



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 64017

Title: Pancreatic cancer: A review of epidemiology, trend and risk factors

Reviewer's code: 03261985

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Director, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-08

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-10 05:03

Reviewer performed review: 2021-02-14 05:17

Review time: 4 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read the invited manuscript entitled "Pancreatic cancer: a review of epidemiology, trend and risk factors" with a great interest. The authors well reviewed the tremendous papers about pancreatic cancer with latest information. Comments: First, the authors reviews the several risk factors for pancreatic adenocarcinoma, however, they refer to the endocrine tumors in the introduction. I did not find any description of endocrine tumors in the text. It is better to delete the sentence about endocrine tumor in the introduction. Additionally, endocrine pancreatic cancer is not exact nomenclature. It is better to use neuroendocrine neoplasm(NEN) or neuroendocrine carcinoma according to the WHO classification, if they remain the sentence of endocrine tumor. Second, though the authors well reviewed the many papers about pancreatic cancer, they also discussed some controversial risk factors. But they affirmed the screening and prevention in the conclusion. I recommend to replace these phrase "can screen..., can provide" to "may screen..., or possibly, etc..."



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 64017

Title: Pancreatic cancer: A review of epidemiology, trend and risk factors

Reviewer's code: 04721358

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-08

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-02-10 06:53

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-02 08:59

Review time: 20 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a review of epidemiology, trend and risk factors of pancreatic cancer. The paper is interesting, comprehensive of good literature's review, and well-written. Minor point: The Introduction is too long with redundant anatomical description and the part regarding endocrine cancer is not appropriate.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 64017

Title: Pancreatic cancer: A review of epidemiology, trend and risk factors

Reviewer's code: 03261985

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Director, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-08

Reviewer chosen by: Chen-Chen Gao

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-19 02:37

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-19 02:41

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Corrections have been made well as pointed out by the reviewers.