

Dear editor,

I revised to our manuscript according to you and reviewers's comments. I'd like to give an answer about the review result number 00035938. The primary purpose of our study was to emphasize the preoperative evaluation of anamnesis and physical examination for the differential diagnosis of acute appendicitis and gynecological pathologies, rather than displaying symptoms, laboratory and clinical findings (with exception of radiological findings) altogether. We aimed to display the differential diagnosis of acute appendicitis and gynecological pathologies by the means of clinical evaluation that can be done in emergency surgical units anywhere in the world and find clinical clues not using sophisticated radiological methods. Radiological interventions may not be done everywhere in the world. We have not displayed radiological findings, except for abdominal roentgenography that didn't necessitate evaluation by radiologist. We have evaluated intraoperative pathological findings and our clinical findings and sought for clues. Pathologies other than appendicitis and gynecological pathologies like ileitis and Chron's disease have not been recorded for this study, they were analyzed separately. As we have noted in the manuscript, only the patients with acute appendicitis and gynecological pathologies were enrolled in the study and the data was collected retrospectively. Statistically we aimed to emphasize difference between acute appendicitis and gynecological organ pathologies. We have only noted statistically significant results to emphasize the findings and hinder the complexity. We appreciate to have been given this chance. Thank you for everything.

Kind regards,

Corresponding Author:

Name, Surname: Sinan Hatipoglu, MD, Assist. Prof.

Address: Department of General Surgery Unit, School of Medicine, Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.

Phone (GSM): (+90) (505) 4509402

Fax: (+90) (416) 2231693

E-mail: hamitsinanh@gmail.com