N]
9	The 'Corresponding author' passage provides the corresponding author's full first and family (sur)names, abbreviated title ( <i>e.g.</i> , MD, PhD), affiliated institute's name and complete postal address (including zip code) and e-mail (written in all lowercase), and contains no spelling errors.	[Y]
10	The Manuscript Tracking information ( <i>i.e.</i> , Received, Peer review started, First decision, Revised, Accepted, Article in press, and Published online) are provided along with the corresponding editor and date for each item, and contain no spelling errors.	[Y]
11	The Abstract section is formatted according to the article-specific style (structured <i>vs</i> unstructured) and word count thresholds, as follows: <u>Commentary, Frontier, Diagnostic Advances, Medical Ethics,</u> <u>Minireview, Review, Therapeutics Advances, and Topic Highlight</u> : Non-structured abstract that is no less than 200 words. <u>Field of Vision, Case Report and Letter to the Editor</u> :	[Y]



	Non-structured abstract that is no less than 150 words.	
	Research articles: Structured abstract with subsections for AIM (no	
	more than 20 words); METHODS (no less than 80 words); RESULTS	
	(no less than 120 words); and CONCLUSION (no more than 26	
	words).	
	The 'Key words' list provides 5-10 keywords that reflect the main	
12	content of the study. The first letter of each keyword is capitalized,	[Y]
	and each keyword is separated by a semicolon.	
	The "citation" contains authors' names and manuscript title. The	
	name of the first author should be typed in bold letters; the family	
	(sur) name of all authors should be typed with the first letter	
	capitalized, followed by their abbreviated first and middle initials.	
13	For example, an article by Jae Moon Yoon, Ki Young Son, Chun Sick	[Y]
	Eom, Daniel Durrance, Sang Min Park will be written as Yoon JM,	
	Son KY, Eom CS, Durrance D, Park SM. Pre-existing diabetes	
	mellitus increases the risk of gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. World J	
	Gastroenterol 2019; In press	
	The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the	
14	study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments	[ \/ ]
14	and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract	[Y]
	readers.	
	The 'INTRODUCTION' section clearly describes the relevant	
	background information for the study. Only the most relevant and	
	current (within the past 5 years) literature is cited, with the	
15	exception of rare instances of seminal literature citations. All	
	technical terms and/or abbreviations are explained and/or defined,	[Y]
	with the full name of abbreviations given upon first appearance in	
	the text and the abbreviation presented in parentheses [i.e.,	
	"computed tomography (CT)"]. First-person pronouns (e.g., 'I',	
	'we') are used appropriately to clearly indicate the work performed	



	by the author(s). When weaknesses of previous studies are	
	described in the text to highlight the innovations related to the	
	current study, the information is presented carefully.	
	The 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' section clearly and accurately	
16	describes all materials and methods used to obtain the data	[Y]
	presented in the article and is adequate for a reader to repeat the	
	study.	
	The 'RESULTS' section concisely describes the observational and	
	experimental results. Representative data and data that have	
	scientific significance are emphasized. Data is presented in either	
17	the text, a table or figure ( <i>i.e.</i> , chart, diagram, graph or image), but is	[Y]
	not repeated among each. Information presented in the tables and	
	figures clearly describes the trends, meaning, and inferences.	
	Results described in textual form are accurate, concise and clear.	
	Statistical symbols are accurate. Statistical significance is expressed	
	as ${}^{a}P < 0.05$ , ${}^{b}P < 0.01$ ( $P > 0.05$ usually does not need to be denoted).	
18	If there are other series of <i>P</i> values, ${}^{c}P < 0.05$ and ${}^{d}P < 0.01$ are used,	[Y]
	and a third series of <i>P</i> values is expressed as ${}^{e}P < 0.05$ and ${}^{f}P < 0.01$ .	
	Statistical data is expressed as mean $\pm$ SD or mean $\pm$ SE.	
	The 'DISCUSSION' section (1) describes the main purpose and	
	hypothesis of the study; (2) summarizes the most important results;	
19	(3) illustrates and explains the results (but does not simply repeat	
	the data) and draws conclusions or inferences based on the results;	
	(4) points out the limitations of the study and their impact on the	[Y]
	results, as well as proposes further advice on future research	
	topic(s) or direction(s); and (5) describes the theoretical significance	
	and practical value of the findings.	



	The 'ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS' section expresses gratitude to any	
20	individuals or organizations for technical support (i.e., providing	
	instrumentation, equipment or experimental materials, and/or	[ NI ]
	assistance in experimental work), non-technical services (i.e., useful	[N]
	inspiration, suggestions, guidance, or review), and/or any other	
	auxiliary work.	
01	The 'ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS' section provides comments for	
21	original articles in accordance with the specified format.	[Y]
	The 'REFERENCES' section lists the references in the Vancouver	
	style. This style uses Arabic numeral in-text citations based on the	
	order of the first appearance of a source in the text. For citations	
	where the author's name is indicated in the text, a superscript	
	number should be placed following the name ( <i>i.e.,</i> "Pang <i>et al</i> "). For	
	citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should	
22	be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma <sup>[1]</sup>	[Y]
	reported", "Pan et al <sup>[2-5]</sup> indicated"; "PCR has a high	
	sensitivity <sup>[6,9]</sup> ." No superscript numbers are used when the	
	reference number is described in the text; for example, "The	
	experimental method used has been described in reference [8]." The	
	style of reference citations in tables is the same as that in the text	
	( <i>e.g.,</i> Pan <i>et al</i> <sup>[2-5]</sup> , please see reference [8]).	
	Journal references have been verified to ensure that there are no	
	duplicate references and that the PMID numbers are correct. For	[Y]
	references not yet included in PubMed: the name of Chinese	
23	journals is spelled out using Chinese Pinyin, with the first letter of	
	each word capitalized ( <i>e.g.</i> , <i>Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi</i> ); the name of	
	journals in other languages are listed according to indexing	
	information retrieved from Google. Book references are presented	
	with all the information relevant to the electronic version.	



24	The number of cited references is appropriate for the article type, as	
	follows:	[Y]
	<u>Commentary:</u> no less than 50;	
	<u>Review:</u> no less than 100;	
	<u>Article:</u> no less than 30/26;	
	Case Report and Letter to the Editor: no less than 1.	
	The ethics-related statements are provided in accordance with the	
25	manuscript type (e.g., Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	[Y]
	statement, Manuscript NoAnimal care and use statement, etc.).	
	The names of the peer reviewers and the scientific editor are present	
26	at the end of the paper (e.g., P-Reviewer: Hugot D S-Editor: Wang	[Y]
	JL).	
	The order and numerical labeling of tables and figures is consistent	
	with their appearance and presentation in the text. Symbols in	
	tables (e.g., +, -, ×, $\div$ , *) correctly correspond to the definitions in the	
	footnotes. Only one legend is provided for each multi-panel figure	
27	consisting of color graphs, black and white graphs, or line graphs	[Y]
	that depicts data of the same theme. For example: Figure 1	
	Pathological changes in atrophic gastritis tissue before and after	
	treatment. A:; B:; C:; D:; E:; F:	
	Split pictures include flow charts, line graphs, histograms, and	
28	graphs including text. Unsplit pictures include meta-analysis	[Y]
	diagrams, PCR amplification curves, and survival curves.	
29	The author(s) highlighted the changes made to the manuscript	F 2 4 7
	according to the peer-reviewers' comments.	[Y]
30	The responses to the peer-reviewers' comments are consistent with	
	the changes made to the manuscript.	[Y]
۱	1	



31	The revised manuscript is provided (file name: Manuscript NoReview; <i>e.g.</i> , 870- Review). The letter of peer-reviewers' comments is provided (file name: Manuscript NoPeer-review(s); <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Peer-review(s)). The response letter is provided (file name: Manuscript NoAnswering reviewers; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Answering reviewers).	[Y]
32	The related ethics and relevant documents are provided, such as (1) Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s) (file name: Manuscript NoGrant application form(s)); (2) Biostatistics review certificate (file name: Manuscript NoBiostatistics statement); (3) Conflict-of-interest statement (file name: Manuscript NoConflict-of-interest statement); (4) Clinical trial registration statement (file name: Manuscript NoClinical trial registration statement); (5) Institutional review board approval form or document (file name: Manuscript NoInstitutional review board statement); (6) Institutional animal care and use committee approval form or document (file name: Manuscript NoInstitutional animal care and use committee statement), and (7) Signed informed consent form(s) or document(s) (file name: Manuscript NoInformed consent statement).	[Y]
33	All authors signed the BPG Copyright license agreement form (file name: Manuscript NoCopyright license agreement; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Copyright license agreement).	[Y]
34	The language certificate provided by authors who are non-native speakers of English meets the BPG requirements (file name: Manuscript NoLanguage certificate; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Language certificate).	[N]
35	The photos licensed in the Agreement for Use of Personal Photos are consistent with those in the paper (file name: Manuscript NoAgreement for use of personal photos; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Agreement for use of personal photos).	[N]



36	This document (Checklist of Responsibilities for Scientific Editors) has been saved under the file name: manuscript NoScientific editor work list ( <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Scientific editor work list).	[Y]
37	A <i>CrossCheck</i> investigation (an effective tool for detecting unoriginal content, enabling our editors to preserve the journal's integrity and the authors' copyright) has been performed for the manuscript <i>via</i> the website: http://www.ithenticate.com/. The results document contains the following information for the manuscript: "Name of journal", "Manuscript No.", "Columns", "Title" and "Author list". The Figure of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results is saved in JPEG format (.jpg) at 1440 × 680 pixel resolution. The PDF of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results has been saved under the file name: manuscript No CrossCheck report ( <i>e.g.</i> , 870-CrossCheck report). The Google searches have also been performed to further ensure publication of original content.	[Y]
38	The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with 1.5 line spacing.	[Y]
Responsibilities of scientific editors	The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefully checking the entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols ( <i>e.g.</i> +, -, ×, $\div$ , %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments.	



	Manuscript reception and registration $\rightarrow$ Initial review by scientific editor $\rightarrow$ Peer	
	$review \rightarrow End  of  peer  review \rightarrow First  round  of  meeting  evaluation \rightarrow To  be$	
	accepted $\rightarrow$ Revision by the author(s) $\rightarrow$ Second round of meeting evaluation $\rightarrow$ To be	
	accepted/revised/rejected $\rightarrow$ Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality	
Publication	control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance and charging	
process	of publication fee $\rightarrow$ Language editing $\rightarrow$ Production $\rightarrow$ Proofreading by scientific	
	$editor {\rightarrow} Proof reading \ by \ deputy \ editor {\rightarrow} Final \ review \ by \ Editor {-} in-Chief {\rightarrow} Release$	
	of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website $\rightarrow$ Release of	
	online papers on PubMed Central $\rightarrow$ Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the	
	author(s) $\rightarrow$ End of the publication process.	